Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 1995061010

Project Title: Soil Vapor Extraction Upgrade Project

Lead Agency: City of Burbank

Contact Name: Joseph Onyebuchi, Associate Planner

Email: JOnyebuchi@burbankca.gov Phone Number: (818) 238-5250

Project Location: Burbank, Los Angeles County

City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

This environmental document supplements the Proposed Lockheed Soil Vapor Extraction System Focused EIR (State Clearinghouse No. 1995061010). The Project constitutes a source area removal action that is a continuation of past remediation efforts (including the operation of a soil vapor extraction [SVE] treatment system), referred to in this document as the Approved Project, at a portion of the former Lockheed Martin Plant B-1 Site, focusing on reducing concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) within two source areas, per Cleanup and Abatement Order (Order) No. 87-161 by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. Proposed remediation efforts would be contained within the Project Site. Although the legacy SVE treatment system installed for the Approved Project removed a substantial amount of VOCs from impacted areas within the former Plant B-1 Site, elevated concentrations of VOCs remain in the subsurface soils at and around two smaller, more localized source areas, Vapor Monitoring Points (VMP) VMP-3 and VMP-5, within the Project Site. The Proposed Project would replace the legacy SVE treatment system with a smaller, more efficient system capable of handling the lower flowrates and higher VOC concentrations.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

MM BIO-1:To avoid potential impacts to nesting birds, any necessary impacts to vegetation associated with project implementation shall be conducted outside of the typical nesting season for native birds in the region. This period is variable, but generally extends from March 1 to August 31. If vegetation removal must be conducted during the nesting bird season, a qualified biologist shall first conduct a survey to determine whether any native birds are nesting in the area. If any active nests are found (i.e., complete nests with at least one egg).
MM CUL-1: Any fossil specimens recovered from the Project would be scientifically significant. Excavation activity associated with the development of the Project area would impact the paleontologically sensitive Quaternary units, and it is the recommendation of the Western Science Center that a paleontological resource mitigation program be put in place to monitor, salvage, and curate any recovered fossils from the study area.
MM CUL-2: If cultural resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, work in the immediate area shall halt and the Archaeological Monitor, shall evaluate the find. If necessary, the evaluation may require preparation of a treatment plan and archaeological testing for California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) eligibility. If the discovery proves to be significant under CEQA, such discovery proves to be eligible for the CRHR and cannot be avoided by the Project, additional work such as data recovery, excavation, and archaeological mitigation may be warranted to mitigate any significant impacts. In the event that an identified cultural resource is of Native American origin, the Archaeological Monitor shall immediately notify the City of Burbank Community Development Department's Planning

Division to implement Native American consultation procedures.

MM CUL-3: In the event human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. I f the remains are determined to be Native American, the County Coroner shall notify the NAHC, which will determine and notify an MLD. Preservation i n place and avoidance of identified remains shall be considered the only mitigation option.

MM TCR-1: Prior to construction, it is the goal of this monitoring program to avoid impacts to all Tribal Cultural Resources (TCRs), both recorded and unrecorded, as feasible during project grading/construction. The monitoring program shall ensure a good faith effort is made to avoid impacts to TCRs.

The Project Applicant/Permittee shall be required to retain and compensate for the services of a Tribal Monitor/Consultant (Monitor) who is a representative of the Gabrieleno San Gabriel Band of Mission Indians (GSGBMI). Outreach shall be made to the point of contact for GSGBMI identified during consultation no less than 2 weeks prior to project construction to obtain a Monitor. The Applicant shall also obtain an on-call archaeologist that meets Secretary of Interior Professional Qualifications
(Archaeologist) to support any discovery efforts.

Prior to construction initiation, the Monitor and/or other designee of GSGBMI shall be afforded the opportunity to conduct an on-site visual evaluation of the project location, including the surrounding areas.

