

### **III. Revisions, Clarifications, and Corrections to the Draft EIR**

---

---

# III. Revisions, Clarifications, and Corrections to the Draft EIR

---

This section of the Final EIR provides changes to the Draft EIR that have been made to revise, clarify, or correct the environmental impact analysis for the Hollywood & Wilcox Project (the Project). Such changes are a result of public and agency comments received in response to the Draft EIR and/or additional information that has become available since publication of the Draft EIR. The changes described in this section do not result in the Project creating any new or increased significant environmental impacts.

This section is divided into two parts: Section III.A, Corrections and Additions to Draft EIR Sections and Appendices and Section III.B, Effect of Corrections and Revisions.

## A. Corrections and Additions to Draft EIR Sections and Appendices

Additional changes have been made to the Draft EIR as a result of public and agency comments received in response to the Draft EIR and/or new information that has become available since publication of the Draft EIR. Deletions are shown in ~~strike through text~~ and additions are shown in underlined text. Such changes are presented by EIR section.

### I. Executive Summary

Section I, Executive Summary, page 8, revise the fourth full sentence as follows:

The D Limitation (per Ordinance No. 165,660-~~SA220~~, adopted in 1990) ~~on the Hollywood Parcels~~ limits the total floor area contained in all buildings on said parcels to a maximum FAR of 2:1, and also limits buildings on the Hollywood Parcels to a ~~and~~ maximum height of 45 feet; however, a project could exceed the 2:1 FAR subject to certain conditions.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 12, revise the second paragraph as follows:

Rehabilitation and restoration of portions of the Attie Building would occur in accordance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Historic Rehabilitation and includes retention of the existing on-site mural. Per 2019 California Building Code Section 3404A.1, alterations to any building or structure shall comply with the requirements of the code for new construction 101.4.7, "the provisions of the California Existing Building Code shall apply to matters governing the repair, alteration, change of occupancy, addition to and relocation of existing buildings."<sup>6a</sup> Therefore, the applicable provisions of Title 24, Part 10 and the Los Angeles Green Building Code apply to the rehabilitation of this historic structure. Upon completion, the Attie Building would continue to be used for commercial uses.

<sup>6a</sup> 2019 California Building Code, Section 101.4.7 Existing Buildings.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 16, amend the first full sentence as follows:

The Project would also ~~apply for~~ achieve LEED Gold® Certification as required under AB 900.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 79, amend the first paragraph as follows:

~~As identified in Subsection 2.a.(1)(a)(ii), the~~ The Conservation Element primarily addresses the preservation, conservation, protection, and enhancement of the City's natural resources and recognizes the City's responsibility for identifying and protecting its cultural and historical heritage. ~~The Project's consistency with this objective and this policy~~ the Conservation Element is analyzed below.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 79, insert the following paragraphs before the last full paragraph:

As discussed in Section II, Project Description, of this Draft EIR, the Project Site is currently developed with four low-rise commercial buildings and surface parking lot and does contain one historic resource. Specifically, the Attie Building located at the corner of Hollywood Boulevard and Wilcox Avenue is a historic resource and contributing structure to the Hollywood Boulevard Commercial and Entertainment District. The Project would rehabilitate and restore the Attie Building in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards, while the proposed development on Hollywood Boulevard and Wilcox Avenue would also conform to such standards. The Project would not adversely affect the significance of the Attie Building. In

addition, the Project's new construction adjacent to the Attie Building and along Wilcox Avenue would not materially alter historic resources and impair their eligibility as such resources. See Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, of this Draft EIR for further discussion.

In addition, as discussed in Section IV.J, Tribal Cultural Resources, of this Draft EIR, no tribal cultural resources have been identified on the Project Site or within the vicinity of the Project Site, and impacts to tribal cultural resources would be less than significant.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 88, amend the last sentence of the last full paragraph as follows:

Construction delivery/haul trucks would travel between the Project Site and US-101 via ~~Highland Avenue, Cahuenga Boulevard, Wilcox Avenue, Selma Avenue,~~ and Hollywood Boulevard.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 89, amend the first full paragraph as follows:

Revised Table IV.G-12 in Section IV.G, Noise, of this Draft EIR, provides the estimated number of construction-related trips, including haul/delivery trucks and worker vehicles, and the estimated noise levels along the anticipated haul route(s). As indicated in Revised Table IV.G-12, the noise levels generated by construction trucks during all stages of Project construction would be consistent with the existing daytime ambient noise levels along the anticipated haul route(s) and therefore would be below applicable 5-dBA significance criteria. **Therefore, temporary noise impacts from off-site construction traffic would be less than significant.**

Section I, Executive Summary, page 139, amend the second sentence of the last paragraph as follows:

Specifically, implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1 (installation of temporary sound barrier) would reduce the noise generated by on-site construction activities at the off-site sensitive uses, by minimum 15 dBA at the ~~hotel use on Wilcox Avenue~~ Hotel Mark Twain and Dream Hotel (receptor location R1) and by minimum 6 dBA at the hotel use on the Schrader Boulevard (receptor location R3).

