

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1—Report

PREFACE	I
S. SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Introduction and Background.....	S-1
S.2 Tiered Environmental Review: Final Statewide Program EIR/EIS and Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Project EIR/EIS	S-4
S.3 Issues Raised During the Scoping, Alternatives Analyses, and Environmental Review Process.....	S-6
S.4 Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	S-9
S.4.1 Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System.....	S-9
S.4.2 Purpose of the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	S-9
S.4.3 CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and within the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	S-9
S.4.4 Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	S-10
S.5 Alternatives	S-11
S.5.1 No Project Alternative	S-12
S.5.2 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alternatives.....	S-12
S.6 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	S-17
S.7 No Project Alternative Impacts	S-21
S.8 High-Speed Rail Alternatives Evaluation	S-25
S.8.1 High-Speed Rail Benefits.....	S-25
S.8.2 Adverse Effects Common to All Alternatives	S-26
S.8.3 Comparison of Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	S-27
S.8.4 CEQA Summary of Impacts and Mitigation	S-81
S.9 Section 4(f) and Section 6(f).....	S-92
S.9.1 Section 4(f)	S-92
S.9.2 Section 6(f)	S-93
S.10 Community Analysis.....	S-93
S.11 Capital and Operational Costs.....	S-94
S.12 Areas of Controversy	S-97
S.13 Environmental Process	S-97
S.13.1 Public and Agency Comment.....	S-97
S.13.2 Identification of Preferred Alternative	S-97
S.14 Next Steps in the Environmental Process.....	S-98
S.14.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority Decision Making	S-99
S.14.2 Federal Railroad Administration Decision Making	S-99
S.14.3 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Decision Making	S-99
S.14.4 Surface Transportation Board Decision Making	S-100
S.15 Project Implementation.....	S-100
1 PROJECT PURPOSE, NEED, AND OBJECTIVES	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.1.1 The High-Speed Rail System.....	1-1

1.1.2	The Decision to Develop a Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-2
1.1.3	Implementation of the Statewide High-Speed Rail System	1-4
1.1.4	The Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	1-9
1.1.5	Lead Agencies, Cooperating Agencies, and Responsible Agencies	1-11
1.1.6	Compatibility with Federal Transportation Policy	1-12
1.2	Purpose of and Need for the High-Speed Rail System and the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	1-13
1.2.1	Purpose of the High-Speed Rail System	1-13
1.2.2	Purpose of the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	1-13
1.2.3	CEQA Project Objectives of the High-Speed Rail System in California and Within the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	1-13
1.2.4	Statewide and Regional Need for the High-Speed Rail System in the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	1-14
1.3	Relationship to Other Agency Plans, Policies, and Programs	1-36
1.3.1	California Transportation Plan 2050	1-36
1.3.2	LAUS Master Plan	1-36
1.3.3	Link Union Station Project	1-37
1.3.4	Los Angeles – San Diego – San Luis Obispo Rail Corridor Improvement Plans	1-37
1.3.5	Measure R (Los Angeles County)	1-38
1.3.6	Measure M (Los Angeles County)	1-39
1.3.7	Long Beach-East LA Corridor Mobility Investment Plan	1-39
1.3.8	Metro 2028 Games Mobility Concept Plan	1-40
1.3.9	Metrolink Southern California Optimized Rail Expansion	1-40
1.3.10	Metrolink Strategic Business Plan	1-41
1.3.11	Orange County Measure M2	1-41
1.3.12	Southern California Association of Governments 2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy	1-42
1.3.13	Riverside County Transportation Commission Short Range Transit Plan (Fiscal Year 2022/23–2026/27)	1-43
1.4	Relationship to Other Transportation Projects in the Los Angeles to Anaheim Study Area	1-43
1.4.1	Metro Purple (D) Line Extension	1-43
1.4.2	Santa Ana-Garden Grove Fixed Guideway Project	1-44
2	ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Independent Utility	2-2
2.3	Background	2-3
2.3.1	California High-Speed Rail System Background	2-3
2.4	High-Speed Rail System Infrastructure	2-4
2.4.1	System Design Performance, Safety, and Security	2-5
2.4.2	High-Speed Rail Vehicles	2-6
2.4.3	High-Speed Rail Stations	2-8
2.4.4	High-Speed Rail Infrastructure Components	2-10
2.4.5	Grade Separations	2-15
2.4.6	Traction Power Distribution	2-17

