## 2019088055

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

02-MOD-299	PM 20.3	02-4H990	0219000037	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	<u>P.M./P.M.</u>	E.A/Project No.		o. (Local Project)/Project No.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION activities involved in this box. Use			urpose, location, limits, right	t-of-way requirements, and
The California Department of Trai to route excess stormwater and s sand trap). Construction would in construction of a valley gutter, an feet to dig a trench for the valley g	nsportation (Caltra ediment away fror volve removal of e d installation of a l	ans), using State-only fun n the State-owned sandh existing pavement (grindin traction sand trap. Ground	ouse structure towards a sir g), minor grading, construct I disturbance is expected to	gle treatment BMP (traction ion of new structural sections,
CALTRANS CEQA DETE	RMINATION (	Dheck one)		
Not Applicable – Caltrans i		Lead Agency 🔲 No	Applicable – Caltrans ha mental Impact Report und	s prepared an Initial Study or ler CEQA
Based on an examination of this p Exempt by Statute. (PRC 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ove statements, the project	is:
Categorically Exempt. Clas Based on an examination of apply:	s 1. (PRC 21084	; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)	e following statements are t	rue and exceptions do not
<ul> <li>If this project falls with concern where design</li> </ul>	ated, precisely ma	pped, and officially adopt	ed pursuant to law.	source of hazardous or critical same type in the same place.
over time.			gnificant effect on the enviro	
<ul> <li>This project is not local</li> </ul>	ted on a site inclu	ded on any list compiled	y designated state scenic hi pursuant to Govt. Code § 65 ignificance of a historical re	962.5 ("Cortese List").
Common Sense Exemption possibility that the activity ma	n. [This project doe	es not fall within an exem	ot class, but it can be seen v	
Carolyn Sullivan		Eric A	kana	·
Print Name: Senior Environmental Environmental Branch Chief	Planner or	Print Nat	ne: Project Manager	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Caroly Sillue	2 8/16/	19 <u>E</u>	= f	8/16/19
Signature		Date Signatur		Date .
VEPA COMPLIANCE		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
n accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 letermined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Env	tively have a signi vironmental Asses	ficant impact on the envir sment (EA) or Environme	onment as defined by NEPA	, and is excluded from the
NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Env has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER	tively have a signi vironmental Asses stances pursuant	ficant impact on the envir sment (EA) or Environme to 23 CFR 771.117(b).	onment as defined by NEPA	, and is excluded from the
n accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an En- has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried ou Section 326 and a Memoran has determined the the roje 23 CFR 77, 177(b): 23 CFR 77, 117(b): 23 CFR 77, 117(b):	tively have a signi vironmental Assess stances pursuant <b>RMINATION</b> ( determined that the cumstances as de an EA or EIS und the responsibility dum of Understan act is a Dategori ctiv ty c)(	ficant impact on the envir isment (EA) or Environme to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no significa scribed in 23 CFR 771.11 er the National Environme y to make this determination ding dated May 31, 2016, Exclusion under: COOO he MyU between FHWA	onment as defined by NEPA intal Impact Statement (EIS int impacts on the environm 7(b). As such, the project is intal Policy Act. The State h on pursuant to Chapter 3 of executed between the FHV Capabate and the State	A, and is excluded from the ), and ent as defined by NEPA, and categorically excluded from as been assigned, and hereby Title 23, United States Code, VA and the State. The State
n accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an En- has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circ the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried ou Section 326 and a Memoran has determined the the roje 23 CFR 77, 177(b): 23 CFR 77, 117(b): 23 CFR 77, 117(b):	tively have a signi vironmental Assess stances pursuant <b>RMINATION</b> ( determined that the cumstances as de an EA or EIS under the responsibility dum of Understan act is a Dategori ctiv ty c)() oth ty c)() Appendix A of the xamination of this 23 USC 327. The or this project are	ficant impact on the envir sment (EA) or Environme to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no significat scribed in 23 CFR 771.11 er the National Environme to make this determination to make this determination to make this determination to make the determination to be the Network of the Network to make the determination to be the Network of the Network to make the determination to proposal and supporting the Network of the Network of the Network to make the determination of the Network the Network of the Network of the Network of the Network of the Network the Network of the	onment as defined by NEPA intal Impact Statement (EIS intal Impacts on the environm 7(b). As such, the project is intal Policy Act. The State h on pursuant to Chapter 3 of executed between the FHV <b>Cape Difference</b> and the State information, the State has durinsultation, and any other av ied out by Caltrans pursuar	A, and is excluded from the b, and ent as defined by NEPA, and categorically excluded from as been assigned, and hereby Title 23, United States Code, VA and the State. The State
n accordance with 23 CFR 771.1 determined that this project: does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an En- has considered unusual circum CALTRANS NEPA DETER 23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare certifies that it has carried ou Section 326 and a Memoran- has determined the the roje 23 CFR 77, 117(b): 23 USC 327: Based on an ex- Categorical Exclusion under Federal environmental laws fi	tively have a signi vironmental Asses stances pursuant <b>RMINATION</b> ( determined that the cumstances as de an EA or EIS unduit the responsibility dum of Understan act is a Dategoria cti by c)(	ficant impact on the envir sement (EA) or Environme to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no significa scribed in 23 CFR 771.11 er the National Environme to make this determination ding dated May 31, 2016 Exclusion under: COOL he May between FHWA proposal and supporting environmental review, co being, or have been, carri- per 23, 2016 and executed	onment as defined by NEPA intal Impact Statement (EIS intal Impacts on the environm 7(b). As such, the project is intal Policy Act. The State h on pursuant to Chapter 3 of executed between the FHV <b>Cape Difference</b> and the State information, the State has durinsultation, and any other av ied out by Caltrans pursuar	A, and is excluded from the b, and ent as defined by NEPA, and categorically excluded from as been assigned, and hereby Title 23, United States Code, VA and the State. The State
<ul> <li>n accordance with 23 CFR 771.1</li> <li>determined that this project:</li> <li>does not individually or cumular requirements to prepare an Environments to prepare an Environment to prepare an Environment State has that there are no unusual circums</li> <li>CALTRANS NEPA DETER</li> <li>23 USC 326: The State has that there are no unusual circums to prepare certifies that it has carried ou Section 326 and a Memorann has determined the the roje 23 CFR 77 11741:</li> <li>23 CFR 77 11741:</li> <li>23 USC 327: Based on an exit Categorical Exclusion under Federal environmental laws f Memorandum of Understand</li> </ul>	tively have a signi vironmental Asses stances pursuant <b>CMINATION</b> ( determined that the cumstances as de an EA or EIS undu- t the responsibility dum of Understan ect is a Dategori ctin ty c)(	ficant impact on the envir sement (EA) or Environme to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no significa scribed in 23 CFR 771.11 er the National Environme to make this determination ding dated May 31, 2016 Exclusion under: COOL he May between FHWA proposal and supporting environmental review, co being, or have been, carri- per 23, 2016 and executed	and the State ind the State ind the State ind the State ind the State ind the State ind the State information, the State has do insultation, and any other are ind out by Caltrans pursuar by FHWA and Caltrans.	A, and is excluded from the b, and ent as defined by NEPA, and categorically excluded from as been assigned, and hereby Title 23, United States Code, VA and the State. The State

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

August 16, 2019