Section I, Executive Summary, page 140, amend the third sentence of the first paragraph as follows:

As indicated in Table IV.G-22, the estimated ground-borne vibration levels from construction equipment would be below the significance criteria for human annoyance at all off-site sensitive receptor locations, with the exception of receptor location R1, the hotel uses (Mark Twain Hotel and Dream Hotel) adjacent to the Project Site.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 140, amend the first sentence of the second paragraph as follows:

Implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 would reduce the vibration impacts with respect to human annoyance at the Hotel ~~use~~ Mark Twain and Dream Hotel (represented by receptor location R1).

Section I, Executive Summary, page 144, insert the following between Project Design Feature AIR-PDF-1 and b. Greenhouse Gas Emissions:

**CUL-PDF-1:** The Project will prepare a Historic Structure Report (HSR) that will further document the history of Attie Building and guide its rehabilitation in compliance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards). The HSR will be completed prior to the development of architectural or engineering plans for the rehabilitation. The HSR will be prepared based upon the National Park Service's Preservation Brief #43: The Preparation and Use of Historic Structure Reports. The HSR will provide documentary, graphic, and physical information about the existing conditions of the character-defining features and make recommendations for both changes to the buildings to suit new uses and modern amenities as well as their on-going maintenance after Project completion. The HSR will specifically address the treatment of the Hollywood Boulevard and Wilcox Avenue elevations. The HSR will be provided to the Office of Historic Resources (OHR) for concurrence.

The Attie Building shall be rehabilitated in accordance with the HSR and the Standards. The rehabilitation plans shall be:

1. Developed in consultation with a licensed architect or a historic preservation consultant meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for historic architecture with at least five years of demonstrated experience in the rehabilitation of historic buildings (Resource Expert).

2. Reviewed by the Resource Expert for compliance with the Standards.
  - a. Prior to the completion of the design development drawings, the reviewer shall prepare a technical memorandum regarding compliance with the Standards. In the event the plans do not comply with the Standards, the memorandum shall make recommendations for changes to bring them into compliance.
  - b. The Applicant or the Resource Expert shall submit the memorandum to OHR for concurrence.
  - c. The recommendations of OHR shall be incorporated into the construction documents.
  - d. Building permits may be issued after OHR has concurred the plans comply with the Standards.
3. The requirement of compliance with the Standards for future exterior alterations shall be disclosed in the lease agreements, agreed upon in writing, and mutually enforced by the Applicant and the City. The tenants shall not be permitted to conduct work that does not comply with the Standards.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 146, add the following project design features after Project Design Feature GHG-PDF-4:

**GHG-PDF-5:** Prior to issuance of a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy for the Project, the Applicant shall commit to providing to the lead agency, the City of Los Angeles, a calculation of the net additional emissions resulting from the construction of the Project, to be calculated in accordance with the methodology agreed upon by CARB in connection with the AB 900 certification of the Project. The Applicant shall provide courtesy copies of the calculations to CARB and the Governor's Office promptly following transmittal of the calculations to the City of Los Angeles. The Applicant shall enter into one or more contracts for the implementation of GHG-reducing Project Design Features and/or purchase voluntary carbon credits from an accredited carbon registry in an amount sufficient to offset the Construction Emissions. The Applicant shall provide courtesy copies of any such contracts to CARB and the Governor's Office promptly following the execution of such contracts.

**GHG-PDF-6:** Prior to issuance of any Temporary Certificate of Occupancy for the Project, the Applicant or its successor shall commit to entering into one or more contracts to purchase carbon credits from an accredited carbon registry, which contract, together with any previous contracts for the purchase of carbon credits, shall evidence the purchase of carbon credits in an amount sufficient to offset the Operational Emissions attributable to the Project, and shall be calculated on a net present value basis for a 30-year useful life.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 147, amend the first sentence of Project Design Feature TR-PDF-1 as follows:

~~Prior to the start of construction, demolition,~~ the Project Applicant will prepare a Construction Traffic Management Plan and submit it to LADOT for review and approval.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 150, amend the first sentence of Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-1 as follows:

~~A~~ Prior to demolition, a qualified archaeologist shall be retained to perform periodic inspections of excavation and grading activities at the Project Site.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 150, amend the first sentence of Mitigation Measure GEO-MM-1 as follows:

~~The~~ Prior to demolition, the Project Applicant or its successor shall retain a qualified paleontologist to perform periodic inspections of excavation and grading activities at the Project Site.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 151, amend Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1 as follows:

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1:** A temporary and impermeable sound barrier shall be erected at the locations listed below. At plan check, building plans shall include documentation prepared by a noise consultant verifying compliance with this measure.

