

Contents

Appendices	xv
Tables.....	xix
Figures	lxi
Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.1.1 Background and Context	ES-1
ES.1.2 Project Purpose and Objectives	ES-7
ES.1.3 Public Scoping and Issues of Known Controversy	ES-7
ES.2 Final EIR Approach and Uses.....	ES-10
ES.2.1 Analysis of Alternatives	ES-10
ES.2.2 Final EIR Review and Project Approvals	ES-11
ES.3 Alternatives	ES-11
ES.3.1 Development Process.....	ES-11
ES.3.2 Proposed Project and Alternatives Overview	ES-12
ES.3.3 No Project Alternative	ES-25
ES.4 Approaches for Addressing Potential Environmental Impacts	ES-27
ES.4.1 Environmental Commitments and Best Management Practices	ES-27
ES.4.2 Mitigation Approaches	ES-27
ES.5 Summary of Impacts	ES-29
ES.5.1 Chapter Summaries	ES-47
Chapter 1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 About the Delta Conveyance Project.....	1-1
1.2 Background	1-2
1.2.1 Delta Historical Context.....	1-2
1.2.2 Water Supply Development and Management	1-3
1.2.3 Issues Affecting the Delta and the SWP Today	1-8
1.2.4 Prior Delta Conveyance Planning Efforts	1-21
1.3 Current Planning Effort	1-28
1.4 Project Area and Study Areas	1-29
1.4.1 Upstream of the Delta Region.....	1-29
1.4.2 Delta Region	1-29
1.4.3 SWP Service Areas	1-30
1.4.4 Project Area	1-30
1.5 Intended Uses of this Final EIR and Agency Roles and Responsibilities	1-37

1.5.1	Overview of Approval Process	1-37
1.5.2	Use of This Final EIR by Other Entities	1-37
1.5.3	Federal Agencies	1-44
1.6	Public Scoping and Issues of Known Controversy	1-49
Chapter 2	Purpose and Project Objectives	2-1
2.1	Overview	2-1
2.2	Regulatory Background.....	2-2
2.3	Project Purpose and Objectives.....	2-2
Chapter 3	Description of the Proposed Project and Alternatives	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Alternatives Development Process.....	3-3
3.2.1	Alternatives Screening Analysis.....	3-5
3.3	Proposed Project and Alternatives Overview	3-6
3.3.1	Design for Climate Change and Sea Level Rise.....	3-11
3.3.2	Alternatives Overview	3-13
3.4	Common Features of the Alternatives.....	3-14
3.4.1	North Delta Intakes	3-20
3.4.2	Tunnels	3-26
3.4.3	Tunnel Shafts.....	3-27
3.4.4	Reusable Tunnel Material	3-32
3.4.5	Southern Complex on Byron Tract	3-34
3.4.6	Southern Complex West of Byron Highway	3-40
3.4.7	Access Roads	3-42
3.4.8	Rail-Served Materials Depots	3-46
3.4.9	Soil Balance	3-47
3.4.10	Electrical Facilities	3-48
3.4.11	SCADA Facilities	3-53
3.4.12	Fencing and Lighting.....	3-57
3.4.13	Park-and-Ride Lots	3-57
3.4.14	Land Reclamation	3-58
3.4.15	Other Construction Support Facilities	3-64
3.5	No Project Alternative	3-68
3.6	Alternative 1—Central Alignment, 6,000 cfs, Intakes B and C	3-71
3.6.1	Construction Schedule	3-77
3.7	Alternative 2a—Central Alignment, 7,500 cfs, Intakes A, B, and C	3-80
3.7.1	Southern Complex West of Byron Highway	3-83
3.7.2	Construction Schedule	3-84

3.8	Alternative 2b—Central Alignment, 3,000 cfs, Intake C	3-87
3.8.1	Construction Schedule	3-88
3.9	Alternative 2c—Central Alignment, 4,500 cfs, Intakes B and C	3-91
3.9.1	Construction Schedule	3-92
3.10	Alternative 3—Eastern Alignment, 6,000 cfs, Intakes B and C	3-95
3.10.1	Construction Schedule	3-101
3.11	Alternative 4a—Eastern Alignment, 7,500 cfs, Intakes A, B, and C	3-104
3.11.1	Construction Schedule	3-105
3.12	Alternative 4b—Eastern Alignment, 3,000 cfs, Intake C	3-108
3.12.1	Construction Schedule	3-109
3.13	Alternative 4c—Eastern Alignment, 4,500 cfs, Intakes B and C	3-112
3.13.1	Construction Schedule	3-113
3.14	Alternative 5—Bethany Reservoir Alignment, 6,000 cfs, Intakes B and C (Proposed Project)	3-116
3.14.1	Bethany Complex	3-121
3.14.2	Access Roads	3-126
3.14.3	Maintenance	3-131
3.14.4	Construction Schedule	3-131
3.15	Field Investigations	3-134
3.15.1	Investigations to Support Section 408 Permitting	3-134
3.15.2	Investigations Prior to Construction Phase	3-135
3.15.3	Investigations during Construction Phase	3-140
3.16	Intake Operations and Maintenance	3-141
3.16.1	New Operational Criteria for the Proposed North Delta Intakes	3-142
3.16.2	Key Existing Delta Operations Criteria	3-144
3.16.3	Integration of North Delta Intakes with South Delta Facilities	3-145
3.16.4	Use of North Delta Intakes for Wheeling	3-146
3.16.5	Intake Maintenance Activities	3-148
3.16.6	Pump Maintenance Activities	3-149
3.16.7	Delta Conveyance Project Preliminary Proposed Operations Criteria	3-149
3.17	Real-Time Operational Decision-Making Process	3-156
3.17.1	Ongoing Processes to Support Real-Time Decision Making	3-156
3.17.2	North Delta Diversions	3-157
3.18	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program	3-160
3.19	Community Benefits Program	3-162
3.20	Ombudsman	3-162
3.20.1	Point of Contact	3-163

3.21	Potential Davis-Dolwig Act Actions.....	3-163
3.22	Contract Amendments.....	3-164
Chapter 4	Framework for the Environmental Analysis	4-1
4.1	Organization of the Final EIR	4-1
4.1.1	Approach to CEQA Analysis	4-3
4.2	Analysis Level of Detail	4-14
4.3	Additional Analyses of Potential Future Conditions	4-15
4.4	Relation to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers EIS and NEPA Compliance	4-16
4.5	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation or Central Valley Project Contractor(s).....	4-17
Chapter 5	Surface Water	5-1
5.0	Summary Comparison of Changes by Project Alternatives	5-1
5.1	Overview of California Water Resources.....	5-4
5.1.1	Historical Precipitation Patterns	5-4
5.1.2	Surface Water Management.....	5-5
5.2	Central Valley Hydrology	5-5
5.2.1	Sacramento River Basin.....	5-5
5.2.2	San Joaquin River Basin.....	5-6
5.2.3	Delta Hydraulics	5-9
5.3	Surface Water Changes.....	5-10
5.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	5-11
5.3.2	Comparison of Project Alternatives with Existing Conditions.....	5-15
Chapter 6	Water Supply	6-1
6.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	6-2
6.1	Overview of California Water Resources.....	6-4
6.1.1	Developed Water Supplies	6-4
6.1.2	Surface Water.....	6-7
6.1.3	Groundwater	6-8
6.1.4	Alternative Water Sources	6-9
6.2	Overview of California Water Demand.....	6-10
6.2.1	SWP and CVP Facilities and Operations	6-11
6.3	Water Supply Changes	6-33
6.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	6-33
6.3.2	Comparison of Project Alternatives with Existing Conditions.....	6-37
Chapter 7	Flood Protection.....	7-1
7.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	7-1
7.1	Environmental Setting	7-7
7.1.1	Study Area	7-7

7.1.2	Areas Subject to Flooding.....	7-8
7.1.3	Factors That Influence Flood Risk in the Study Area	7-12
7.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	7-26
7.3	Environmental Impacts	7-26
7.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	7-26
7.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	7-36
7.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	7-37
7.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	7-54
Chapter 8	Groundwater.....	8-1
8.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	8-1
8.1	Environmental Setting	8-3
8.1.1	Study Area	8-3
8.1.2	Central Valley Groundwater.....	8-4
8.1.3	Delta Region Groundwater.....	8-7
8.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	8-13
8.3	Environmental Impacts	8-13
8.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	8-13
8.3.2	Groundwater Impacts and Mitigation Approaches.....	8-21
8.3.3	Cumulative Analysis	8-61
Chapter 9	Water Quality.....	9-1
9.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	9-1
9.1	Environmental Setting	9-5
9.1.1	Study Area	9-5
9.1.2	Primary Factors Affecting Existing Water Quality	9-5
9.1.3	Beneficial Uses	9-6
9.1.4	Water Quality Impairments.....	9-9
9.1.5	Existing Surface Water Quality.....	9-12
9.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	9-29
9.3	Environmental Impacts	9-30
9.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	9-30
9.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	9-37
9.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	9-38
9.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	9-189
Chapter 10	Geology and Seismicity.....	10-1
10.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	10-1
10.1	Environmental Setting	10-3
10.1.1	Study Area	10-4

10.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	10-37
10.3	Environmental Impacts	10-38
10.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	10-38
10.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	10-44
10.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	10-45
10.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	10-75
Chapter 11	Soils	11-1
11.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	11-1
11.1	Environmental Setting	11-4
11.1.1	Study Area	11-5
11.1.2	Land Subsidence.....	11-27
11.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	11-36
11.3	Environmental Impacts	11-37
11.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	11-37
11.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	11-40
11.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	11-42
11.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	11-68
Chapter 12	Fish and Aquatic Resources	12-1
12.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	12-1
12.1	Environmental Setting	12-7
12.1.1	Study Area	12-7
12.1.2	Fish and Aquatic Species of Management Concern	12-7
12.1.3	Habitat Conditions and Environmental Stressors	12-8
12.1.4	Delta and Suisun Bay/Marsh	12-9
12.1.5	San Pablo and San Francisco Bays.....	12-38
12.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	12-40
12.3	Environmental Impacts	12-40
12.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	12-41
12.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	12-46
12.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	12-47
12.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	12-258
Chapter 13	Terrestrial Biological Resources	13-1
13.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	13-1
13.1	Environmental Setting	13-5
13.1.1	Study Area	13-5
13.1.2	Land Cover Types	13-6
13.1.3	Special-Status Species	13-25

13.1.4	Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States	13-28
13.1.5	Invasive and Noxious Plant Species	13-35
13.1.6	Wildlife and Habitat Connectivity	13-37
13.1.7	Habitat Conservation Plans	13-44
13.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	13-47
13.3	Environmental Impacts	13-49
13.3.1	Methods for Analysis	13-50
13.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	13-70
13.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	13-71
13.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	13-477
Chapter 14	Land Use	14-1
14.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	14-1
14.1	Environmental Setting	14-3
14.1.1	Study Area	14-3
14.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	14-8
14.3	Environmental Impacts	14-9
14.3.1	Methods for Analysis	14-9
14.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	14-17
14.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	14-19
14.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	14-39
Chapter 15	Agricultural Resources	15-1
15.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	15-1
15.1	Environmental Setting	15-4
15.1.1	Study Area	15-5
15.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	15-23
15.3	Environmental Impacts	15-23
15.3.1	Methods for Analysis	15-24
15.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	15-27
15.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	15-28
15.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	15-53
Chapter 16	Recreation	16-1
16.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	16-1
16.1	Environmental Setting	16-3
16.1.1	Study Area	16-3
16.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	16-18
16.3	Environmental Impacts	16-18
16.3.1	Methods for Analysis	16-18

16.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	16-19
16.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	16-19
16.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	16-29
Chapter 17	Socioeconomics.....	17-1
17.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	17-1
17.1	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	17-4
17.1.1	Potential Socioeconomics Effects Area	17-4
17.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	17-36
17.3	Socioeconomic Analysis	17-37
17.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	17-37
17.3.2	Determination of Effects	17-47
17.3.3	Socioeconomic Effects.....	17-48
17.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	17-89
17.4	References Cited	17-92
Chapter 18	Aesthetics and Visual Resources	18-1
18.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	18-1
18.1	Environmental Setting	18-3
18.1.1	Study Area	18-3
18.1.2	Concepts and Terminology.....	18-4
18.1.3	Visual Character of the Study Area	18-8
18.1.4	Characterization of Viewers	18-12
18.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	18-15
18.3	Environmental Impacts	18-16
18.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	18-16
18.3.2	Inventory Baseline Conditions/Environmental Setting	18-17
18.3.3	Analyzing Visual Impacts	18-40
18.3.4	Thresholds of Significance	18-44
18.3.5	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	18-45
18.3.6	Cumulative Analysis	18-115
Chapter 19	Cultural Resources.....	19-1
19.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	19-1
19.1	Environmental Setting	19-4
19.1.1	Study Area	19-4
19.1.2	Methods for Resource Identification	19-9
19.1.3	Archaeological Resources.....	19-10
19.1.4	Built-Environment Resources.....	19-16
19.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	19-28