Additionally, prior to construction initiation, there shall be an on-site, pre-grading meeting with the Monitor(s), the grading contractor, and the Applicant, and the on-call Archaeologist to discuss monitoring protocol and safety. This on-site, pre-grading meeting shall take place within 1 week of construction initiation, or on the first day of grading prior to initiation.

MM TCR-2: If any TCRs are discovered during the project, the Monitor(s) shall be authorized to stop and redirect construction work to assess the nature and significance of the find. The on-call Archaeologist shall also be notified to support assessment of the find. Work shall halt within 50 feet of the find to facilitate this assessment, and a barrier, such as flagging tape or caution tape, shall be placed around the avoidance area to ensure the find and any nearby deposits are avoided. Work can continue i n other portions of the site as long as sufficient monitoring coverage can be provided for both the assessment of the find and construction work i n other areas. Once construction work has been halted/redirected, specific criteria of potential significance shall be determined. This includes first determining the existence of any of the following:

- Possible human remains;
- A prehistoric feature such as a fire hearth or rock cairn, ceremonial shrines and shelters; Midden deposits; including cultural landscapes, and mineral sources;
- Prehistoric artifacts, lithic scatter, manuport artifacts, utilized stone or marine shell;
- A discrete concentration of tribal historic refuse; or
- A tribal historic feature.

If any of these items are found, the on-call Archaeologist shall map the location with handheld global positioning system (GPS) unit, photograph the find (with the exception of human remains), and record the resource on the requisite CA DPR523 series site form. The City of Burbank (City) and representatives of the GBSBMI shall confer regarding significance of the resource and eligibility as a TCR, while the on-call Archaeologist shall make a recommendation to the City as to the find's significance as a Historical Resource and/or Unique Archaeological Resource under CEQA. Once determination of significance is applied, the City, i n consultation with representatives of GSGBMI Tribal government, such as the Chair and/or Tribal Cultural Resource Manage, and on-call Archaeologist shall then develop and implement a written Treatment Plan that outlines treatment of the resource.

Preservation in place via a project redesign and creation of an open space area around the resources to avoid i n perpetuity any further impacts to the resources is the preferred treatment option. However, should i t be determined by the City that preservation in place is infeasible for the project, then the Treatment Plan shall outline the option of excavation of the resource and reburial on site in an area that can be protected in perpetuity. If no portion of the site can feasibly be protected i n perpetuity, as determined by the City, then the material shall be curated at a repository in the County that meets criteria outlined by the American Alliance of Museums. The Applicant shall be responsible for all fees related to curation, to include obtaining additional archaeological staff and Monitors, as needed, to support excavation, materials needed for the collection of resources, and donation to, and long-term management by, the repository.

Construction work shall not recommence i n the vicinity of the cultural deposit until a significance determination has been made for the find, the find has been sufficiently recorded, and the redesign or excavation treatment has been executed. The remainder of the construction work shall be monitored by the on-call Archaeologist or their or their designee, alongside the tribal Monitor.

At the completion of grading, monitoring, and treatment, a report of findings shall be prepared by the on-call Archaeologist and submitted to the City and representatives of GSGBMII Tribal government for review. Once final, i t shall be submitted to the NAHC for inclusion into the Sacred Lands File (SLF) Database, as well as submitted to the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) along with site records. The report shall be in the format consistent with the State of California Office of Historic Preservation Planning Bulletin No. 4, "Archaeological Resource Management Report (ARMR): Recommended Contents and Format."

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Two members of the public provided verbal comments during the SEIR Scoping Meeting held in-person on Thursday, March 20, 2025 between 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m at the City of Burbank Community Development Department. Two additional written comments were received. Chapter 1: Introduction of the SEIR includes a summary of scoping comments. The City received two comments from the public, a letter from Caltrans, and a comment letter from the DTSC. The comments received pertained to water quality impacts, air quality and construction vehicle trips, and hazards.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible agencies: None
Trustee agencies: None