- Along the southern property line of the Project Site between the construction areas and the hotel

buildings directly south and southeast of the Project Site (receptor R1). The temporary sound barrier (minimum sound transmission class 25) shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction at the ground level of receptor R1.

- Along the western property line of the Project Site between the construction areas and hotel west of the Project Site (receptor R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 6-dBA noise reduction at the ground level of receptor R3.

Section I, Executive Summary, page 151, amend Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 as follows:

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2:** Prior to ~~start of construction,~~ demolition, the Applicant shall retain the services of a structural engineer or qualified professional to visit the Attie Building, the 2-story commercial building on Hollywood Boulevard (adjacent to the Project Site to the east), and the 3-story hotel building (Hotel Mark Twain) on Wilcox Avenue (adjacent to the Project Site to the south) to inspect and document the apparent physical condition of the buildings' readily-visible features. In addition, the structural engineer shall establish baseline structural conditions of the building and prepare a shoring design.

Prior to start of construction, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified acoustical engineer to review proposed construction equipment and develop and implement a vibration monitoring program capable of documenting the construction-related ground vibration levels at the Attie Building, the 2-story commercial building, and the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building during demolition, grading/excavation, and construction of the subterranean parking garage. The vibration monitoring system shall continuously measure and store the peak particle velocity (PPV) in inch/second. The system shall also be programmed for two preset velocity levels: a warning level of 0.10 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.16 PPV for the 2-story commercial building and 0.25 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building and a regulatory level of 0.12 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.20 PPV for the 2-story commercial building, and 0.30 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building.



The system shall also provide real-time alert when the vibration levels exceed the two preset levels.

In the event the warning level (0.10 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.16 PPV for the 2-story commercial building, and 0.25 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building) is triggered, the contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation, halt construction in the immediate vicinity, and provide feasible steps to reduce the vibration level, including but not limited to halting/staggering concurrent activities and utilizing lower vibratory techniques.

In the event the regulatory level (0.12 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.20 PPV for the 2-story commercial building, and 0.30 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building) is triggered, the contractor shall halt the construction activities in the vicinity of the building and visually inspect the building for any damage. Results of the inspection must be logged and maintained by the contractor and submitted to the Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety. The contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation and provide feasible steps to reduce the vibration level. Construction activities may then restart.

## II. Project Description

Section II, Project Description, page II-1, amend the second sentence of the first paragraph as follows:

The subject property is comprised of 59,933 square feet (approximately 1.4 acres) located at 6430–6440 Hollywood Boulevard and 1624–1648 Wilcox Avenue (the Project Site).

Section II, Project Description, page II-5, revise the last full sentence as follows:

The D Limitation (per Ordinance No. 165,660-~~SA220~~, adopted in 1990) ~~on the Hollywood Parcels~~ limits the total floor area contained in all buildings on said parcels to a maximum FAR of 2:1, and also limits buildings on the Hollywood Parcels to a ~~and~~ maximum height of 45 feet; however, a project could exceed the 2:1 FAR subject to certain conditions.

Section II, Project Description, page II-10, revise the last full paragraph as follows:

Rehabilitation and restoration of portions of the Attie Building would occur in accordance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Historic Rehabilitation and includes retention of the existing on-site mural. Per 2019 California Building Code Section 3404A.1, ~~alterations to any building or structure shall comply with the requirements of the code for new construction~~ 101.4.7, "the provisions of the California Existing Building Code shall apply to matters governing the repair, alteration, change of occupancy, addition to and relocation of existing buildings."<sup>6a</sup> Therefore, the applicable provisions of Title 24, Part 10 and the Los Angeles Green Building Code apply to the rehabilitation of this historic structure. Upon completion, the Attie Building would continue to be used for commercial uses.

<sup>6a</sup> 2019 California Building Code, Section 101.4.7 Existing Buildings.

Section II, Project Description, page II-17, replace Figure II-7 with Revised Figure II-7 on page III-10:



**Revised Figure II-7**  
Conceptual Rendering

Section II, Project Description, page 18, insert Figure II-8 and Figure II-9 on pages III-12 and III-13 after Revised Figure II-7:



**Figure II-8**  
Conceptual Rendering



**Figure II-9**  
Conceptual Rendering

Section II, Project Description, page II-19, revise the second to last sentence of the third paragraph as follows:

The Project would also ~~apply for~~ achieve LEED Gold® Certification as required under AB 900.