19.2.1	Confidentiality Considerations	19-28
19.3	Environmental Impacts	19-29
19.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	19-29
19.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	19-33
19.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	19-34
19.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	19-65
Chapter 20	Transportation	20-1
20.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	20-1
20.1	Environmental Setting	20-4
20.1.1	Study Area	20-4
20.1.2	Existing Transportation Facilities in the Study Area.....	20-6
20.1.3	Marine Facilities	20-10
20.1.4	Rail Facilities	20-13
20.1.5	Air Transportation Facilities	20-17
20.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	20-21
20.3	Environmental Impacts	20-21
20.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	20-22
20.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	20-25
20.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	20-26
20.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	20-67
Chapter 21	Public Services and Utilities	21-1
21.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	21-1
21.1	Environmental Setting	21-3
21.1.1	Study Area	21-3
21.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	21-18
21.3	Environmental Impacts	21-18
21.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	21-19
21.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	21-22
21.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	21-23
21.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	21-46
Chapter 22	Energy	22-1
22.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	22-1
22.1	Environmental Setting	22-3
22.1.1	Study Area	22-3
22.1.2	Trinity River	22-10
22.1.3	Sacramento River and Clear Creek	22-10
22.1.4	Feather River	22-11

22.1.5	American River	22-11
22.1.6	Stanislaus River.....	22-11
22.1.7	San Joaquin River	22-11
22.1.8	Central Valley Project and State Water Project Service Areas (South to Diamond Valley)	22-11
22.1.9	Other Hydroelectric Generation Facilities.....	22-13
22.1.10	Energy Demands for Groundwater Pumping	22-13
22.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	22-14
22.3	Environmental Impacts	22-14
22.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	22-14
22.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	22-19
22.3.3	Potential for New Energy Resources	22-19
22.3.4	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	22-20
22.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	22-34
Chapter 23	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases	23-1
23.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	23-1
23.0.1	Air Quality.....	23-1
23.0.2	Greenhouse Gases.....	23-3
23.1	Environmental Setting	23-7
23.1.1	Study Area	23-7
23.1.2	Pollutants of Concern	23-8
23.1.3	Global Climate Change	23-15
23.1.4	Regional Climate and Meteorology.....	23-16
23.1.5	Existing Air Quality Conditions	23-20
23.1.6	Emissions Inventories.....	23-25
23.1.7	Sensitive Receptors	23-26
23.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	23-29
23.3	Environmental Impacts	23-30
23.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	23-30
23.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	23-46
23.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	23-56
23.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	23-191
Chapter 24	Noise and Vibration.....	24-1
24.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	24-1
24.1	Environmental Setting	24-3
24.1.1	Fundamentals of Noise and Vibration.....	24-3
24.1.2	Vibration.....	24-8

24.1.3	Study Area	24-9
24.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	24-17
24.3	Environmental Impacts	24-17
24.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	24-18
24.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	24-27
24.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	24-28
24.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	24-75
Chapter 25	Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and Wildfire	25-1
25.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	25-1
25.1	Environmental Setting	25-3
25.1.1	Study Area	25-3
25.1.2	Potential Hazards and Hazardous Materials in the Study Area	25-3
25.1.3	Airports within 2 Miles of the Water Conveyance Project Footprints	25-14
25.1.4	Evacuation and Emergency Routes	25-18
25.1.5	Known Hazardous Materials Sites	25-19
25.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	25-23
25.3	Environmental Impacts	25-23
25.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	25-24
25.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	25-28
25.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	25-29
25.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	25-64
Chapter 26	Public Health	26-1
26.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	26-1
26.1	Environmental Setting	26-3
26.1.1	Study Area	26-3
26.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	26-21
26.3	Environmental Impacts	26-21
26.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	26-21
26.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	26-27
26.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	26-28
26.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	26-54
Chapter 27	Mineral Resources.....	27-1
27.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	27-1
27.1	Environmental Setting	27-4
27.1.1	Study Area	27-4
27.1.2	Existing Mineral Resources in the Study Area	27-5
27.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	27-13

27.3	Environmental Impacts	27-14
27.3.1	Methods for Analysis	27-14
27.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	27-15
27.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	27-15
27.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	27-24
Chapter 28	Paleontological Resources	28-1
28.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	28-1
28.1	Environmental Setting	28-3
28.1.1	Study Area	28-3
28.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	28-12
28.3	Environmental Impacts	28-13
28.3.1	Methods for Analysis	28-13
28.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	28-16
28.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	28-18
28.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	28-39
Chapter 29	Environmental Justice	29-1
29.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	29-1
29.0.1	Summary of Resource Impacts with Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects on Environmental Justice	29-3
29.1	Introduction	29-4
29.2	Environmental Justice Context	29-5
29.2.1	Identification of Environmental Justice Populations in the Study Area	29-6
29.2.2	Public Outreach	29-21
29.2.3	Environmental Justice Survey Findings	29-24
29.3	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	29-26
29.4	Environmental Justice Analysis	29-27
29.4.1	Methods for Analysis	29-27
29.4.2	Analysis of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects	29-37
Chapter 30	Climate Change	30-1
30.1	Introduction	30-1
30.1.1	Purpose	30-1
30.1.2	Organization	30-4
30.1.3	Climate Change Background	30-4
30.2	Affected Environment and Resources	30-5
30.2.1	Global Climate Change Trends	30-6
30.2.2	Climate Change Trends in California	30-9
30.2.3	Climate Change Trends and Associated Impacts on the Study Area	30-12

30.2.4	Application of California Climate Projections to Alternatives Analysis.....	30-19
30.3	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	30-21
30.4	Potential Impacts of Alternatives	30-21
30.4.1	Impacts of the No Project Alternative with Climate Change	30-21
30.4.2	Impacts of the Project Alternatives with Climate Change	30-23
30.4.3	Resilience and Adaptation Benefits	30-25
Chapter 31	Growth Inducement	31-1
31.1	Environmental Setting	31-1
31.2	Environmental Impacts	31-5
31.2.1	Methods for Analysis.....	31-5
31.2.2	Thresholds of Significance	31-6
31.2.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	31-6
Chapter 32	Tribal Cultural Resources	32-1
32.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	32-2
32.1	Environmental Setting	32-6
32.1.1	Study Area	32-6
32.1.2	Methods for Resource Identification	32-8
32.1.3	Tribal Cultural Resources in the Study Area	32-20
32.2	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Programs	32-28
32.3	Environmental Impacts	32-29
32.3.1	Methods for Analysis.....	32-29
32.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	32-33
32.3.3	Impacts and Mitigation Approaches	32-34
32.3.4	Cumulative Analysis	32-57
Chapter 33	Other CEQA-Required Analyses	33-1
33.1	Irretrievable Commitments of Resources and Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	33-1
33.2	Environmentally Superior Alternative	33-2
33.3	Public Trust Considerations	33-5
33.3.1	Public Trust Doctrine	33-5
33.3.2	Public Trust Doctrine Considerations	33-8
33.3.3	Conclusion	33-8
Chapter 34	Community Benefits Program Analysis	34-1
34.1	Introduction	34-1
34.2	Potential Community Benefits	34-3
34.3	Impacts.....	34-4
34.3.1	Infrastructure Investments	34-5

34.3.2	Investments to Improve Delta Waterways	34-12
34.3.3	Community Services Investments	34-12
34.3.4	Habitat Conservation and Land Transfers or Acquisitions	34-15
34.3.5	Tourism Investments.....	34-17
Chapter 35	Public Involvement.....	35-1
35.1	EIR Scoping, Scoping Meetings, and Comments	35-1
35.1.1	Summary of Scoping Comments Received	35-2
35.2	Public Review of the Draft Environmental Impact Report.....	35-3
35.2.1	Public Availability of Documents	35-4
35.2.2	Notification.....	35-10
35.2.3	Public and Tribal Hearings	35-10
35.2.4	Informational Materials	35-11
35.2.5	Tribal Outreach.....	35-12
35.2.6	Environmental Justice Outreach	35-13
35.3	Additional Public Outreach Activities	35-13
35.3.1	Project Updates.....	35-13
35.3.2	Informational Webinars	35-16
35.3.3	Informational Materials	35-17
35.3.4	Tribal Engagement	35-18
35.3.5	Environmental Justice and Disadvantaged Community Outreach.....	35-18
35.3.6	Community Benefits Program Framework Engagement	35-21
35.3.7	Design and Engineering Stakeholder Engagement Committee	35-22
Chapter 36	List of Preparers and Reviewers.....	36-1
36.1	Preparers by Affiliation	36-1
36.1.1	ICF.....	36-1
36.1.2	RBI Consulting, Inc.....	36-7
36.1.3	ESA.....	36-8
36.1.4	Fehr & Peers	36-9
36.1.5	Stantec.....	36-9
36.1.6	ERA Economics, LLC.....	36-10
36.1.7	Woodard & Curran	36-10
36.2	Reviewers by Affiliation	36-11
36.2.1	California Department of Water Resources	36-11
36.2.2	Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority.....	36-12
Chapter 37	References Cited	37-1
Chapter 38	Glossary	38-1
Chapter 39	Description of Figures.....	39-1

Appendices

Appendix 1A	July 2020 Delta Conveyance Project Scoping Summary Report and Addenda
Appendix 3A	Identification of Water Conveyance Alternatives
Appendix 3B	Environmental Commitments and Best Management Practices
Appendix 3C	Defining Existing Conditions, No Project Alternative, and Cumulative Impact Conditions
Appendix 3D	Intakes, Roads, and Shafts Summary Tables
Appendix 3E	Delta Reform Act Considerations
Appendix 3F	Compensatory Mitigation Plan for Special-Status Species and Aquatic Resources
Appendix 3G	Community Benefits Program Framework
Appendix 3H	Non-Project Water Transfer Analysis for Delta Conveyance
Appendix 4A	Consideration of 2070 Conditions
Appendix 4B	North Delta Diversion Priority Sensitivity Analysis
Appendix 4C	Alternate Regulatory Scenario Sensitivity Analysis
Appendix 5A	Modeling Technical Appendix
5A-A	Modeling Overview
5A-B	Hydrology and Systems Operations Modeling
5A-C	One Dimensional Delta Hydrodynamics and Water Quality Modeling Results
5A-D	Water Temperature Modeling Results
5A-E	SALMOD and Egg Mortality Models
5A-F	Sea Level Rise and Delta Water Quality Modeling
Appendix 5B	Surface Water 2040 Analysis
Appendix 5C	Simulated Monthly Flows
Appendix 6A	Water Supply 2040 Analysis
Appendix 7A	Flood Protection 2040/2072 Analysis
Appendix 7B	Evaluation against U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1957 Design Profiles
Appendix 8A	Delta Groundwater Model: Development and Calibration
Appendix 8B	Impact Analysis: Groundwater Model Results
Appendix 8C	Groundwater 2040 Analysis
Appendix 9A	Screening Analysis
Appendix 9B	Source Water Fingerprinting
Appendix 9C	Boron

Appendix 9D	Bromide
Appendix 9E	Cyanobacteria Harmful Algal Blooms
Appendix 9F	Chloride
Appendix 9G	Electrical Conductivity
Appendix 9H	Mercury
Appendix 9I	Organic Carbon
Appendix 9J	Selenium
Appendix 9K	Trace Metals
Appendix 9L	Water Quality 2040 Analysis
Appendix 9M	Contra Costa Water District Interconnection Facility Mitigation Measure
Appendix 11A	Soil Associations
Appendix 11B	Soil Map Units, Taxonomic Classifications, Soil Limitations, and Risk of Corrosion
Appendix 11C	Soil Chemical and Physical Properties, Soil Interpretations, and Land Classifications
Appendix 12A	Environmental Setting Background Information
Appendix 12B	Bay-Delta Methods and Results
Appendix 12C	Fish and Aquatic Resources 2040 Analysis
Appendix 13A	Special-Status Species with Potential to Occur in the Study Area
Appendix 13B	Species Accounts
Appendix 13C	Impact Tables
Appendix 13D	Overlapping Habitat Conservation Plan Permanent Surface Impacts
Appendix 13E	Terrestrial Wildlife Connectivity
Appendix 13F	Terrestrial Biological Resources 2040 Analysis
Appendix 13G	Construction Sound Level Impacts on Sandhill Cranes
Appendix 15A	Supplemental Tables for Agricultural Resources Chapter
Appendix 15B	Agricultural and Land Stewardship Considerations
Appendix 15C	Agricultural Resources 2040 Analysis
Appendix 16A	Recreation Resources Inventory Update and Data Collection Documentation
Appendix 16B	Recreation 2040 Analysis
Appendix 17A	Regional Economic Effects of Water Conveyance Facility Construction
Appendix 18A	Expanded Methodology and Setting
Appendix 18B	Candidate Key Observation Point Sensitivity Matrix Ratings

Appendix 18C	Conceptual Rendering Data Sources and Assumptions
Appendix 18D	Permanent Impacts after Construction Is Complete
Appendix 18E	Permanent Features
Appendix 19A	Historical Resources Survey and Evaluation Report
Appendix 19B	CONFIDENTIAL APPENDIX Archaeological Sensitivity Analysis Report
Appendix 19C	Impact Analysis of Project Alternatives on Built-Environment Historical Resources
Appendix 19D	Impact Analysis of Project Alternatives on Archaeological Resources
Appendix 20A	Delta Conveyance 2020 Traffic Analysis
Appendix 20B	Fall 2020 Traffic Counts Analysis
Appendix 20C	Delta Conveyance 2040 Traffic Analysis
Appendix 21A	Details of Public Services and Utilities
Appendix 22A	SWP and CVP Energy Generation and Consumption by Facility
Appendix 22B	Energy 2040 Analysis
Appendix 23A	Mass Emissions Estimation Methodology
Appendix 23B	Air Quality and GHG Analysis Activity Data
Appendix 23C	Health Risk Assessment and Ambient Air Quality Analysis Methodology
Appendix 23D	Criteria Pollutant Health Impact Assessment Methodology
Appendix 23E	Assessment Form for Consistency with GHG Emissions Reduction Plan
Appendix 23F	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases 2040 Analysis
Appendix 24A	Sound Level Contours
Appendix 24B	Construction Sound Level Model Predictions
Appendix 24C	Ambient Sound Level Charts from Field Measurement
Appendix 24D	Sound Level Measurement Field Data Sheets
Appendix 24E	Noise and Vibration 2040 Analysis
Appendix 24F	Pile Driving Specifications for New Bridges on Haul Routes
Appendix 26A	Public Health 2040 Analysis
Appendix 29A	Environmental Justice Community Survey Report
Appendix 30A	CalSim 3 Results Sensitivity to 2040 Climate Change and Sea Level Projections
Appendix 32A	Tribal Consultation and Engagement Log
Appendix 32B	Impacts by Alternative
Appendix 32C	CONFIDENTIAL APPENDIX Tribal Cultural Resources Identification Report

