Print From

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

**Form F**

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2022040138

Project Title: Water+ Treatment Plants Resiliency and Improvements Project

Lead Agency: City of Sacramento

Contact Name: Charlie Tschudin

Email: ctschudin@cityofsacramento.org Phone Number: 916-808-8145

Project Location: City and County of Sacramento
*City* *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project is designed to provide treatment resiliency for changing water quality, to address reliability of water treatment facilities with aging infrastructure, and to meet the City's projected potable water demands. The proposed project includes replacing facilities and improving processing methods at both the Fairbairn Water Treatment Plant (FWTP) and Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant (SRWTP); upgrading utilities that serve both plants; construction of a new water intake, pump station, and associated raw water conveyance pipeline; improvements to the existing Sacramento River water intake; and improvements to the potable water transmission system in the vicinity of the SRWTP. See attached NOA for additional detail.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

As required by CEQA (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.2), the Draft EIR objectively assesses and discloses potential environmental effects of the proposed project. Significant and unavoidable impacts were identified related to water supply (i.e., increased diversion associated with operation of the proposed new intake) and cultural resources (i.e., construction of the SRWTP treatment plant improvements). The document also identifies potentially significant impacts that can be mitigated to a less than significant level for: air quality; biological resources – aquatic; biological resources – terrestrial; cultural resources; hazards and hazardous materials; noise and vibration; transportation; tribal cultural resources; and wildfire. Mitigation measures incorporated to reduce or avoid impacts include: construction best management practices to reduce air emissions, noise, and ensure spill control; surveys, work windows and buffers, monitoring, worker training, and compensatory mitigation to reduce impacts on special-status species and habitats; records searches, surveys, recordation, monitoring, and adherence to applicable standards to protect cultural, tribal cultural, and historic resources during construction; and preparation of a Traffic Control Plan.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known areas of controversy exist.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Federal:
United States Army Corps of Engineers
National Marine Fisheries Service
State Historic Preservation Office

State:
State Water Resources Control Board
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Local:
County of Sacramento
Sacramento Area Sewer District