## IV.A. Air Quality

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

## IV.B. Cultural Resources

Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, page IV.B-32, amend subsection c.(1) Project Design Features as follows:

~~No specific~~ The following project design features ~~are~~ is proposed with regard to cultural resources:

**CUL-PDF-1:** The Project will prepare a Historic Structure Report (HSR) that will further document the history of Attie Building and guide its rehabilitation in compliance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards). The HSR will be completed prior to the development of architectural or engineering plans for the rehabilitation. The HSR will be prepared based upon the National Park Service's Preservation Brief #43: The Preparation and Use of Historic Structure Reports. The HSR will provide documentary, graphic, and physical information about the existing conditions of the character-defining features and make recommendations for both changes to the buildings to suit new uses and modern amenities as well as their on-going maintenance after Project completion. The HSR will specifically address the treatment of the Hollywood Boulevard and Wilcox Avenue elevations. The HSR will be provided to the Office of Historic Resources (OHR) for concurrence.

The Attie Building shall be rehabilitated in accordance with the HSR and the Standards. The rehabilitation plans shall be:

1. Developed in consultation with a licensed architect or a historic preservation consultant meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for historic architecture with at least five

- years of demonstrated experience in the rehabilitation of historic buildings (Resource Expert).
2. Reviewed by the Resource Expert for compliance with the Standards.
    - a. Prior to the completion of the design development drawings, the reviewer shall prepare a technical memorandum regarding compliance with the Standards. In the event the plans do not comply with the Standards, the memorandum shall make recommendations for changes to bring them into compliance.
    - b. The Applicant or the Resource Expert shall submit the memorandum to OHR for concurrence.
    - c. The recommendations of OHR shall be incorporated into the construction documents.
    - d. Building permits may be issued after OHR has concurred the plans comply with the Standards.
  3. The requirement of compliance with the Standards for future exterior alterations shall be disclosed in the lease agreements, agreed upon in writing, and mutually enforced by the Applicant and the City. The tenants shall not be permitted to conduct work that does not comply with the Standards.

Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, page IV.B-38, amend the first sentence of Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-1 as follows:

A—Prior to demolition, a qualified archaeologist shall be retained to perform periodic inspections of excavation and grading activities at the Project Site.

## **IV.C. Energy**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

## **IV.D. Geology and Soils—Paleontological Resources**

Section IV.D, Geology and Soils, page IV.D-5, amend the first sentence of Mitigation Measure GEO-MM-1 as follows:



~~The~~ Prior to demolition, the Project Applicant or its successor shall retain a qualified paleontologist to perform periodic inspections of excavation and grading activities at the Project Site.

## IV.E. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

## IV.F. Land Use

Section IV.F, Land Use, page IV.F-6, revise subsection (iii) *City of Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element* as follows:

The City of Los Angeles General Plan includes a Conservation Element. The Conservation Element incorporates natural open space, agricultural, and other open space features of the State's General Plan requirements and references other city plans that address mandated subjects, including water supply and demand, which is addressed by city water plans and the Infrastructure Systems Element. The Conservation Element primarily addresses preservation, conservation, protection, and enhancement of the City's natural resources.<sup>1</sup>

Section 5 of the Conservation Element also recognizes the City's responsibility for identifying and protecting its cultural and historical heritage. The Conservation Element established an objective to protect important cultural and historical sites and resources for historical, cultural, research, and community educational purposes and a corresponding policy to continue to protect historic and cultural sites and/or resources potentially affected by proposed land development, demolition, or property modification activities.<sup>1a</sup>

The Project's consistency with applicable policies in the Conservation Element is discussed in the impact analysis below under **Threshold (b)**.

<sup>1</sup> *City of Los Angeles Conservation Element of the General Plan, adopted September 26, 2001, p. I-2.*

<sup>1a</sup> *City of Los Angeles Conservation Element of the General Plan, adopted September 26, 2001, p. II-9.*

Section IV.F, Land Use, page IV.F-10, revise the last full sentence as follows:

The D Limitation (per Ordinance No. 165,660-~~SA220~~, adopted in 1990) ~~on the Hollywood Parcels~~ limits the total floor area contained in all buildings on said

parcels to a maximum FAR of 2:1, and also limits buildings on the Hollywood Parcels to a ~~and~~-maximum height of 45 feet; however, a project could exceed the 2:1 FAR subject to certain conditions.

Section IV.G, Land Use, page IV.F-23, amend the first paragraph of subsection (3) Los Angeles General Plan Conservation Element as follows:

As identified in Subsection 2.a.(1)(a)(ii), the Conservation Element ~~primarily~~addresses the preservation, conservation, protection, and enhancement of the City's natural resources and recognizes the City's responsibility for identifying and protecting its cultural and historical heritage. The Project's consistency with ~~this objective and this policy~~ the Conservation Element is analyzed below.