**Appendix 32D Principles for the Identification of the Tribal Cultural Resources for the
Proposed Delta Conveyance Project**

Tables

	Page
ES-1 Summary of Key Project Features by Alternative	ES-16
ES-2 Summary of Delta Conveyance Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-31
ES-3 Comparison of Surface Water Resources by Project Alternative	ES-49
ES-4 Water Supply for Existing Conditions and the Project Alternatives (thousand acre- feet)	ES-51
ES-5 Comparison of Impacts on Flood Protection by Alternative.....	ES-56
ES-6 Comparison of Impacts of Project Operations on Groundwater by Alternative	ES-59
ES-7 Summary Comparison of Impacts on Water Quality by Alternative	ES-63
ES-8 Comparison of Impacts on Geology and Seismicity by Alternative	ES-65
ES-9 Comparison of Impacts on Soils by Alternative	ES-67
ES-10 Comparison of Impacts on Fish and Aquatic Resources by Alternative	ES-71
ES-11 Comparison of Impacts on Select Terrestrial Biological Resources by Alternative (acres/CEQA findings after mitigation)	ES-76
ES-12 Comparison of Impacts on Land Use by Alternative.....	ES-79
ES-13 Comparison of Impacts on Agricultural Resources by Alternative	ES-81
ES-14 Comparison of Impacts on Recreation by Alternative	ES-83
ES-15 Comparison of Effects on Socioeconomics by Alternative	ES-85
ES-16 Comparison of Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Resources by Alternative.....	ES-87
ES-17 Comparison of Impacts after the Application of Mitigation Measures on Cultural Resources by Alternative	ES-89
ES-18 Comparison of Impacts on Transportation by Alternative	ES-92
ES-19 Comparison of Impacts on Public Services and Utilities by Alternative	ES-94
ES-20 Comparison of Impacts on Energy by Alternative	ES-96
ES-21 Comparison of Impacts on Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases by Alternative	ES-101
ES-22 Comparison of Impacts on Noise and Vibration by Alternative	ES-104
ES-23 Comparison of Impacts on Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and Wildfire by Alternative ..	ES-106

ES-24	Comparison of Impacts on Public Health by Alternative	ES-108
ES-25	Comparison of Impacts on Mineral Resources by Alternative	ES-110
ES-26	Comparison of Impacts on Paleontological Resources by Alternative	ES-112
ES-27	Comparison of Impacts on Tribal Cultural Resources by Alternative	ES-120
1-1	Summary of Potential Agencies and Review, Approval, or Other Responsibilities, in Addition to Those under CEQA	1-41
1-2	Summary of Federal Agencies and Review, Approval, or Other Responsibilities, in Addition to Those under NEPA	1-48
3-1	Terminology Crosswalk	3-11
3-2	Summary of Key Project Features by Alternative	3-15
3-3	Temporary Construction and Permanent Acreage for Each Alternative	3-19
3-4	Water Surface and Flood Protection Levee Elevations.....	3-25
3-5	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 1	3-72
3-6	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 2a	3-82
3-7	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 2b.....	3-87
3-8	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 2c	3-91
3-9	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 3	3-97
3-10	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 4a	3-104
3-11	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 4b.....	3-108
3-12	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 4c	3-113
3-13	Summary of Distinguishing Physical Characteristics of Alternative 5	3-117
3-14	Delta Conveyance Project Preliminary Proposed Operations Criteria	3-150
3-15	Proposed North Delta Diversion Bypass Flow and Pulse Protection Requirements	3-152
4-1	Summary of Mitigation Measures with Potential to Cause Environmental Impacts.....	4-11
5-0	Comparison of Surface Water Resources by Project Alternative	5-3
5-1	Monthly Reservoir Inflows under Existing Conditions and the No Project Alternative.....	5-19
5-2	Changes to Trinity Lake Storage under the No Project Alternative	5-23
5-3	Changes to Shasta Lake Storage under the No Project Alternative.....	5-23
5-4	Changes to Lake Oroville Storage under the No Project Alternative.....	5-24

5-5	Changes to Folsom Lake Storage under the No Project Alternative.....	5-24
5-6	Changes to San Luis Reservoir Total Storage under the No Project Alternative	5-25
5-7	Changes to Trinity Lake Storage under the Project Alternatives.....	5-33
5-8	Changes to Shasta Lake Storage under the Project Alternatives.....	5-34
5-9	Changes to Lake Oroville Storage under the Project Alternatives.....	5-35
5-10	Changes to Folsom Lake Storage under the Project Alternatives.....	5-36
5-11	Changes to San Luis Reservoir Total Storage under the Project Alternatives	5-37
6-0	Water Supply for Existing Conditions and the Project Alternatives (thousand acre-feet)	6-3
6-1	California Water—Precipitation and Applied Statewide Water Use, 2011–2015	6-11
6-2	Water Supply Summary, Changes to Water Supply by the No Project Alternative (2040 Hydrology), Geographic Area, and User, Shown in Thousand Acre-Feet and Resulting Percentages, as Compared to Existing Conditions (2020 Hydrology)	6-38
6-3	Frequency of Years of Available Article 21 Deliveries South-of-Delta for the No Project Alternative (2040 Hydrology) as Compared to Existing Conditions (2020 Hydrology) over the Simulation Period	6-39
6-4	Summary of Annual Delta Outflow and Exports October–September under the No Project Alternative (2040 Hydrology) as Compared to Existing Conditions (2020 Hydrology).....	6-40
6-5	Water Supply Summary, Changes to Water Supply by the Project Alternatives, Geographic Area, and User, Shown in Thousand Acre-Feet and Resulting Percentages, as Compared to Existing Conditions (2020 Hydrology).....	6-41
6-6	Frequency of Years of Available Article 21 Deliveries South-of-Delta over the Simulation Period.....	6-43
6-7	Summary of Annual Delta Outflow and Exports October–September under the Project Alternatives as Compared to Existing Conditions (2020 Hydrology).....	6-43
6-8	Other Predictable Actions in the No Project Alternative	6-46
7-0	Comparison of Impacts on Flood Protection by Project Alternative	7-5
7-1	Comparison of Reference Years Used for Flood Protection Impact Analyses	7-36
7-2	Water Surface Elevation Differences for the Project Alternatives at Select Locations in the Sacramento River between the American River Confluence and Sutter Slough Relative to 2022 Conditions	7-43
7-3	Cumulative Impacts on Flood Protection from Plans, Policies, and Programs	7-55

8-0	Comparison of Impacts of Project Operations on Groundwater by Alternative	8-2
8-1	Examples of Effects on Groundwater from Construction and Operation of No Project Alternative Projects.....	8-26
8-2	Number of Intakes and Volume of Surface Water Diverted during Operations by Project Alternative	8-31
8-3	Annual Minimum, Maximum, and Average Change in Stream Aquifer Interaction Relative to Existing Conditions (CEQA Baseline) in the Sacramento River Reach in Model Subregion 4.....	8-32
8-4	Annual Minimum, Maximum, and Average Change in Stream Aquifer Interaction Relative to Existing Conditions (CEQA Baseline) in the San Joaquin River Reach in Model Subregion 4.....	8-33
8-5	Annual Minimum, Maximum, and Average Change in Stream-Aquifer Interaction Relative to Existing Conditions (CEQA Baseline) in Suisun Bay Reach in Model Subregion 1	8-33
8-6	Number of Model Nodes Exceeding Various Difference Thresholds in Groundwater Elevations Relative to Existing Conditions in More than 5% of the Total Simulation Months	8-39
8-7	Number of Supply Wells with Decline in Groundwater Elevations Relative to Existing Conditions	8-44
8-8	Average Annual Change in Groundwater Storage in Acre-Feet per Year and Change Relative to Existing (CEQA Baseline) Conditions	8-49
8-9	Volume of Agricultural Drainage in Acre-Feet and Percent Change in Agricultural Drainage Relative to Existing Conditions	8-52
8-10	Cumulative Impacts on Groundwater from Plans, Policies, and Programs	8-62
9-0	Summary Comparison of Impacts on Water Quality by Alternative	9-4
9-1	Designated Beneficial Uses for Waterbodies in the Study Area	9-6
9-2	Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listed Pollutants and Sources in the Delta, Suisun Bay, and Suisun Marsh	9-10
9-3	Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listed Pollutants and Sources for San Francisco Bay	9-12
9-4	Identification of Constituents Analyzed Qualitatively versus Quantitatively for the Delta Region Assessment	9-33
9-5	Examples of Effects on Water Quality from Construction and Operation of No Project Alternative Projects.....	9-40
9-6	Water Quality Constituents for Which Detailed Assessments Are Performed.....	9-44

9-7	Environmental Commitments That Address Construction-Related Water Quality Effects.....	9-46
9-8	Monthly Average Bromide (in micrograms per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 1 and 3, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-54
9-9	Frequency That Monthly Average Bromide Concentrations Exceed 300 Micrograms per Liter at Delta Assessment Locations under Alternatives 1 and 3, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-55
9-10	Monthly Average Bromide (in micrograms per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2a and 4a, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-62
9-11	Frequency That Monthly Average Bromide Concentrations Exceed 300 Micrograms per Liter at Delta Assessment Locations under Alternatives 2a and 4a, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-63
9-12	Monthly Average Bromide (in micrograms per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2b and 4b, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-64
9-13	Frequency That Monthly Average Bromide Concentrations Exceed 300 Micrograms per Liter at Delta Assessment Locations under Alternatives 2b and 4b, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-66
9-14	Monthly Average Bromide (in micrograms per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2c and 4c, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-67
9-15	Frequency That Monthly Average Bromide Concentrations Exceed 300 Micrograms per Liter at Delta Assessment Locations under Alternatives 2c and 4c, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-69
9-16	Monthly Average Bromide (in micrograms per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternative 5, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-70
9-17	Frequency That Monthly Average Bromide Concentrations Exceed 300 Micrograms per Liter at Delta Assessment Locations under Alternative 5, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-71
9-18	Monthly Average Chloride (in milligrams per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 1 and 3, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-77

9-19	Monthly Average Chloride (in milligrams per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2a and 4a, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-82
9-20	Monthly Average Chloride (in milligrams per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2b and 4b, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-83
9-21	Monthly Average Chloride (in milligrams per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2c and 4c, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-84
9-22	Monthly Average Chloride (in milligrams per liter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternative 5, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-85
9-23	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 1 and 3, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-91
9-24	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2a and 4a, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-98
9-25	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2b and 4b, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-99
9-26	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2c and 4c, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-101
9-27	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Delta Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternative 5, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-102
9-28	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Suisun Marsh Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 1 and 3, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-106
9-29	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Suisun Marsh Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2a and 4a, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-106
9-30	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Suisun Marsh Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2b and 4b, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-107

9-31	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Suisun Marsh Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternatives 2c and 4c, and Difference from Existing Conditions.....	9-108
9-32	Monthly Average Electrical Conductivity (in micromhos per centimeter) at Suisun Marsh Assessment Locations for the Full Simulation Period under Alternative 5, and Difference from Existing Conditions	9-108
9-33	Total Mercury Concentrations in Water (in nanograms per liter), Average for the Full Simulation Period (1923–2015)	9-112
9-34	Total Methylmercury Concentrations in Water (in nanograms per liter), Average for the Full Simulation Period (1923–2015)	9-113
9-35	Total Methylmercury Concentrations in Largemouth Bass (in milligrams per kilogram), Average for the Full Simulation Period (1923–2015)	9-114
9-36	Summary of Delta Source Water Concentrations for Total Phosphorus (in micrograms per liter)	9-124
9-37	Summary of Source Water Concentrations for Total Nitrogen (in milligrams per liter).....	9-124
9-38	Selenium Concentrations in Water (in micrograms per liter), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015).....	9-137
9-39	Selenium Concentrations in Whole-Body Fish (in milligrams per kilogram, dry weight), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015).....	9-138
9-40	Selenium Concentrations in Bird Eggs, Invertebrate Diet (in milligrams per kilogram dry weight), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015)	9-139
9-41	Selenium Concentrations in Bird Eggs, Fish Diet (in milligrams per kilogram dry weight), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015).....	9-139
9-42	Selenium Concentrations in Fish Fillets (in milligrams per kilogram wet weight), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015).....	9-141
9-43	Selenium Concentrations in Fish Fillets (in milligrams per kilogram dry weight), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015).....	9-141
9-44	Selenium Concentrations in Whole Sturgeon Tissue (in milligrams per kilogram dry weight), Average for the Full Simulation Period (Water Years 1923–2015).....	9-142
9-45	Average Concentrations of Trace Metals (in micrograms per liter), Expressed as the Dissolved Fraction, in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and San Francisco Bay ...	9-151
9-46	95th Percentile Concentrations of Trace Metals (in micrograms per liter), Expressed as the Dissolved Fraction, in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and San Francisco Bay.....	9-151

