

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2020060123

Project Title: CEMEX Rockfield Quarry Modification Project Environmental Impact Report No. 7763

Lead Agency: County of Fresno Department of Public Works and Planning

Contact Name: Marianne Mollring, Principal Planner

Email: mmollring@fresnocountyca.gov

Phone Number: (559) 600-4569

Project Location: Fresno

*City*

Fresno County

*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

CEMEX Construction Materials Pacific LLC (Applicant) has submitted to the County of Fresno Unclassified Conditional Use Permit Application Nos. 3666 and 3667 requesting to continue and modify aggregate mining and processing operations at two sites along the west side of North Friant Road adjacent to the San Joaquin River in unincorporated Fresno County. The project encompasses the approximately 138.5-acre Plant Site and approximately 352.4-acre Quarry Site (490.9 acres total). During Stage 1, concurrent operations would continue at both sites for up to 30 years. Proposed activities include continued alluvial mining and aggregate processing at the Plant Site; hard-rock mining by drilling and blasting to approximately 600 feet below ground surface and construction of an aggregate processing plant at the Quarry Site; replacement of the Plant Site asphalt plant; concrete and asphalt recycling; and eventual relocation of Plant Site operations to the Quarry Site. Aggregate sales would increase from 1.4 million tons per year to a maximum of 3.0 million tons per year. During Stage 2, mining and processing would continue at the Quarry Site for approximately 70 additional years. Both sites would ultimately be reclaimed as open space, riparian habitat, and open-water wildlife habitat.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Aesthetics and Visual Resources: MM 4.1-1 Rock Staining to Reduce Visual Contrast

Air Quality: MM 4.3-2a Off Road Engines; 4.3-2b Fugitive Dust Control Plan; MM 4.3-4 Asphalt Plant Emissions Controls

Biological Resources: MM 4.4-1a Burrowing Owl; MM 4.4-1b Western Pond Turtle; MM 4.4-1c Nesting Birds; MM 4.4-1d Bats

Cultural Resources: MM 4.5-2 Inadvertent Discovery of Archaeological Resources; MM 4.5-3 Inadvertent Discovery of Unmarked Burials

Geology and Soils: MM 4.7-6 Plant Site Slope Stability Monitoring; MM 4.7-7a Quarry Site Slope Stability Monitoring; MM 4.7-7b Quarry Site Kinematic Analyses; MM 4.7-7c Quarry Site Groundwater Conditions; MM 4.7-11 Paleontological Resources

Greenhouse Gas Emissions: MM 4.8-1 Asphalt Plant Performance Standards

Hazards: MM 4.9-1 Survey for PCB-Containing Building Materials

Hydrology and Water Quality: MM 4.10-1 Blasting Protocols; MM 4.10-5a and 4.10-5b Plant Site Pond Adaptive Management Program and Funding Mechanism; MM 4.10-10a and MM 4.10-10b Quarry Site Groundwater Adaptive Management Program and Funding Mechanism

Noise: MM 4.13-2 Plant Site Noise Reduction; MM 4.13-3 Quarry Site Noise Reduction

Transportation: MM 4.17-1a to MM 4.17-1r Improvements and Equitable-Share Contributions; MM 4.17-3 Pothole Repair

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15123(b)(2) requires discussion of areas of controversy known to the lead agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public. The following issues are considered by the County to represent the principal areas of controversy associated with the project's environmental review. These issues are addressed in the Draft EIR, comments received on the Draft EIR, the County's proposed responses to comments, and the Draft EIR errata comprising the Final EIR.

- Proposed drilling and blasting and potential for flyrock, vibration, structural effects, and human response
- Proposed increase in depth of mining adjacent to the San Joaquin River corridor
- Proposed continuation of mining for up to 30 years at the Plant Site and approximately 100 years at the Quarry Site
- Proposed replacement and relocation of aggregate processing plant, ready-mix concrete plant, and asphalt plant to the Quarry Site
- Expansion of on-site sales to the Quarry Site
- Proposed importation of materials for recycling
- Proposed resumption of asphalt production and sales at the Plant Site
- Potential land use conflicts between project operations and adjacent recreational and residential land uses
- Operational effects on aesthetics, air quality, biological resource habitat and movement corridors, noise and vibration, and other potential adverse circumstances associated with continued and expanded operation of the quarry
- Potential effects on groundwater levels, water-supply wells, surface-water quality, drainage, and flooding
- Traffic, roadway and intersection safety, emergency access, and responsibility for transportation improvements
- Project need, production levels, and alternatives to the proposed project

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

**Federal Agencies:**

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - federal Endangered Species Act consultation or authorization, if required

**State Agencies:**

- California Department of Conservation, Division of Mine Reclamation - review of the revised Reclamation Plan and Financial Assurance Cost Estimate (FACE)
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife - Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement and California Endangered Species Act authorization, if required

**Regional Agencies:**

- Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board - Section 401 Water Quality Certification and other applicable water-quality approvals
- San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District - authorities to construct and permits to operate applicable plants and equipment