Section IV.F, Land Use, page IV.F-24, insert the following paragraphs before the first full paragraph:

As discussed in Section II, Project Description, of this Draft EIR, the Project Site is currently developed with four low-rise commercial buildings and surface parking lot and does contain one historic resource. Specifically, the Attie Building located at the corner of Hollywood Boulevard and Wilcox Avenue is a historic resource and contributing structure to the Hollywood Boulevard Commercial and Entertainment District. The Project would rehabilitate and restore the Attie Building in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards, while the proposed development on Hollywood Boulevard and Wilcox Avenue would also conform to such standards. The Project would not adversely affect the significance of the Attie Building. In addition, the Project's development adjacent to the Attie Building and along Wilcox Avenue would not materially alter historic resources and impair their eligibility as such resources. See Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, of this Draft EIR for further discussion.

In addition, as discussed in Section IV.J, Tribal Cultural Resources, of this Draft EIR, no tribal cultural resources have been identified on the Project Site or within the vicinity of the Project Site, and impacts to tribal cultural resources would be less than significant.

## **IV.G. Noise**

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-13, replace Table IV.G-6 with Revised Table IV.G-6 on page III-18:

**Revised Table IV.G-6  
Description of Noise Measurement Locations**

Receptor Location	Description	Approximate Distance from Measurement Location to Nearest Project Site Boundary (feet) <sup>a</sup>	Nearest Noise-Sensitive Land Use(s)
R1	Hotel uses ( <u>Hotel Mark Twain and Dream Hotel</u> ) adjacent to the Project Site <u>to the south and southeast corner</u> , ambient noise measurement was made at the southeastern corner of the Project Site	Adjacent; Southeastern corner of the Project Site	Hotel
R2	Mama Shelter Hotel at the southwest corner of Wilcox Avenue and Selma Avenue	305	Hotel
R3	USA Hostel on the east side of Schrader Boulevard south of Hollywood Boulevard	430	Hotel
R4	Hillview Apartments building at the northwest corner of Hudson Avenue and Hollywood Boulevard	365	Residential
R5	Apartments building on the west side of Wilcox Avenue north of Hollywood Boulevard	330	Residential
R6	Cosmo Lofts building on the west side of Cosmo Street south of Hollywood Boulevard	405	Residential

<sup>a</sup> Distances are estimated using Google Earth.  
Source: Acoustical Engineering Services (AES), 2020. See Appendix I of this Draft EIR.

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-25, revise the second full paragraph as follows:

Construction of the Project would commence with demolition of the existing buildings (with the exception of the Attie Building located at the northwest corner of the Project Site), and surface parking areas, followed by grading and excavation for the subterranean parking. Building foundations would then be constructed, followed by building construction, paving/concrete installation, and landscape installation. It is estimated that approximately 58,000 cubic yards of soil would be hauled from the Project Site during the excavation phase. Construction delivery/haul trucks would travel on approved truck routes between the Project Site and the Hollywood Freeway (US-101). Haul trucks ~~arriving at~~ departing the Project Site would travel ~~westbound~~ north on Wilcox Avenue, eastbound on Hollywood Boulevard, then northbound on ~~Highland Avenue~~ Cahuenga Boulevard to US-101. Haul trucks ~~departing~~ arriving to the Project Site would travel on US-101 to southbound on Cahuenga Boulevard, ~~then westbound to Hollywood~~

Boulevard on Selma Avenue, and northbound on Wilcox Avenue to the Project Site.

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-27, amend the last paragraph as follows:

In addition to on-site construction noise sources, other noise sources may include materials delivery, concrete mixing, and haul trucks (construction trucks), as well as \construction worker vehicles accessing the Project Site during construction. Typically, construction trucks generate higher noise levels than construction worker vehicles. The major noise sources associated with off-site construction trucks would be associated with delivery/haul trucks. As described above, construction delivery/haul trucks would travel between the Project Site and US-101 via Highland Avenue, Cahuenga Boulevard, Wilcox Avenue, Selma Avenue, and Hollywood Boulevard.

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-30, replace Table IV.G-12 with Revised Table IV.G-12 on page III-20:

**Revised Table IV.G-12  
Off-Site Construction Haul Truck Noise Levels**

Construction Phase	Estimated Number of Construction Truck/Worker Trips per Day	Estimated Number of Construction Truck/Worker Trips per Hour <sup>a</sup>	Estimated Haul Truck Noise Levels Along the Project Haul Route (L <sub>eq</sub> (dBA)) (Project/Project + Ambient)			
			Hollywood Boulevard	Highland Wilcox Avenue	Selma Avenue	Cahuenga Boulevard
Demolition	40/24	5/12	58.7/71.2	58.7/71.2/67.4	58.7/67.4	58.7/71.2 60.6/71.3
Grading	106/60	22/30	64.1/71.7	64.1/71.7/68.7	64.1/68.7	64.1/71.7 66.8/72.3
Parking Structure Foundation	262/100	22/50	64.5/71.8	64.5/71.8/68.8	64.5/68.8	64.5/71.8 67.0/72.4
Building Construction	154/300	20/150	65.7/72.0	65.7/72.0/69.3	65.7/69.3	65.7/72.0 67.6/72.6
Paving/Concrete/Landscape	20/650	3/325	66.1/72.1	66.1/72.1/69.5	66.1/69.5	66.1/72.1 66.3/72.2
Existing Ambient Noise Levels Along the Project Haul Route, L <sub>eq</sub> (dBA) <sup>b</sup>			70.9	70.9/66.8	66.8	70.9
Significance Criteria, L <sub>eq</sub> (dBA) <sup>c</sup>			75.9	75.9/71.8	71.8	75.9
Maximum Exceedance over Significance Criteria, L <sub>eq</sub> (dBA)			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Significant Impact?</b>			<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>
<p><sup>a</sup> For construction trucks, the number of hourly trips is based on an hourly average, assuming a uniform distribution of trips over an 8-hour work day for the demolition, building construction, and paving/concrete/landscape phases; over a 5-hour work day for the grading phase; and over a 12-hour work day for the foundation phase. To present a conservative analysis, this assumes all of the workers (i.e., half of the trips) would arrive within one hour.</p> <p><sup>b</sup> Ambient noise levels along the haul route is based on measurements at nearby receptor locations (i.e., receptors R4 along Hollywood Boulevard and R2 along Wilcox Avenue and Selma Avenue). <del>Ambient</del> The ambient noise levels along <del>Hollywood Boulevard</del> Cahuenga Boulevard <del>are</del> is estimated based on measurement at Receptor R4.</p> <p><sup>c</sup> Significance criteria are equivalent to the measured daytime ambient noise levels plus 5 dBA.</p> <p>Source: AES, 2020.</p>						

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-43, amend Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1 as follows:

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1:** A temporary and impermeable sound barrier shall be erected at the locations listed below. At plan check, building plans shall include documentation prepared by a noise consultant verifying compliance with this measure.

- Along the southern property line of the Project Site between the construction areas and the hotel buildings directly south and southeast of the Project Site (receptor R1). The temporary sound barrier (minimum sound transmission class 25) shall be designed to provide a minimum 15-dBA noise reduction at the ground level of receptor R1.
- Along the western property line of the Project Site between the construction areas and hotel west of the Project Site (receptor R3). The temporary sound barrier shall be designed to provide a minimum 6-dBA noise reduction at the ground level of receptor R3.

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-43, amend the second sentence of subsection (3) Level of Significance After Mitigation as follows:

Specifically, implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1 (installation of temporary sound barrier) would reduce the noise generated by on-site construction activities at the off-site sensitive uses, by minimum 15 dBA at the ~~hotel use on Wilcox Avenue~~ Hotel Mark Twain and Dream Hotel (receptor location R1) and by minimum 6 dBA at the hotel use on the Schrader Boulevard (receptor location R3).

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-46, amend the third sentence of subsection (ii) Human Annoyance Impacts from On-Site Construction as follows:

As indicated in Table IV.G-22, the estimated ground-borne vibration levels from construction equipment would be below the significance criteria for human annoyance at all off-site sensitive receptor locations, with the exception of receptor location R1, the hotel uses (Mark Twain Hotel and Dream Hotel) adjacent to the Project Site.

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-48, amend Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 as follows:

**Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2:** Prior to ~~start of construction, demolition,~~ the Applicant shall retain the services of a structural engineer or qualified professional to visit the Attie Building, the 2-story commercial building on Hollywood Boulevard (adjacent to the Project Site to the east), and the 3-story hotel building (Hotel Mark Twain) on Wilcox Avenue (adjacent to the Project Site to the south) to inspect and document the apparent physical condition of the buildings' readily-visible features. In addition, the structural engineer shall establish baseline structural conditions of the building and prepare a shoring design.

Prior to start of construction, the Applicant shall retain the services of a qualified acoustical engineer to review proposed construction equipment and develop and implement a vibration monitoring program capable of documenting the construction-related ground vibration levels at the Attie Building, the 2-story commercial building, and the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building during demolition, grading/excavation, and construction of the subterranean parking garage. The vibration monitoring system shall continuously measure and store the peak particle velocity (PPV) in inch/second. The system shall also be programmed for two preset velocity levels: a warning level of 0.10 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.16 PPV for the 2-story commercial building and 0.25 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building and a regulatory level of 0.12 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.20 PPV for the 2-story commercial building, and 0.30 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building. The system shall also provide real-time alert when the vibration levels exceed the two preset levels.

In the event the warning level (0.10 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.16 PPV for the 2-story commercial building, and 0.25 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building) is triggered, the contractor shall identify the source of vibration generation, halt construction in the immediate vicinity, and provide feasible steps to reduce the vibration level, including but not limited to halting/staggering concurrent activities and utilizing lower vibratory techniques.