9-47	Modeled Median Residence Time in Hours Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives for Locations with Short Residence Time (i.e., ≤ 72 hours [3 days]).....	9-162
9-48	Residence Time in Hours Modeled Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives, Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel	9-165
9-49	Residence Time in Hours Modeled Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives, Old River South of Clifton Court Forebay	9-167
9-50	Residence Time in Hours Modeled Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives, San Joaquin River near Venice Island	9-168
9-51	Residence Time in Hours Modeled Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives, Mildred Island	9-171
9-52	Residence Time in Hours Modeled Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives, Franks Tract	9-172
9-53	Residence Time in Hours Modeled Using DSM2 for Existing Conditions and Project Alternatives, Discovery Bay.....	9-174
9-54	Cumulative Impacts on Water Quality from Programs, Projects, and Policies.....	9-190
10-0	Comparison of Impacts on Geology and Seismicity by Alternative	10-2
10-1	Hydraulic-Dredge Spoils	10-8
10-2	Peat and Mud of Tidal Wetlands and Waterways	10-8
10-3	Alluvium	10-11
10-4	Alluvial Fans and Terraces from Unglaciaded Drainage Basins	10-12
10-5	Eolian Deposits.....	10-13
10-6	Alluvial Fans from Glaciated Basins	10-14
10-7	Largest Earthquakes Having Affected the Greater San Francisco Bay Area	10-19
10-8	Characteristics of Major Seismic Sources in San Francisco Bay Area, UCERF3	10-20
10-9	Seismic Sources in the Study Area Vicinity	10-21
10-10	Estimated Fault Offsets for West Tracy Fault	10-25
10-11	Seismic Source Contributions at PGA and 1.0 Second Spectral Acceleration for 144-Year and 2,475-Year Return Periods	10-27
10-12	Input and Calculated Ground Surface PGAs at Each Facility.....	10-29
10-13	Cumulative Impacts on Geology and Seismicity from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	10-76
11-0	Comparison of Impacts on Soils by Alternative	11-2

11-1	NRCS Shrink-Swell Soil Classes Based on Linear Extensibility Percent and Coefficient of Linear Extensibility	11-14
11-2	Guidance for Estimating Corrosion Risk to Uncoated Steel.....	11-23
11-3	Soil Classification for Risk of Corrosion to Concrete	11-24
11-4	Extent of Total Ground Disturbance (acres) by Alternative	11-45
11-5	Extent of Permanent Topsoil Loss (acres) by Alternative	11-54
11-6	Cumulative Impacts on Soils from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	11-68
12-0	Comparison of Impacts on Fish and Aquatic Resources by Alternative	12-3
12-1	Fish and Aquatic Species of Management Concern Potentially Affected by the Project Alternatives	12-8
12-2	Interagency Ecological Program 2021 Work Plan Activities Performed or Funded by the California Department of Water Resources	12-26
12-3	Methods for Analysis of Potential Effects on Fish and Aquatic Resources.....	12-43
12-4	Examples of Special-Status Fish Species That Could be Affected by Water Supply Reliability Projects under the No Project Alternative	12-48
12-5	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at a Single Intake Site for the Test Pile Program	12-52
12-6	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at Each Intake for Construction of Cofferdams and Training Walls	12-53
12-7	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at Each Intake for Construction of Log Booms	12-54
12-8	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at Each Bridge Crossing.....	12-55
12-9	Barge Round Trips (Trips in Parentheses) Associated with Construction of Each Intake	12-55
12-10	Number of Waterbody Intersections of Tunnel Boring Machine Routes by Alternative	12-57
12-11	Summary of Tidal Perennial Habitat Affected by Construction Activities (acres)	12-62
12-12	Summary of Channel Margin Habitat Affected by Construction Activities (linear feet).....	12-63
12-13	Elevation of North Delta Diversion Intakes.....	12-77
12-14	Water Column Position (U = Upper 50%; L = Lower 50%) of Top of Cylindrical Tee Screens at Intake A During Various Monthly Water Surface Elevation Exceedance Percentiles.....	12-77

12-15	Water Column Position (U = Upper 50%; L = Lower 50%) of Top of Cylindrical Tee Screens at Intake B During Various Monthly Water Surface Elevation Exceedance Percentiles.....	12-78
12-16	Water Column Position (U = Upper 50%; L = Lower 50%) of Top of Cylindrical Tee Screens at Intake C During Various Monthly Water Surface Elevation Exceedance Percentiles.....	12-78
12-17	Distance and Percentage of River Width of Critical Streakline at North Delta Intakes A, B, and C from Two-Dimensional Hydrodynamic Modeling	12-81
12-18	Percentage of Months with North Delta Diversions within 1,000-cfs Ranges, Categorized by Sacramento River at Freeport Flow, Alternatives 1 and 3, Based on CalSim Modeling	12-82
12-19	Percentage of Months with North Delta Diversions Within 1,000-cfs Ranges, Categorized by Sacramento River at Freeport Flow, Alternatives 2a and 4a, Based on CalSim Modeling	12-84
12-20	Percentage of Months with North Delta Diversions Within 1,000-cfs Ranges, Categorized by Sacramento River at Freeport Flow, Alternatives 2b and 4b, Based on CalSim Modeling	12-86
12-21	Percentage of Months with North Delta Diversions Within 1,000-cfs Ranges, Categorized by Sacramento River at Freeport Flow, Alternatives 2c and 4c, Based on CalSim Modeling	12-87
12-22	Percentage of Months with North Delta Diversions Within 1,000-cfs Ranges, Categorized by Sacramento River at Freeport Flow, Alternative 5, Based on CalSim Modeling	12-88
12-23	Estimated Screen Passage Time (minutes) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon for Screen Lengths of 30 Feet, 450 Feet, and 900 Feet at 0.2-Foot-per-Second Approach Velocity Based on Laboratory Studies of Swanson et al. (2004), at Temperature of 12 Degrees Celsius	12-91
12-23a	Estimated Screen Passage Time (minutes) of Juvenile Chinook Salmon for Screen Lengths of 30 Feet, 450 Feet, and 900 Feet at 0.2-Foot-per-Second Approach Velocity Based on Laboratory Studies of Swanson et al. (2004), at Temperature of 19 Degrees Celsius	12-92
12-24	Mean, Minimum, and Maximum Estimated Time (Minutes) for Juvenile Salmon Acoustic Telemetry System (JSATS)-Tagged Winter-Run Chinook Salmon to Travel Distances of 30 Feet, 450 Feet, and 900 Feet in 2013–2019	12-94
12-25	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-99

12-26	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-99
12-27	Proportion of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Entering the Delta Salvaged at the South Delta Export Facilities, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Zeug and Cavallo (2014)	12-100
12-28	Mean Channel Velocity (feet per second) in the Sacramento River Downstream of Intake C	12-102
12-29	Number of Hours within Each Month with Reversing Flow in the Sacramento River Downstream of Georgiana Slough (DSM2 Channel 423)	12-103
12-30	Probability of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Through-Delta Survival, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, Based on Perry et al. (2018)	12-107
12-31	Probability of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Through-Delta Survival, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, Based on Perry et al. (2018), Including Assumption that Georgiana Slough Migratory Barrier Reduces Entry in Georgiana Slough by 50%	12-109
12-32	Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Delta Passage Model	12-111
12-33	Mean Riparian and Wetland Bench Inundation Index by Geographic Group, Season, and Water Year Type	12-113
12-34	Riparian Bench Length and Total Deficit Compared to Existing Conditions (linear feet) ..	12-120
12-35	Mean Water Temperature (degrees Celsius) by Water Year Type and Month from DSM2-QUAL Modeling, Sacramento River Immediately Downstream of Intake C	12-120
12-36	Mean Water Temperature (degrees Celsius) by Water Year Type and Month from DSM2-QUAL Modeling, Sacramento River at Rio Vista	12-122
12-37	Mean Water Temperature (degrees Celsius) by Water Year Type and Month from DSM2-QUAL Modeling, San Joaquin River at Jersey Point	12-124
12-38	Mean Adult Female Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Escapement (Number of Fish) Based on the IOS Model	12-126
12-39	Mean Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Through-Delta Proportional Survival Based on the IOS Model.....	12-126
12-40	Mean Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Proportional Survival Based on the IOS Model ..	12-127
12-41	Mean Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Fry Proportional Survival Based on the IOS Model...	12-127
12-42	Mean Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Riverine Proportional Survival Based on the IOS Model	12-127

12-43	OBAN Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Escapement Results: Mean Difference (Project Alternatives Minus Existing Conditions, Based on Annual Median Escapement) and Mean Probability of Greater Escapement under Project Alternatives Compared to Existing Conditions	12-128
12-43a	Summary of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Model Results	12-129
12-44	Probability of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Through-Delta Survival, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, Based on Perry et al. (2018), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-133
12-45	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-134
12-46	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-135
12-47	Mean Water Temperature (degrees Celsius) by Water Year Type and Month from DSM2-QUAL Modeling, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-135
12-48	Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Delta Passage Model	12-138
12-49	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-138
12-50	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method.....	12-139
12-51	Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon from the San Joaquin River Basin, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Structured Decision Model Routing Application.....	12-139
12-52	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-141
12-53	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-142
12-54	Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Delta Passage Model	12-143
12-55	Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Delta Passage Model	12-143

12-56	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-144
12-57	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-144
12-58	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-144
12-59	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-145
12-60	Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon from the San Joaquin River Basin, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Structured Decision Model Routing Application	12-145
12-61	Straying Rate (percent) of San Joaquin River Basin Fall-Run Chinook Salmon to the Sacramento River Basin, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Marston et al. (2012)	12-146
12-62	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March	12-146
12-63	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, April	12-147
12-64	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, May	12-147
12-65	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June	12-147
12-66	Mean Number of Days with Delta Cross Channel Open by Water Year Type, October	12-148
12-67	Mean Number of Days with Delta Cross Channel Open by Water Year Type, November	12-148
12-68	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-150
12-69	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-151
12-70	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-151
12-71	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-151

12-72	Straying Rate (Percent) of San Joaquin River Basin Fall-Run Chinook Salmon to the Sacramento River Basin, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Marston et al. (2012), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-152
12-73	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-152
12-74	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, April, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-153
12-75	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, May, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-153
12-76	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-153
12-77	Mean Number of Days with Delta Cross Channel Open by Water Year Type, October, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-154
12-78	Mean Number of Days with Delta Cross Channel Open by Water Year Type, November, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-154
12-79	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-155
12-80	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-155
12-81	Mean San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, February	12-156
12-82	Mean San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March	12-156
12-83	Mean San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, April	12-156
12-84	Mean San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, May	12-157
12-85	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-159
12-86	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-159

12-87	Number of Delta Smelt Collected by Beach Seining in the Sacramento River Between River Miles 12 and 80, January–June 2012–2021, with Frequency of Years with Collection of at Least One Individual Compared to 1994–2014	12-163
12-88	Percentage of Sacramento River Flow Diverted by the North Delta Diversions, March–June	12-165
12-89	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, December	12-167
12-90	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, January	12-167
12-91	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, February	12-167
12-92	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March ...	12-168
12-93	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, April	12-168
12-94	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, May	12-168
12-95	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June	12-168
12-96	Entrainment of Particles at the South Delta Export Facilities from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Delta Smelt Larval/Early Juvenile Distribution	12-169
12-97	Mean Annual Percentage of Suspended Sediment in the Sacramento River at Freeport Entrained by the North Delta Diversions by Water Year Type and Total Percentage Entrained Over Full CalSim Modeling Period (Water Years 1922–2015)	12-170
12-98	Mean <i>Eurytemora affinis</i> Density (adults per cubic meter) in the Low Salinity Zone by Water Year Type	12-171
12-99	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June	12-172
12-100	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, July	12-173
12-101	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, August	12-173
12-102	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, September	12-173
12-103	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, October	12-173
12-104	Percentage of Years with Positive QWEST Flow, July–October	12-174
12-105	Mean QWEST (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, July	12-174
12-106	Mean QWEST (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, August	12-174
12-107	Mean QWEST (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, September	12-175
12-108	Mean QWEST (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, October	12-175

12-109	Estimated 5th, 50th, and 95th Percentile Entrainment of Phytoplankton Carbon at the North Delta Diversions Based on Minimum and Maximum Delta Phytoplankton Carbon Stock Size, Alternatives 1 and 3.....	12-176
12-110	Estimated 5th, 50th, and 95th Percentile Entrainment of Phytoplankton Carbon at the North Delta Diversions Based on Minimum and Maximum Delta Phytoplankton Carbon Stock Size, Alternatives 2a and 4a.....	12-177
12-111	Estimated 5th, 50th, and 95th Percentile Entrainment of Phytoplankton Carbon at the North Delta Diversions Based on Minimum and Maximum Delta Phytoplankton Carbon Stock Size, Alternatives 2b and 4b	12-178
12-112	Estimated 5th, 50th, and 95th Percentile Entrainment of Phytoplankton Carbon at the North Delta Diversions Based on Minimum and Maximum Delta Phytoplankton Carbon Stock Size, Alternatives 2c and 4c	12-178
12-113	Estimated 5th, 50th, and 95th Percentile Entrainment of Phytoplankton Carbon at the North Delta Diversions Based on Minimum and Maximum Delta Phytoplankton Carbon Stock Size, Alternative 5	12-179
12-114	Percentage of Years with X2 Less than 85 km (Low Salinity Zone within Honker Bay), June–December	12-180
12-115	Mean September–November X2 By Water Year Type	12-181
12-116	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March–May	12-182
12-117	Mean Delta Inflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June–September	12-182
12-119	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, December, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-187
12-120	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, January, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-187
12-121	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, February, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-188
12-122	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-188
12-123	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, April, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-188
12-124	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, May, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-189
12-125	Mean Old and Middle River Flow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-189

12-126	Mean <i>Eurytemora affinis</i> Density (adults per cubic meter) in the Low Salinity Zone by Water Year Type, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-189
12-127	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-190
12-128	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, July, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-190
12-129	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, August, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-190
12-130	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, September, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-191
12-131	Mean Delta Outflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, October, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-191
12-132	Percentage of Years with Positive QWEST Flow, July–October, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-191
12-133	Percentage of Years with X2 Less than 85 km (Low Salinity Zone within Honker Bay), June–December, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-192
12-134	Mean September–November X2 By Water Year Type, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-192
12-135	Mean South Delta Exports (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, March–May, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-192
12-136	Mean Delta Inflow (cubic feet per second) by Water Year Type, June–September, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-193
12-137	Entrainment of Neutrally Buoyant Particles at the South Delta Export Facilities from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Longfin Smelt Larval Distribution.....	12-194
12-138	Entrainment of Surface-Oriented Particles at the South Delta Export Facilities from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Longfin Smelt Larval Distribution.....	12-195
12-139	South Delta Flux of Neutrally Buoyant Particles from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Longfin Smelt Larval Distribution	12-196
12-140	South Delta Flux of Surface-Oriented Particles from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Longfin Smelt Larval Distribution	12-196
12-141	Passage Past Chipps Island of Neutrally Buoyant Particles from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Longfin Smelt Larval Distribution	12-197
12-142	Passage Past Chipps Island of Surface-Oriented Particles from DSM2 Particle Tracking Modeling, Weighted by Longfin Smelt Larval Distribution	12-197

12-143	Mean Juvenile Longfin Smelt April–May Salvage at the South Delta Export Facilities by Water Year Type, as Estimated by the Regression Including Mean Old and Middle River Flows	12-198
12-144	Predicted Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Delta Outflow-Abundance Index Method	12-202
12-145	Probability of Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Smaller than Existing Conditions Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Delta Outflow–Abundance Index Method	12-202
12-147	Mean Juvenile Longfin Smelt April–May Salvage at the South Delta Export Facilities by Water Year Type, as Estimated by the Regression Including Mean Old and Middle River Flows, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-204
12-148	Predicted Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Delta Outflow-Abundance Index Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-205
12-149	Probability of No Project Alternative Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Being Smaller than Existing Conditions Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on Delta Outflow-Abundance Index Method	12-205
12-150	Salvage of Green Sturgeon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-207
12-151	Salvage of Green Sturgeon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-207
12-152	Salvage of Green Sturgeon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-210
12-153	Salvage of Green Sturgeon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-210
12-154	Percentage of Sacramento River Flow Diverted by the North Delta Diversions, February	12-211
12-155	Salvage of White Sturgeon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-212
12-156	Salvage of White Sturgeon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-212
12-157	White Sturgeon Year-Class Strength Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on March–July Delta Outflow-Year Class Strength Regression	12-213

12-158	White Sturgeon Year-Class Strength Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on April–May Delta Outflow-Year Class Strength Regression	12-213
12-159	Salvage of White Sturgeon at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-215
12-160	Salvage of White Sturgeon at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-215
12-161	White Sturgeon Year-Class Strength Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on March–July Delta Outflow-Year Class Strength Regression, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-216
12-162	White Sturgeon Year-Class Strength Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on April–May Delta Outflow-Year Class Strength Regression, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions.....	12-216
12-163	Salvage of Lamprey at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-217
12-164	Salvage of Lamprey at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-217
12-165	Salvage of Lamprey at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-219
12-166	Salvage of Lamprey at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-219
12-167	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-221
12-168	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-221
12-169	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-222
12-170	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-223
12-171	Salvage of Starry Flounder at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-223

12-172	Salvage of Starry Flounder at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-224
12-173	Starry Flounder Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-224
12-174	Salvage of Starry Flounder at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-225
12-175	Salvage of Starry Flounder at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-226
12-176	Starry Flounder Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-226
12-177	Salvage of Striped Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-229
12-178	Salvage of Striped Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-229
12-179	Striped Bass Townet Survey Survival Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Survival Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-230
12-180	Striped Bass Townet Survey Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-230
12-181	Striped Bass Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-230
12-182	Striped Bass Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-230
12-183	Striped Bass Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-231
12-184	Salvage of Striped Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-233
12-185	Salvage of Striped Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-233
12-186	Striped Bass Townet Survey Survival Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Survival Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-234

12-187	Striped Bass Townet Survey Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-234
12-188	Striped Bass Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-234
12-189	Striped Bass Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-235
12-190	Striped Bass Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-235
12-191	Salvage of American Shad at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-236
12-192	Salvage of American Shad at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-236
12-193	American Shad Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-237
12-194	American Shad Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-237
12-195	Salvage of American Shad at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-238
12-196	Salvage of American Shad at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-239
12-197	American Shad Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-239
12-198	American Shad Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-239
12-199	Salvage of Threadfin Shad at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-240
12-200	Salvage of Threadfin Shad at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-240

12-201	Salvage of Threadfin Shad at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-242
12-202	Salvage of Threadfin Shad at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-242
12-203	Salvage of Largemouth Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-243
12-204	Salvage of Largemouth Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-243
12-205	Salvage of Smallmouth Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-244
12-206	Salvage of Smallmouth Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method	12-244
12-207	Salvage of Largemouth Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-245
12-208	Salvage of Largemouth Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-246
12-209	Salvage of Smallmouth Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-246
12-210	Salvage of Smallmouth Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method, Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-246
12-211	California Bay Shrimp Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009)	12-247
12-212	California Bay Shrimp Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on X2-Abundance Index Relationship (Kimmerer et al. 2009), Comparing No Project Alternative to Existing Conditions	12-248
12-213	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at a Single Intake Site for the Test Pile Program Relative to California Sea Lion Acoustic Thresholds	12-251

12-214	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at Each Intake for Construction of Cofferdams and Training Walls Relative to California Sea Lion Acoustic Thresholds	12-251
12-215	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at Each Intake for Construction of Log Booms Relative to California Sea Lion Acoustic Thresholds	12-252
12-216	Assumptions and Estimates of Impact Pile Driving Distance and Area of Acoustic Effect at Each Bridge Crossing Relative to California Sea Lion Acoustic Thresholds	12-252
13-0	Comparison of Impacts on Select Terrestrial Biological Resources by Alternative (acres/CEQA findings after mitigation)	13-3
13-1	Area (in acres) of Natural Community Types in the Study Area	13-11
13-2	Designated Critical Habitat within the Study Area for Wildlife and Plant Species	13-26
13-3	Area (acres) of Delineated Jurisdictional Aquatic Features in the Biological Resources Study Area	13-30
13-4	Human Population Density and Associated Ambient Noise Levels	13-59
13-5	Summary of Terrestrial Wildlife Crossing Guilds and Example Species Used in the Analysis	13-62
13-6	Effects on Terrestrial Biological Resources from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Project Alternative	13-72
13-7	Impacts on the Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community by Alternative	13-75
13-8	Impacts on the Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community by Alternative	13-80
13-9	Impacts on the Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community by Alternative	13-89
13-10	Impacts on the Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community by Alternative	13-93
13-11	Impacts on Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland by Alternative	13-96
13-12	Impacts on Nontidal Brackish Emergent Wetland by Alternative	13-100
13-13	Impacts on Alkaline Seasonal Wetland Complex by Alternative	13-102
13-14	Impacts on the Vernal Pool Complex by Alternative	13-105
13-15	Impacts on Dwarf Downingia by Alternative	13-109
13-16	Impacts Spiny-Sepaled Button-Celery by Alternative	13-109
13-17	Impacts on Legenere by Alternative	13-109
13-18	Impacts on Hogwallow Starfish and Delta Woolly Marbles by Alternative	13-109

13-19	Impacts on Alkali Milk-Vetch by Alternative	13-114
13-20	Impacts on Brittscale by Alternative	13-114
13-21	Impacts on Recurved Larkspur by Alternative	13-114
13-22	Impacts on San Joaquin Spearscale by Alternative	13-114
13-23	Impacts on Long-Styled Sand-Spurrey by Alternative	13-115
13-24	Impacts on California Alkali Grass by Alternative	13-115
13-25	Impacts on Crownscale by Alternative	13-115
13-26	Impacts on Ferris' Goldfields by Alternative	13-115
13-27	Impacts on Little Mousetail by Alternative	13-115
13-28	Impacts on Jepson's Coyote-Thistle by Alternative	13-120
13-29	Impacts on Diamond-Petaled California Poppy by Alternative	13-120
13-30	Impacts on Heckard's Peppergrass by Alternative	13-120
13-31	Impacts on Shining Navarretia by Alternative	13-120
13-32	Impacts on Saline Clover by Alternative	13-120
13-33	Impacts on Caper-Fruited Tropicocarpum by Alternative	13-121
13-34	Impacts on Small-Flowered Morning-Glory by Alternative	13-121
13-35	Impacts on Stinkbells by Alternative	13-121
13-36	Impacts on Cotula Navarretia by Alternative	13-121
13-37	Impacts on Bolander's Water-Hemlock by Alternative	13-125
13-38	Impacts on Bristly Sedge by Alternative	13-125
13-39	Impacts on Delta Mudwort by Alternative	13-126
13-40	Impacts on Delta Tule Pea by Alternative	13-126
13-41	Impacts on Marsh Skullcap by Alternative	13-126
13-42	Impacts on Mason's Lilaeopsis by Alternative	13-126
13-43	Impacts on Sanford's Arrowhead by Alternative	13-127
13-44	Impacts on Side-Flowering Skullcap by Alternative	13-127
13-45	Impacts on Suisun Marsh Aster by Alternative	13-127
13-46	Impacts on Woolly Rose-Mallow by Alternative	13-128

13-47	Impacts on Watershed by Alternative	13-132
13-48	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Eel-Grass Pondweed by Alternative	13-133
13-49	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Vernal Pool Aquatic Invertebrates by Alternative	13-137
13-50	Impacts on Modeled Habitat within Critical Habitat for Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp by Alternative.....	13-138
13-51	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Conservancy Fairy Shrimp by Alternative	13-144
13-52	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Vernal Pool Terrestrial Invertebrates by Alternative	13-147
13-53	Impacts on Habitat for Sacramento and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetles by Alternative.....	13-152
13-54	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle by Alternative	13-155
13-55	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Delta Green Ground Beetle by Alternative	13-161
13-56	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Curved-Foot Hygrotus Diving Beetle by Alternative	13-163
13-57	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Crotch Bumble Bee by Alternative	13-168
13-58	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for California Tiger Salamander by Alternative	13-175
13-59	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Western Spadefoot Toad by Alternative	13-188
13-60	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for California Red-Legged Frog by Alternative.....	13-197
13-61	Impacts on Modeled Habitat within Critical Habitat for California Red-Legged Frog by Alternative.....	13-198
13-62	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Western Pond Turtle by Alternative	13-209
13-63	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Coast Horned Lizard by Alternative.....	13-217
13-64	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for California Legless Lizard by Alternative	13-223
13-65	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for California Glossy Snake by Alternative	13-228
13-66	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for San Joaquin Coachwhip by Alternative	13-232
13-67	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Giant Garter Snake by Alternative	13-237
13-68	Impacts on Modeled Migratory Habitat for Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo by Alternative.....	13-248
13-69	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for California Black Rail by Alternative	13-258
13-70	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Greater Sandhill Crane by Alternative.....	13-269
13-71	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Lesser Sandhill Crane by Alternative	13-269
13-72	Impacts on Modeled Foraging Habitat for California Least Tern by Alternative	13-289

13-73	Impacts on Modeled Nesting Habitat for Double-Crested Cormorant, Great Blue Heron, and Great Egret by Alternative	13-297
13-74	Impacts on Modeled Nesting Habitat for Snowy Egret and Black-Crowned Night Heron by Alternative	13-298
13-75	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Osprey by Alternative.....	13-308
13-76	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for White-Tailed Kite by Alternative.....	13-308
13-77	Impacts on Modeled Nesting Habitat for Cooper’s Hawk by Alternative.....	13-308
13-78	Impacts on Modeled Foraging Habitat for Golden Eagle, Ferruginous Hawk, and Other Wintering Raptors by Alternative	13-323
13-79	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Northern Harrier and Short-Eared Owl by Alternative	13-330
13-80	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow by Alternative.....	13-330
13-81	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Swainson’s Hawk by Alternative.....	13-338
13-82	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Burrowing Owl by Alternative	13-348
13-83	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Least Bittern by Alternative	13-358
13-84	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Loggerhead Shrike by Alternative.....	13-358
13-85	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Modesto Song Sparrow by Alternative.....	13-359
13-86	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Yellow-Breasted Chat by Alternative.....	13-359
13-87	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Yellow-Headed Blackbird by Alternative.....	13-359
13-88	Impacts on Modeled Nesting and Foraging Habitat for Yellow Warbler by Alternative ...	13-360
13-89	Impacts on Modeled Recolonization Habitat for Least Bell’s Vireo by Alternative	13-370
13-90	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Suisun Song Sparrow and Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat by Alternative	13-379
13-91	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Tricolored Blackbird by Alternative.....	13-382
13-92	Impacts on Modeled Bat Habitat by Alternative	13-395
13-93	Structures Evaluated for Bat Habitat in the Project Footprint	13-395