In the event the regulatory level (0.12 PPV for the Attie Building, 0.20 PPV for the 2-story commercial building, and 0.30 PPV for the ~~3-story hotel~~ Hotel Mark Twain building) is triggered, the contractor shall halt the construction activities in the vicinity of the building and visually inspect the building for any damage. Results of the inspection must be logged and maintained by the contractor and submitted to the Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety. The contractor shall identify the source of

vibration generation and provide feasible steps to reduce the vibration level. Construction activities may then restart.

In the event damage occurs to historic finish materials (applicable to the Attie Building) due to construction vibration, such materials shall be repaired in consultation with a qualified preservation consultant and, if warranted, in a manner that meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-50, amend the first sentence of the second paragraph as follows:

Implementation of Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-2 would also reduce the vibration impacts with respect to human annoyance at the Hotel Mark Twain and Dream Hotel use ~~(represented by~~ receptor location R1).

Section IV.G, Noise, page IV.G-56, amend the second sentence of the last paragraph as follows:

Specifically, based on the existing daytime ambient noise level of 70.9 dBA ( $L_{eq}$ ) along the anticipated haul route, including ~~Highland Avenue~~, Cahuenga Boulevard and Hollywood Boulevard (refer to Revised Table IV.G-12 on page IV.G-30), it is estimated that up to 125 truck trips per hour could occur along Highland Avenue, Cahuenga Boulevard, and Hollywood Boulevard without exceeding the significance criteria of 5 dBA above ambient noise levels.

## **IV.H.1 Public Services—Fire Protection**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

## **IV.H.2 Public Services—Police Protection**

Section IV.H.2, Public Services—Police Protection, page IV.H.2-15, amend the last full paragraph as follows:

As shown in Table IV.H.2-3 on page IV.H.2-16, based on the police service population conversion factors provided on page K.1-3 of the *L.A. CEQA Thresholds Guide*, growth from the related projects that fall within the boundaries of the Hollywood Service Area is estimated to result in total service population of approximately ~~93,126~~ 94,422 persons, including both residents (permanent population) and employees (daytime population). As



described above, the Project would contribute to this cumulative increase by generating a net service population of approximately 763 persons, including permanent residents and daytime workers. When considering only residential population, the related projects are estimated to generate ~~52,720~~ 54,772 residents. As such, the related projects' residential population plus the Project's 793 net new residents would result in an estimated increase of ~~53,513~~ 55,565 residents in the Hollywood Service Area. Accordingly, the Hollywood Area police service population would increase from 300,000 to ~~353,513~~ 355,565 residents, which would decrease the officer-to-resident ratio from 1.2 officers per 1,000 residents to 1.0 officer per 1,000 residents.

Section IV.H.2, Public Services—Police Protection, page IV.H.2-18, Table IV.H.2-3, revise Related Project No. 27 as shown on page III-25.

Section IV.H.2, Public Services—Police Protection, page IV.H.2-26, Table IV.H.2-3, revise the table totals at the bottom of the table as shown on page III-25.

No. <sup>b</sup>	Project Name/Address	Land Use	Unit/Area	Conversion Factor <sup>c</sup>	Service Population	Crimes <sup>d</sup>
27.	Millennium Hollywood Mixed-Use Project <sup>b</sup> 1740 N. Vine St.	Apartments	<del>492 du</del> 1,005 du	4 persons/du	<del>1,968</del> 4,020	<del>41</del> 84
		Hotel	200 rm	1.5 persons/rm	300	7
		Office	100,000 sf	0.004 person/sf	400	9
		Fitness Club	35,000 sf	0.004 person/sf	140	3
		Retail/Restaurant	<del>15,000 sf</del> 30,176 sf	0.003 person/sf	<del>45</del> 91	<del>1</del> 2
		Restaurant	34,000 sf	0.003 person/sf	102	3

<b>Related Projects Service Population</b>				<b>93,126</b>	<b>2,051</b>
				<b><u>94,422</u></b>	<b><u>2,076</u></b>
<b>Project Net Service Population</b>				<b>763</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Total Related + Project Net</b>				<b>93,889</b>	<b>2,067</b>
				<b><u>95,185</u></b>	<b><u>2,092</u></b>
<b>Related Projects Residential Service Population</b>				<b>52,720</b>	<b>1,125</b>
				<b><u>54,772</u></b>	<b><u>1,168</u></b>
<b>Project Net Residential Service Population</b>				<b>793</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Total Related + Project Net (Residential)</b>				<b>53,513</b>	<b>1,141</b>
				<b><u>55,565</u></b>	<b><u>1,184</u></b>

Section IV.H.2, Public Services—Police Protection, page IV.H.2-28, amend the first partial paragraph as follows:

additional ~~4,144~~1,184 crimes per year. This degree of cumulative population growth could increase the demand for LAPD services in the Hollywood Area. However, of the ~~4,144~~1,184 potential crimes per year, the Project's incremental contribution is only 16 crimes per year. In addition, the Project would implement Project Design Features POL-PDF-2 through POL-PDF-6 to reduce the demand for police protection services on the Project Site. Therefore, the Project's incremental impact is not cumulatively considerable.