13-94	Impacts on San Joaquin Kit Fox Habitat by Alternative	13-406
13-95	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for American Badger by Alternative	13-413
13-96	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for San Joaquin Pocket Mouse by Alternative	13-419
13-97	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse by Alternative	13-423
13-98	Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Riparian Brush Rabbit by Alternative	13-425
13-99	Estimated Discharge of Dredged or Fill Material into Aquatic Resources Associated with the Construction of Project Facilities (acres)	13-427
13-100	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under All Alternatives from Invasive Plant Species (long-term temporary impact acres).....	13-433
13-101	Summary of Terrestrial Wildlife Species Occurring in Study Area with Potential Movement/Connectivity Impacts	13-437
13-102	Summary Table of Conservation Plans that Overlap with the Project Study Area	13-447
13-103	Impacts from Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under the Alternatives Relative to Total Area of Overlap with Conservation Plans	13-447
13-104	Estimated Impacts on Rivers, Streams, and Lakes and Associated Communities Potentially Regulated under California Fish and Game Code 1600 <i>et seq.</i> (permanent, long-term temporary, and temporary acres combined).....	13-461
13-105	Estimated Impacts on Species Habitat Potentially Regulated under California Fish and Game Code 1600 <i>et seq.</i> (permanent, long-term temporary, and temporary acres combined)	13-463
13-106	Cumulative Impacts on Terrestrial Biological Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs	13-478
14-0	Comparison of Impacts on Land Use by Alternative	14-2
14-1	Land Use Impact Mechanisms	14-15
14-2	Estimated Conflicts with Existing Structures	14-22
14-3	Landcover Conversions Due to Proposed Compensatory Mitigation	14-24
14-4	Land Use Designations (acres) inside the Water Conveyance Footprints by Alternative....	14-26
14-5	Cumulative Impacts on Land Use from Plans, Policies, and Programs	14-39
15-0	Comparison of Impacts on Agricultural Resources by Alternative	15-3
15-1	2018 Crop Acreages in the Study Area by County	15-9
15-2	Average County Crop Yield by Type in Crop Year 2017–2018 (tons per acre per year)	15-11
15-3	Applied Irrigation Requirements of Crops Grown in the Study Area by Acre	15-16

15-4	Crop Type Root Depths (in feet)	15-17
15-5	Crop Tolerance and Yield Potential of Selected Crops as Influenced by Irrigation Water Salinity (EC_w) or Soil Salinity (EC_e) in DeciSiemens per Meter (dS/m)	15-19
15-6	Crop Planting, Harvest, and Irrigation Calendar	15-22
15-7	Estimated Conversion of Important Farmland as a Result of Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities by Alternative (acres).....	15-32
15-8	Estimated Conversion of Land (acre) Based on Remnant Important Farmland Area Analysis	15-38
15-9	Estimated Conversion of Important Farmland as a Result of the Compensatory Mitigation Plan on DWR I-5 Ponds 6, 7, and 8 and on Bouldin Island (acres)	15-41
15-10	Estimated Conversion of Land under Williamson Act Contract as a Result of Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities by Alternative (acres).....	15-44
15-11	Estimated Conversion of Land under Contract within a Farmland Security Zone as a Result of Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities by Alternative (acres)	15-45
15-12	Cumulative Impacts on Agricultural Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	15-54
16-0	Comparison of Impacts on Recreation by Alternative	16-2
16-1	Developed Recreation Areas Nearest to Proposed Project Features	16-4
16-2	Boat Owners' Participation in Water- and Land-Based Recreation Activities in the Delta	16-10
16-3	Past Estimates of Boating, Fishing, and Day Use in the Delta.....	16-15
16-4	Waterfowl Hunting Participation in the Delta at Select Public Hunting Locations.....	16-15
16-5	Annual Community-Based Delta Recreation Events.....	16-16
16-6	Summary of Actual Visitation to the Delta	16-17
16-7	Summary of Activities Occurring under the No Project Alternative and Potential Recreation Effects	16-21
16-8	Cumulative Impacts on Recreation from the Plans, Policies, and Programs	16-30
17-0	Comparison of Effects on Socioeconomics by Alternative	17-3
17-1	Population by County and Area, 2010–2060	17-14
17-2	Statutory Delta Communities Population, 2010 and 2018	17-15
17-3	Delta Region Counties and California Age Distribution	17-17
17-4	Housing Units in Delta Region Counties, Statutory Delta Communities, and California, 2010 and 2020	17-18

17-5	Housing Type Trends, by County and Incorporated Communities, 2010–2020	17-19
17-6	Housing Vacancy Rates, by County and Incorporated Communities, 2010–2020.....	17-20
17-7	Delta Region Counties and California Employment Trends, 2017–2019	17-21
17-8	Delta Region Counties Annual Employment and Shares by Major Industry, 2014–2019 ...	17-23
17-9	Income and Poverty Levels	17-24
17-10	Revenues and Expenditures by Delta Region Counties during Fiscal Year 2018–2019	17-26
17-11	Estimated 2020 Spending on Recreation in the Statutory Delta	17-30
17-12	Employment Supported by Spending on Recreation in the Delta Region	17-30
17-13	Average Crop Yields, Prices, and Value per Acre in the Statutory Delta and Project Area, 2016–2018	17-32
17-14	Total Value of Production for Crops in the Statutory Delta and Project Area	17-33
17-15	Typical Establishment Costs for Example Perennial Crops in the Delta Region	17-34
17-16	Land Rent, Labor Hours, and Custom Services for Example Crops in the Delta Region	17-35
17-17	Average Farm Sizes and Revenues in Delta Region Counties, 2007 and 2017	17-36
17-18	Examples of Potential Socioeconomic Effects as a Result of Activities Occurring under the No Project Alternative	17-50
17-19	Temporary Regional Economic Effects on Construction-Related Employment and Labor Income during the Construction Phase	17-53
17-20	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income Due to the Project Construction Footprint	17-56
17-21	Regional Economic Effects on Operations-Related Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance	17-57
17-22	Temporary Regional Economic Effects on Construction-Related Employment and Labor Income Due to Compensatory Mitigation	17-59
17-23	Permanent Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income Due to Compensatory Mitigation	17-60
17-24	Estimated Workforce during Peak Construction	17-61
17-25	Dispersed and Developed Recreational Resources with Activities that Could Experience Disruptions or Quality Losses during Construction	17-72
17-26	Effects on Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Statutory Delta and Project Area Due to the Project Construction Footprint	17-84

17-27	Changes in Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Statutory Delta and Project Area Due to Compensatory Mitigation	17-86
17-28	Cumulative Effects on Socioeconomics from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	17-89
18-0	Comparison of Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Resources by Alternative	18-2
18-1	Summary of Delta Landscapes and Defining Visual Features	18-8
18-2	Delta Scenic Routes near Project Sites	18-11
18-3	Affected Viewer Groups and Associated Sensitivities for the Project	18-13
18-4	Visual Character Elements of Environmental Setting	18-26
18-5	Visual Resource Rating for Determining Visual Quality	18-33
18-6	Changes to Nighttime Light and Glare—Evergreen Forest Example	18-36
18-7	Daytime Light and Glare Levels	18-37
18-8	Nighttime Light and Glare Levels	18-38
18-9	Changes to Nighttime Light and Glare—Oak Woodlands Example	18-39
18-10	Visual Character Element of a Project Environment.....	18-40
18-11	Viewer Response Ratings	18-41
18-12	Level of Visual Resource Impact—CEQA.....	18-43
18-13	Level of Light and Glare Impact—CEQA.....	18-44
18-14	Impact AES-1 – Visual Character/Quality Impact Findings	18-91
18-15	Cumulative Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs	18-116
19-0	Comparison of Impacts after the Application of Mitigation Measures on Cultural Resources by Alternative	19-3
19-1	Previously Identified Archaeological Resources	19-10
19-2	Shipwreck Research Results.....	19-14
19-3	Built-Environment Historical Resources	19-17
19-4	Continuous Source Vibration Levels Commonly Generated by Construction Equipment.....	19-41
19-5	Comparison of Types and Number of Impacts on Built-Environment Historical Resources by Alternative	19-44

19-6	Comparison of Types and Number of Impacts on Built-Environment Historical Resources by Compensatory Mitigation Areas	19-48
19-7	Cumulative Impacts on Cultural Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	19-65
20-0	Comparison of Impacts on Transportation by Alternative	20-3
20-1	Caltrans Draw Bridges in the Study Area	20-13
20-2	Regional Average for Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled per Employee (Existing 2020 Conditions)	20-27
20-3	Regional VMT Analysis by Alternative—Total Construction and Average Construction Employee VMT	20-37
20-4	Regional VMT Analysis by Alternative—Construction VMT vs. Regional Average VMT	20-38
20-5	Regional VMT Analysis by Alternative—Operations & Maintenance VMT vs. Regional Average VMT	20-38
20-6	Bus Routes Potentially Affected by Project Alternative Construction	20-47
20-7	Bridge and Interchange Construction Effects on Local Traffic Conditions	20-55
20-8	Cumulative Impacts on Transportation from Plans, Policies, and Programs	20-68
20-9	Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled (Existing 2020 and Cumulative No Project 2040 Conditions)	20-69
20-10	2040 Regional VMT Analysis by Alternative—Construction VMT vs. Cumulative Regional Average VMT	20-70
20-11	Regional VMT Analysis by Alternative—Operations and Maintenance vs. Cumulative Regional Average VMT	20-71
21-0	Comparison of Impacts on Public Services and Utilities by Alternative	21-2
21-1	Estimated Workforce during Peak Construction and Operation and Maintenance	21-25
21-2	On-Site Septic Treatment during Construction (total gallons)	21-33
21-3	Overhead Transmission Lines—Potential Temporary Surface Crossings (miles)	21-35
21-4	Overhead Transmission Lines—Permanent Surface Crossings (miles)	21-36
21-5	Natural Gas Pipelines—Potential Temporary Subsurface Crossings (miles)	21-37
21-6	Natural Gas Pipelines—Permanent Impacts (miles)	21-37
21-7	Estimated Solid Waste during Construction by Alternative (gsf)	21-43
21-8	Estimated Solid Waste during Operations by Alternative (cubic yards/year)	21-45

21-9	Cumulative Impacts on Public Services and Utilities from Plans, Policies, Programs, and Projects	21-46
22-0	Comparison of Impacts on Energy by Alternative	22-2
22-1	Total System Electric Generation in Gigawatt Hours for 2020	22-4
22-2	Primary State Water Project Hydroelectric Powerplants	22-5
22-3	State Water Project Pumping Plant Loads	22-7
22-4	Central Valley Project Hydroelectric Powerplants	22-8
22-5	Hydropower Generation and Energy Use by Central Valley Project	22-9
22-6	Additional Renewable Energy Options Considered for Project Construction or Operation	22-17
22-7	Total Gasoline and Diesel Estimates for Construction per Alternative (including compensatory mitigation).....	22-20
22-8	Summary of Estimated Electrical Power Load Assumptions for Construction of Key Features in Kilovolt-Amps for Central Alignment (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, and 2c)	22-20
22-9	Summary of Estimated Electrical Power Load Assumptions for Construction of Key Features in Kilovolt-Amps for Eastern Alignment (Alternatives 3, 4a, 4b, and 4c).....	22-21
22-10	Summary of Estimated Electrical Power Load Assumptions for Construction of Key Features in Kilovolt-Amps for Bethany Reservoir (Alternative 5)	22-22
22-11	Estimated Total Temporary Annual and Total Electrical Use Estimates for Construction in Megawatt Hours (including compensatory mitigation)	22-22
22-12	Summary of Estimated Annual Average SWP Energy Generation, Energy Use, and Net Energy Consumption in Gigawatt Hours for Operation of the Alternatives Compared to Existing Conditions.....	22-23
22-13	Summary of Estimated Annual Average CVP Energy Generation, Energy Use, and Net Energy Consumption in Gigawatt Hours for Operation of the Alternatives Compared to Existing Conditions.....	22-23
22-14	Estimated Average Annual Project Pumping Energy Use in Gigawatt Hours for Operation of the Alternatives Compared to Existing Conditions and Compared to Year 2040 Conditions	22-24
22-15	Summary of Annual Average Gasoline and Diesel Estimates for Operations and Maintenance per Alternative under Existing Conditions.....	22-24
22-16	Impacts on Energy from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Project Alternative.....	22-26

22-17	Estimated Gallons of Gasoline and Diesel for Construction of Compensatory Mitigation Sites	22-31
22-18	Cumulative Impacts on Energy from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	22-34
23-0	Comparison of Impacts on Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases by Alternative	23-5
23-1	Current Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	23-8
23-2	Sources and Potential Health and Environmental Effects of Criteria Pollutants.....	23-9
23-3	Lifetimes and Global Warming Potentials of Key Greenhouse Gases	23-14
23-4	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data along the Water Conveyance Alignments (2018–2020)	23-22
23-5	Federal and State Attainment Status along the Water Conveyance Alignments within the SVAB, SJVAB, and SFBAAB	23-24
23-6	Criteria Pollutant Emissions Inventories for the Regional Air Quality Study Area (2017) (tons per day)	23-25
23-7	Global, National, State, and Local GHG Emissions Inventories.....	23-26
23-8	Closest Receptor Distance (feet) and Total Number of Residential Receptors within 1,000 Feet of Surface Construction Features and Adjacent Haul Routes.....	23-29
23-9	SMAQMD, SJVAPCD, BAAQMD, and YSAQMD Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Thresholds.....	23-49
23-10	Localized Ambient Air Quality Thresholds ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	23-51
23-11	PM _{2.5} Concentration Thresholds and Cancer and Noncancer Health Risk Thresholds for Receptor Exposure to Diesel Particulate Matter.....	23-52
23-12	Summary of No Project Activities and Potential Emissions	23-59
23-13	Total Criteria Pollutant and GHG Emissions from SWP Pumping and Displaced Purchases of CVP Electricity for Existing Conditions and the No Project Alternative (tons/year)	23-60
23-14	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1 in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-64
23-15	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2a in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-65
23-16	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2b in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-66
23-17	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2c in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-67

23-18	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-68
23-19	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4a in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-69
23-20	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4b in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-70
23-21	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4c in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-71
23-22	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-72
23-23	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from O&M Activities in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	23-75
23-24	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1 in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-82
23-25	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2a in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-83
23-26	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2b in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-84
23-27	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2c in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-86
23-28	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-87
23-29	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4a in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-88
23-30	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4b in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-90
23-31	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4c in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-91
23-32	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-92
23-33	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from O&M Activities in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-97
23-34	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Compensatory Mitigation Sites in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	23-101

23-35	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1 in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-104
23-36	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2a in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-105
23-37	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2b in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-106
23-38	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2c in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-107
23-39	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-108
23-40	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4a in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-109
23-41	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4b in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-110
23-42	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4c in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-111
23-43	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-112
23-44	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from O&M Activities in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	23-116
23-45	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1 in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-121
23-46	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2a in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-122
23-47	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2b in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-123
23-48	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2c in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-124
23-49	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-125
23-50	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4a in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-126
23-51	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4b in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-127

23-52	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4c in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-128
23-53	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-129
23-54	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from O&M Activities in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	23-130
23-55	Maximum Hourly and Daily CAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Construction of the Project and Compensatory Mitigation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-134
23-56	Maximum Hourly and Daily NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Construction of the Project and Compensatory Mitigation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-136
23-57	Maximum Annual CAAQS and NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Construction of the Project and Compensatory Mitigation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-138
23-58	Maximum Incremental PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentrations from Construction of the Project and Compensatory Mitigation in Areas with Background Concentrations in Excess of the Ambient Air Quality Standard ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-140
23-59	Maximum Carbon Monoxide Concentrations Resulting from Off-Site Construction Traffic (parts per million)	23-143
23-60	Maximum Hourly and Daily CAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Compensatory Mitigation Along I-5 and on Bouldin Island ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-148
23-61	Maximum Hourly and Daily NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Compensatory Mitigation Along I-5 and on Bouldin Island ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-148
23-62	Maximum Annual CAAQS and NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts from Compensatory Mitigation Along I-5 and on Bouldin Island ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-149
23-63	Maximum Incremental PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentrations from Compensatory Mitigation Along I-5 and on Bouldin Island in Areas with Background Concentrations in Excess of the Ambient Air Quality Standard ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-149
23-64	Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Construction of the Project and Compensatory Mitigation	23-152
23-65	Localized PM _{2.5} Concentrations Associated with Construction of the Project in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-154
23-66	Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Standby Engine Generator Testing	23-155
23-67	Localized PM _{2.5} Concentrations Associated with Standby Engine Generator Testing in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	23-156

23-68	Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks Associated with Compensatory Mitigation Along I-5 and on Bouldin Island	23-158
23-69	Total GHG Emissions from Construction of the Project Alternatives Due to Construction Equipment (metric tons CO ₂ e)	23-165
23-70	GHG Emissions from O&M, Increased SWP Pumping, and Displaced Purchases of CVP Electricity under 2020 Conditions (metric tons CO ₂ e per year).....	23-166
23-71	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2045 (Alternative 5).....	23-169
23-72	Annual Additional Emissions from Construction and Displaced Purchases of CVP Electricity for the Central Alignment Alternatives (metric tons CO ₂ e)	23-173
23-73	Annual Additional Emissions from Construction and Displaced Purchases of CVP Electricity for the Eastern Alignment Alternatives (metric tons CO ₂ e).....	23-174
23-74	Annual Additional Emissions from Construction and Displaced Purchases of CVP Electricity for the Bethany Reservoir Alternative (metric tons CO ₂ e).....	23-176
23-75	GHG Emissions from Construction of Initial Compensatory Mitigation Sites (metric tons CO ₂ e)	23-186
23-76	Net Cumulative Land Use Change Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 2a, 4a, and 5 (metric tons CO ₂ e).....	23-188
23-77	Net Cumulative Land Use Change Emissions from Initial Compensatory Mitigation (Bouldin Island and I-5 Ponds) (metric tons CO ₂ e).....	23-190
23-78	Net Cumulative Land Use Change Emissions from Construction and Initial Compensatory Mitigation (metric tons CO ₂ e)	23-191
23-79	Summary of Highest Annual and Daily Emissions from Construction of Any of the Project Alternatives	23-194
23-80	Future Ambient and Maximum Increase in Regional Pollutant Ozone (ppb) and PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³) Concentrations from Construction of Alternative 2a	23-197
23-81	Conservative Estimate of Health Effect Incidence during Construction of Alternative 2a.....	23-198
23-82	Future Ambient and Maximum Increase in Regional Pollutant Ozone (ppb) and PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³) Concentrations from Construction of Alternative 4a	23-198
23-83	Conservative Estimate of Health Effect Incidence during Construction of Alternative 4a.....	23-199
23-84	Future Ambient and Maximum Increase in Regional Pollutant Ozone (ppb) and PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³) Concentrations from Construction of Alternative 5	23-200

23-85	Conservative Estimate of Health Effect Incidence during Construction of Alternative 5.....	23-200
23-86	Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for the Central and Eastern Conveyance Alignment Options.....	23-205
23-87	Cumulative Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District for the Bethany Reservoir Alignment Option	23-205
24-0	Comparison of Impacts on Noise and Vibration by Alternative	24-2
24-1	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels	24-4
24-2	Sound-Level Measurement Sites, Sacramento County.....	24-10
24-3	Sound-Level Measurement Sites, San Joaquin County.....	24-14
24-4	Sound-Level Measurement Sites, Contra Costa County	24-16
24-5	Source Sound Levels for Pile Driving.....	24-20
24-6	Noise Emission Levels Commonly Generated by Heavy Construction Equipment.....	24-21
24-7	Groundborne Vibration Levels Commonly Generated by Construction Equipment	24-25
24-8	Maximum Groundborne Vibration Levels for Preventing Damage to Buildings	24-25
24-9	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Intakes B and C	24-32
24-10	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of the Twin Cities Complex and Operation of the Lambert Concrete Batch Plant	24-33
24-11	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Tunnel Shafts and Levee Improvement Areas, Central Alignment	24-34
24-12	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of the Southern Complex and South Delta Conveyance Facilities.....	24-36
24-13	Heavy Equipment Noise Levels from Construction of Roads and Park-and-Ride Lots	24-38
24-14	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Utilities and SCADA lines.....	24-39
24-15	Traffic Noise Levels on Haul Roads to Intakes, Twin Cities Complex and Lambert Road Concrete Batch Plant	24-39
24-16	Traffic Noise Levels on New Hope Tract Access Roads.....	24-40
24-17	Traffic Noise Levels on Staten Island Access Roads	24-41
24-18	Traffic Noise Levels on Bouldin Island Access Roads	24-41
24-19	Traffic Noise Levels on Mandeville and Bacon Island Access Roads.....	24-42
24-20	Traffic Noise Levels on Southern Complex Access Roads	24-42

24-21	Predicted Noise Levels from Operation of Park-and-Ride Lots	24-43
24-22	Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Routes	24-43
24-23	Noise Levels from Tugboats	24-45
24-24	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Intakes A, B, and C	24-47
24-25	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of the Southern Complex and South Delta Facilities, 7,500 cfs.....	24-48
24-26	Traffic Noise Levels on Intake Access Roads	24-49
24-27	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Intake C	24-50
24-28	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Tunnel Shafts and Levee Improvement Areas, Eastern Alignment.....	24-51
24-29	Traffic Noise Levels on New Hope Tract Access Roads, Eastern Alignment	24-52
24-30	Traffic Noise Levels on Canal Ranch Tract Access Road.....	24-53
24-31	Traffic Noise Levels on Terminous Tract Access Road	24-53
24-32	Traffic Noise Levels on King Island Access Road	24-54
24-33	Traffic Noise Levels on Lower Roberts Island Access Roads	24-54
24-34	Traffic Noise Levels on Upper Jones Tract Access Road	24-55
24-35	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Tunnel Shafts and Levee Improvement Areas, Bethany Reservoir Alignment	24-57
24-36	Land Use Affected by Noise during Construction of Bethany Reservoir Complex	24-58
24-37	Traffic Noise Levels on Lower Roberts Island Access Roads	24-60
24-38	Traffic Noise Levels on Upper Jones Tract Access Road	24-60
24-39	Traffic Noise Levels on Union Island Access Road	24-61
24-40	Traffic Noise Levels on Bethany Complex Access Roads.....	24-61
24-41	Count of Receptors Exceeding Construction Noise Level Criteria, by Project Alternative.....	24-63
24-42	Heavy Equipment Noise Levels from Construction of Compensatory Mitigation	24-68
24-43	Cumulative Impacts on Noise and Vibration from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	24-75
25-0	Comparison of Impacts on Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and Wildfire by Alternative	25-2
25-1	Sites of Concern within or near the Study Area.....	25-19
25-2	Construction Durations.....	25-62

25-3	Cumulative Impacts on Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and Wildfire from Plans, Policies, and Programs	25-65
26-0	Comparison of Impacts on Public Health by Alternative	26-2
26-1	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s 10-Day Drinking Water Health Advisories for Cyanotoxins	26-10
26-2	Preferred Habitat and Seasonal Presence of Common Mosquito Species	26-13
26-3	Mosquitoes Known to Occur in the Delta, Diseases They Commonly Carry, and Flight Range	26-14
26-4	Confirmed West Nile Virus Cases in California (2014–2018)	26-16
26-5	Confirmed West Nile Virus Cases by County in Study Area (2014–2018)	26-16
26-6	Typical EMF Levels for High-Voltage Power Transmission Lines	26-19
26-7	Length of Proposed Permanent 69 kV and 230 kV Transmission Lines (miles) and Proximity to Sensitive Receptors	26-24
26-8a	Change in Aquatic Habitat on Bouldin Island (acres)	26-35
26-8b	Change in Aquatic Habitat at I-5 Ponds 6, 7, and 8 (acres)	26-35
26-9	Cumulative Impacts on Public Health from Plans, Policies, and Programs	26-55
27-0	Comparison of Impacts on Mineral Resources by Alternative	27-3
27-1	Comparison of 50-Year Demand to Permitted Aggregate Resources for Aggregate Analysis Areas as of January 1, 2017	27-6
27-2	Natural Gas Wells within the Study Area, by County	27-10
27-3	Active Natural Gas Wells and Nonassociated Natural Gas Production for Study Area Counties in Thousand Cubic Feet (2014 to 2018)	27-10
27-4	Acres of Natural Gas Field Affected by Alternatives	27-20
27-5	Amounts of Imported Aggregate Materials for Project by Alternative	27-23
27-6	Cumulative Impacts on Mineral Resources from Plans, Policies, Programs, and Projects	27-25
28-0	Comparison of Impacts on Paleontological Resources by Alternative	28-2
28-1	Paleontological Sensitivity Ratings.....	28-4
28-2	Summary of Paleontological Sensitivity of Geologic Units in the Study Area	28-7
28-3	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology’s Recommended Treatment for Paleontological Resources	28-13

28-4	Major Excavation by Project Feature and Alternative	28-15
28-5	Borrow Volume by Formation.....	28-16
28-6	Ground Improvement Locations	28-16
28-7	Examples of Sensitive Geologic Units That Could be Affected under the No Project Alternative.....	28-19
28-8	Summary of Conveyance Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources That Could Be Disturbed along the Central Alignment (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, and 2c)	28-22
28-9	Summary of Conveyance Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources That Could Be Disturbed along the Eastern Alignments (Alternatives 3, 4a, 4b, and 4c)	28-27
28-10	Summary of Conveyance Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources That Could Be Disturbed along the Bethany Alignment (Alternative 5)	28-29
28-11	Summary of Tunnel Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources That Could Be Disturbed along All Alternatives (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, and 5)	28-36
28-12	Cumulative Impacts on Paleontological Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	28-40
29-1	Distribution of Minority Populations in the Study Area by Census Tract and Block Group	29-10
29-2	Median Household Income in Study Area by Census Tract Block Groups 2019	29-15
29-3	Minority Population in the State Water Project Export Service Areas Served by Public Water Agencies Anticipated to Participate in the Delta Conveyance Project	29-29
29-4	Low-Income Households in the State Water Project Export Service Areas Served by Public Water Agencies Anticipated to Participate in the Delta Conveyance Project	29-29
29-5	Types of Water Supply Projects Considered Under the No Project Alternative and Resulting Effects on Minority and Low-Income Populations	29-38
29-6	Level of Effect on Environmental Justice Populations, by Resource and Alternative.....	29-39
30-1	Comparison of Climate Change Chapter to Other Resource Chapters	30-3
30-2	Climate Change Projections for the Study Area	30-14
31-1	Summary of Annual Average Water Delivery Volumes from SWP to South-of-Delta Area	31-3
31-2	CalSim Modeling Results for Average Annual CVP and SWP Water Supply for Alternatives (thousand acre-feet per year)	31-8