### **IV.H.3 Public Services—Schools**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

### **IV.H.4 Public Services—Libraries**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

### **IV.H.5 Public Services—Parks and Recreation**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

## **IV.I. Transportation**

Section IV.I, Transportation, page IV.I-24, amend the first sentence of Project Design Feature TR-PDF-1 as follows:

Prior to ~~the start of construction,~~ demolition, the Project Applicant will prepare a Construction Traffic Management Plan and submit it to LADOT for review and approval.

## **IV.J. Tribal Cultural Resources**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

### **IV.K.1 Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure**

Section IV.K.1, Utilities and Service Systems—Water Supply and Infrastructure, page IV.K.1-23, amend the fourth sentence of the last full paragraph as follows:

As mentioned above, with updates published every five years, the most recent *California Water Plan Update 2013* ~~will be followed by an update for 2018~~ *2018* built on its predecessor by identifying specific performance tracking metrics, recommending financing methods with stable revenues, and incorporating principles of sustainability.

#### **IV.K.2 Utilities and Service Systems—Wastewater**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

#### **IV.K.3 Utilities and Service Systems—Energy Infrastructure**

No corrections or additions have been made to this section of the Draft EIR.

### **B. Effect of Corrections and Revisions**

CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 requires that an EIR which has been made available for public review, but not yet certified, be recirculated whenever significant new information has been added to the EIR. The entire document need not be circulated if revisions are limited to specific portions of the document.

The relevant portions of CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 read as follows:

- (a) *A lead agency is required to recirculate an EIR when significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the draft EIR for public review under Section 15087 but before certification. As used in this section, the term “information” can include changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information. New information added to an EIR is not “significant” unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative) that the project’s proponents have declined to implement. “Significant new information” requiring recirculation include, for example, a disclosure showing that:*

- (1) *A new significant environmental impact would result from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented.*
  - (2) *A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless mitigation measures are adopted that reduce the impact to a level of insignificance.*
  - (3) *A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed would clearly lessen the environmental impacts of the project, but the project's proponents decline to adopt it.*
  - (4) *The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded. (Mountain Lion Coalition v. Fish and Game Com. (1989) 214 Cal.App.3d 1043)*
- (b) *Recirculation is not required where the new information added to the EIR merely clarifies or amplifies or makes insignificant modifications in an adequate EIR.*

With the exception of the additions and corrections to Section II, Project Description, Section IV.D, Land Use and Planning, and Section IV.G, Noise, the additions and corrections above are limited to typographical errors, minor revisions, and updates to the regulatory setting. These additions and corrections would not result in new significant impacts or increase the impacts of the Project.

With respect to the changes in Project design shown in Revised Figure II-7, Figure II-8, and Figure II-9 on pages III-10, III-12, and III-13, respectively, these minor updates to the Project design do not constitute "significant new information" according to Section 15088.5(a) of the CEQA Guidelines in that: (1) a new significant impact would not occur; (2) a substantial increase in the severity of an impact would not occur; (3) a considerably different Project alternative or mitigation measure has not been identified; and (4) the revised design serves to amplify the existing analysis which was previously adequate. Therefore, the minor design changes do not constitute "significant new information" and do not necessitate recirculation of the Draft EIR.

With respect to Land Use, the additional analysis of the Project's consistency with the Conservation Element summarizes the analysis of historic resources included in Section IV.B, Cultural Resources, and the analysis of tribal cultural resources included in Section IV.J, Tribal Cultural Resources, of the Draft EIR, respectively.

With respect to Noise, the additions and corrections provided above clarify that receptor location R1 is representative of both the Hotel Mark Twain and Dream Hotel in response to public comment. The additions and corrections also include changes to the haul route. As shown in Revised Table IV.G-12 on page III-20, impacts associated with off-site construction noise would remain less than significant.

Therefore, the additions and corrections contained in this section and the information contained in Section II, Responses to Comments, of this Final EIR, clarify, amplify, or make insignificant changes to the Draft EIR. In addition, Section II, Responses to Comments, of this Final EIR, fully considers and responds to comments claiming that the Project would have significant impacts or more severe impacts not disclosed in the Draft EIR and demonstrates that none of these comments provided substantial evidence that the Project would result in changed circumstances, significant new information, considerably different mitigation measures, or new or more severe significant impacts than were discussed in the Draft EIR. Rather, the additions and corrections to the Draft EIR address typographical errors, provide minor revisions, and augment the analysis of the Draft EIR and would not result in new significant impacts or an increase in any impact already identified in the Draft EIR. Thus, none of the conditions in CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5 are met and recirculation of the Draft EIR is not required.