31-3	Summary of Agreement in Principle Participating SWP Contractors Water Delivery Volumes by Area and Comparison to Total Water Supply.....	31-9
32-0	Comparison of Impacts on Tribal Cultural Resources by Alternative	32-5
32-1	Effects on Tribal Cultural Resources from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Project Alternative	32-35
32-2	Tribal Views on Delta TCL Character-Defining Features	32-38
32-3	Cumulative Impacts on Tribal Cultural Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs.....	32-58
35-1	Locations and Dates of Scoping Meetings	35-2
35-2	Virtual Public Hearings	35-11
35-3	Presentations and Briefings 2019–2023	35-13
35-4	Workshop Dates, Topics, and Attendees	35-17
35-5	Community Events	35-20
35-6	Community Benefits Framework Workshops	35-22

Figures

	Page
ES-1 Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta	ES-5
ES-2 Delta Conveyance Alternative Alignments and Major Facilities	ES-23
1-1 The Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta	1-5
1-2 Major Components of the SWP and CVP	1-9
1-3 SWP and CVP Service Areas	1-10
1-4 Project and Study Areas	1-31
1-5 Upstream Facilities—Sacramento River and Trinity System (CVP facilities)	1-32
1-6 Upstream Facilities—Feather River System (SWP facilities)	1-33
1-7 Upstream Facilities—American River System (CVP facilities)	1-34
1-8 Upstream Facilities—San Joaquin and Stanislaus River System (CVP facilities)	1-35
3-1 Schematic of Delta Conveyance Project Facilities for the Bethany Reservoir Alignment (top) and Central and Eastern Alignment Alternatives (bottom)	3-9
3-2 Alternative Alignments and Major Facilities	3-10
3-3 Typical Intake Configuration	3-20
3-4 Schematic of Delta Conveyance Project Intake Facilities	3-21
3-5 Cylindrical Tee Screen Facility	3-22
3-6 Schematic of Permanent and Temporary Levees	3-24
3-7 Key Components of a Tunnel Drive (6,000-cfs alternatives)	3-28
3-8 Twin Cities Double Launch Shaft Plan (permanent condition)	3-29
3-9 Typical Maintenance and Reception Shaft Site Postconstruction	3-31
3-10 Southern Forebay Inlet Structure Launch Shaft and Byron Tract Working Shaft Site	3-36
3-11 South Delta Pumping Plant Facilities	3-37
3-12 Southern Complex West of Byron Highway (Alternatives 1, 2b, 2c, 3, 4b, 4c)	3-41
3-13 Power Lines	3-51
3-14 SCADA Fiber Routes	3-55
3-15 Land Reclamation Areas Overview	3-61

3-16	Potential Land Reclamation Areas	3-63
3-17	Project Schematic Central Alignment Alternatives	3-72
3-18	Road Modifications under Central Alignment Alternatives	3-75
3-19	Alternative 1 Construction Schedule	3-79
3-20	Facilities to Serve Jones Pumping Plant	3-84
3-21	Alternative 2a Construction Schedule	3-86
3-22	Alternative 2b Construction Schedule	3-90
3-23	Alternative 2c Construction Schedule	3-94
3-24	Project Schematic Eastern Alignment Alternatives	3-97
3-25	Road Modifications under Eastern Alignment Alternatives	3-99
3-26	Alternative 3 Construction Schedule	3-103
3-27	Alternative 4a Construction Schedule	3-107
3-28	Alternative 4b Construction Schedule	3-111
3-29	Alternative 4c Construction Schedule	3-115
3-30	Alternative 5 Bethany Reservoir Alignment Schematic	3-119
3-31	Bethany Reservoir Pumping Plant and Surge Basin	3-122
3-32	Bethany Reservoir Aqueduct Route with Tunnel Reaches	3-123
3-33	Typical Completed Section for Open Cut Reaches of Pipeline Alignment	3-124
3-34	Bethany Reservoir Discharge Structure	3-126
3-35	Road Modifications under the Bethany Reservoir Alignment	3-129
3-36	Alternative 5 Construction Schedule	3-133
3-37	Seasonal Diversions	3-155
3-38	North Delta Diversion Operations Concepts	3-156
5-1	Sacramento River Basin in the Study Area and Major Surface Water Facilities	5-7
5-2	San Joaquin and Tulare River Basins and Major Surface Water Facilities	5-8
5-3	Percent Change in the Long-Term Average of Monthly Flows Under the No Project Alternative Relative to Existing Conditions	5-21
5-4	Frequency of Duration of Reverse Flow Events	5-28
5-5	Frequency of Reverse Flow Distances	5-28

5-6	Percent Change in the Long-Term Average of Monthly Flows Under the Project Alternatives Relative to Existing Conditions at Select Locations	5-31
6-1a	Developed Water Supply Projects in Northern California	6-5
6-1b	Developed Water Supply Projects in San Francisco Bay Area, San Joaquin Valley, and Tulare Lake	6-6
6-1c	Developed Water Supply Projects in Central Coast and Southern California.....	6-7
6-2	Delta Water Exports 1970–2019.....	6-29
7-1	FEMA Floodplains for a 1% AEP Flood in the Delta.....	7-9
7-2	Map of the Urban and Nonurban Levees along the Sacramento River between the American River Confluence and Sutter Slough	7-19
8-1	California’s Groundwater Basin and Hydrologic Regions	8-5
8-2	Groundwater Basins underlying the Delta Region.....	8-8
8-3	DeltaGW Model Domain and Model Subregions.....	8-16
8-4	DeltaGW Model Physical Project Components.....	8-18
8-5	Interconnected Surface Water Reaches Analyzed in the DeltaGW Model.....	8-29
8-6	PLSS Sections with Supply Wells within 3 Miles of the Delta Region	8-42
8-7	Location of Project Infrastructure in the DeltaGW Model	8-47
8-8	Location of Identified Groundwater Plumes in the Study Area.....	8-57
10-1	Geomorphic Provinces of California	10-5
10-2	Geologic Time Scale	10-6
10-3	Geology of the Study Area	10-9
10-4	Active Faults and Historical Seismicity of the Bay and Delta Region, 1800–2021	10-17
10-5	Overview of Earthquake Shaking Potential Based on California Geological Survey Data ...	10-18
10-6	Faults and Liquefaction Susceptibility in Vicinity of Southern Complex and Bethany Complex	10-32
10-7	Levees Near State Highways	10-67
10-8	Levees Near Access Roads and Levee Access Roads.....	10-69
11-1	Soil Associations.....	11-7
11-2	Soil Organic Matter Content in Near-Surface Soils	11-11
11-3	Soil Shrink-Swell Potential – Near-Surface Soils	11-15

11-4	Water Erosion Hazard	11-19
11-5	Wind Erosion Hazard.....	11-20
11-6	Risk of Corrosion to Uncoated Steel – Near-Surface Soils	11-25
11-7	Risk of Soil Corrosion to Concrete – Near-Surface Soils	11-26
11-8	Thickness of Organic Soils	11-29
11-9	Surface Elevation	11-33
12-1	Time Series Plots of 95% Prediction Intervals of the Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index from Application of the Delta Outflow-Abundance Index Method	12-201
12-2	Overview of Flow-Tolerance Limitations of Green (GS) and White (WS) Sturgeon Throughout the Sacramento-San Joaquin Watershed According to Location and Time of Year, Based on Critical Swimming Speed.....	12-206
13-1	Modeled Habitat in Relation to Suitable and Occupied Habitat.....	13-27
14-1	Land Use Study Area	14-5
14-2	Generalized Land Use Designations in the Study Area	14-13
15-1	Crop Distribution in the Study Area	15-7
15-2	Williamson Act Parcels in the Study Area	15-13
15-3	Farmland Classification in the Study Area	15-14
16-1	Existing Developed Recreation Facilities and Areas	16-7
16-2	Existing Dispersed/Informal Recreation Use Areas	16-8
17-1	Steps in Analyzing Changes in Employment and Income as a Result of Constructing and Operating the Delta Conveyance Project.....	17-40
18-1	Key Observation Points and Proposed Rendering Locations	18-19
18-2	Key Observation Points 22 and 26	18-21
18-3	Key Observation Points 37 and 39	18-22
18-4	Key Observation Points 41 and 82	18-23
18-5	Key Observation Points 85 and 94	18-24
18-6	Key Observation Points 97 and 101	18-25
18-7	Natural Harmony, Cultural Order, and Project Site Coherence Ratings	18-31
18-8	Visual Quality Ratings.....	18-32
18-9	Daytime and Nighttime Light and Glare Level Ratings	18-35

18-10	Existing and Rendered (Postconstruction) Views of Intake C (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5)	18-54
18-11	Existing and Rendered (Construction and Postconstruction) Views of Twin Cities Complex (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5)	18-59
18-12	Existing and Rendered (Construction and Postconstruction) Views of Staten Island Maintenance Shaft (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c)	18-62
18-13	Existing and Rendered (Construction and Postconstruction) Views of Bouldin Island Reception and Launch Shaft, Including Reusable Tunnel Material Area (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c)	18-66
18-14	Existing and Rendered (Postconstruction) Views of South Holt Road Overpass (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c)	18-69
18-15	Existing and Rendered (Construction and Postconstruction) Views of Lower Roberts Island Reception and Launch Shaft (Alternatives 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5)	18-72
18-16	Existing and Rendered (Postconstruction) Views of Southern Complex on Byron Tract from Discovery Bay (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c)	18-78
18-17	Existing and Rendered (Postconstruction) Views of Southern Complex on Byron Tract from Byron Highway (Alternatives 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c)	18-80
18-18	Existing and Rendered (Postconstruction) Views of Bethany Complex from Byron Highway (Alternative 5)	18-86
18-19	Existing and Rendered (Postconstruction) Views of Bethany Reservoir Discharge Structure from Bethany Reservoir State Recreation Area (Alternative 5)	18-89
19-1	AI-BE for the Delta Conveyance Project	19-6
19-2	AI-A for the Delta Conveyance Project	19-8
20-1	Transportation Study Area for the Delta Conveyance Project Alternatives	20-5
20-2	Transit and Bicycle Facilities in the Transportation Study Area	20-9
20-3	Commercial Marine and Public Access Ferry Facilities in the Transportation Study Area	20-11
20-4	Railroads in the Transportation Study Area	20-14
20-5	Air Facilities in the Transportation Study Area	20-19
20-6	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 1	20-33
20-7	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 2a	20-33
20-8	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 2b	20-34
20-9	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 2c	20-34

20-10	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 3	20-35
20-11	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 4a	20-35
20-12	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 4b	20-36
20-13	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 4c	20-36
20-14	Employee Trips and VMT for Alternative 5	20-37
21-1	Law Enforcement Facilities in and near the Study Area	21-5
21-2	Fire Stations in and near the Study Area	21-6
21-3	Hospitals in and near the Study Area	21-9
21-4	School Districts and Schools Serving the Study Area	21-10
21-5	Solid Waste Facilities in the Delta Region	21-13
21-6	Existing Power Transmission Lines in and near the Study Area	21-14
21-7	Natural Gas Lines in and near the Study Area	21-15
22-1	Names, Locations, and Nameplate Capacities of Primary State Water Project Power Facilities	22-6
23-1	Air Basins and Air Districts in the Regional Air Quality Study Area	23-17
23-2	CalEnviroScreen Ranking Scores	23-27
23-3	Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the Central Conveyance Alignment	23-31
23-4	Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the Eastern Conveyance Alignment	23-34
23-5	Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the Bethany Reservoir Alignment	23-37
23-6	DWR Total Emissions 1990–2045 including Alternative 5	23-168
23-7	DWR Total Emissions 1990–2045 including Alternative 5 (revised REPP)	23-170
24-1	Study Area for Noise and Vibration Effects	24-5
24-2	Sound-Level Measurement Sites	24-11
24-3	Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels Allowed by Criteria	24-24
25-1	Oil and Gas Processing Facilities	25-5
25-2	Oil and Gas Wells	25-8
25-3	Hazardous Materials Routes and Railroads	25-10
25-4	Fire Hazard Severity Zones	25-16
25-5	Airports within 2 Miles of Water Conveyance Facilities	25-17

26-1	Population Density and Wetland Communities.....	26-37
26-2	Population Density and Proposed Transmission Lines	26-51
27-1	Aggregate Producers.....	27-7
27-2	Natural Gas Wells in the Delta	27-11
28-1	Paleontological Sensitivity of Geologic Units Exposed at the Surface	28-5
29-1	Environmental Justice Study Area	29-7
29-2	Minority and Hispanic Population in the Study Area.....	29-13
29-3	Census Tracts with 20% or More Households with Median Household Income Less Than \$60,000	29-19
29-4	Your Delta, Your Voice Flyers	29-23
31-1	SWP and CVP and Exports (exports in millions of acre-feet, 1976–2020).....	31-2
31-2	Population Growth across SWP South-of-Delta Contractor Areas	31-4
31-3	Population Growth vs. Total Demand for Water in the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	31-4
31-4	Projected Population Growth across South-of-Delta SWP Contractor Areas.....	31-8
32-1	Study Area	32-7