2.4.7	Signaling and Train-Control Elements.....	2-20
2.4.8	Track Structure	2-21
2.4.9	Maintenance Facilities	2-21
2.5	Alternatives Considered During Alternatives Screening Process.....	2-23
2.5.1	High-Speed Rail Project-Level Alternatives Development Process	2-23
2.5.2	Range of Potential Alternatives Considered and Findings.....	2-28
2.6	Alignment, Station Site, and Maintenance Facility Site Alternatives Evaluated in this Project Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement.....	2-41
2.6.1	No Project Alternative	2-41
2.6.2	High-Speed Rail Build Alternatives – Overview.....	2-55
2.6.3	Shared Passenger Track Alternative A	2-62
2.6.4	Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	2-136
2.6.5	Early Action Projects.....	2-142
2.6.6	Optional High-Speed Rail Station	2-144
2.7	Travel Demand and Ridership Forecasts	2-151
2.7.1	Ridership and High-Speed Rail System Design	2-152
2.7.2	Ridership and Environmental Impact Analysis	2-154
2.7.3	Ridership and Station-Area Parking	2-154
2.8	Operations and Service Plan.....	2-155
2.8.1	High-Speed Rail Service.....	2-155
2.8.2	Other Rail Services in the Shared Corridor	2-156
2.8.3	Maintenance Activities	2-157
2.9	Additional High-Speed Rail Development Considerations	2-158
2.9.1	High-Speed Rail, Land Use Patterns, and Development Around High-Speed Rail Stations	2-158
2.9.2	Right-of-Way Acquisition for Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of High-Speed Rail	2-159
2.10	Construction Plan and Phased Implementation Strategy.....	2-163
2.10.1	Project Delivery	2-163
2.10.2	Statewide High-Speed Rail Phased Implementation Strategy ..	2-164
2.10.3	General Approach.....	2-165
2.10.4	Preconstruction Activities.....	2-165
2.10.5	Major Construction Activities.....	2-175
2.11	Permits and Approvals	2-181
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	3.1-1
3.1	Introduction	3.1-1
3.1.1	Federal and State Regulatory Context.....	3.1-1
3.1.2	State and Regional Policy Context.....	3.1-3
3.1.3	Chapter 3 Purpose.....	3.1-3
3.1.4	Chapter 3 Organization.....	3.1-4
3.1.5	Chapter 3 Content	3.1-5
3.1.6	Outreach to Local Agencies	3.1-17
3.1.7	Legal Authority to Implement Off-Site Mitigation	3.1-18
3.2	Transportation.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Introduction.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.2-3
3.2.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.2-22

3.2.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.2-22
3.2.5	Affected Environment	3.2-43
3.2.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-57
3.2.7	Mitigation Measures	3.2-122
3.2.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.2-147
3.2.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.2-155
3.3	Air Quality and Global Climate Change.....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Introduction	3.3-1
3.3.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.3-3
3.3.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.3-46
3.3.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.3-47
3.3.5	Affected Environment	3.3-73
3.3.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-99
3.3.7	Mitigation Measures	3.3-176
3.3.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.3-179
3.3.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.3-191
3.4	Noise and Vibration	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction	3.4-1
3.4.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.4-2
3.4.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.4-21
3.4.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.4-22
3.4.5	Affected Environment	3.4-37
3.4.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.4-43
3.4.7	Mitigation Measures	3.4-77
3.4.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.4-83
3.4.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.4-87
3.5	Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
3.5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.5-6
3.5.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.5-13
3.5.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.5-14
3.5.5	Affected Environment	3.5-20
3.5.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-25
3.5.7	Mitigation Measures	3.5-44
3.5.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.5-45
3.5.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.5-51
3.6	Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
3.6.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.6-2
3.6.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.6-14
3.6.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.6-14
3.6.5	Affected Environment	3.6-22
3.6.6	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-39
3.6.7	Mitigation Measures	3.6-76
3.6.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.6-78
3.6.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions.....	3.6-87
3.7	Biological and Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
3.7.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.7-7
3.7.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.7-24
3.7.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.7-25

3.7.5	Affected Environment	3.7-40
3.7.6	Environmental Consequences	3.7-74
3.7.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-126
3.7.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.7-140
3.7.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.7-155
3.7.10	Summary of Section 7 Consultation	3.7-157
3.8	Hydrology and Water Resources.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction.....	3.8-1
3.8.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.8-2
3.8.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.8-17
3.8.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.8-18
3.8.5	Affected Environment	3.8-27
3.8.6	Environmental Consequences	3.8-48
3.8.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-81
3.8.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.8-81
3.8.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.8-91
3.9	Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction.....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.9-4
3.9.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.9-14
3.9.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.9-15
3.9.5	Affected Environment	3.9-24
3.9.6	Environmental Consequences	3.9-64
3.9.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-90
3.9.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.9-90
3.9.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.9-101
3.10	Hazardous Materials and Wastes.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.10-3
3.10.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.10-14
3.10.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.10-15
3.10.5	Affected Environment	3.10-23
3.10.6	Environmental Consequences	3.10-33
3.10.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-59
3.10.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.10-61
3.10.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.10-71
3.11	Safety and Security	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction.....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.11-3
3.11.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.11-28
3.11.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.11-29
3.11.5	Affected Environment	3.11-43
3.11.6	Environmental Consequences	3.11-76
3.11.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-118
3.11.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.11-119
3.11.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.11-133
3.12	Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction.....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.12-3
3.12.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.12-21
3.12.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.12-22

3.12.5	Affected Environment	3.12-34
3.12.6	Environmental Consequences	3.12-58
3.12.7	Mitigation Measures	3.12-99
3.12.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.12-102
3.12.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.12-113
3.13	Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
3.13.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.13-3
3.13.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.13-23
3.13.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.13-24
3.13.5	Affected Environment	3.13-34
3.13.6	Environmental Consequences	3.13-47
3.13.7	Mitigation Measures	3.13-65
3.13.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.13-66
3.13.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.13-71
3.14	Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land	3.14-1
3.14.1	Introduction	3.14-1
3.14.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.14-1
3.14.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.14-4
3.14.4	Affected Environment	3.14-11
3.14.5	Environmental Consequences	3.14-14
3.14.6	Mitigation Measures	3.14-15
3.14.7	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.14-15
3.14.8	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.14-15
3.15	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	3.15-1
3.15.1	Introduction	3.15-1
3.15.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.15-2
3.15.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.15-15
3.15.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.15-15
3.15.5	Affected Environment	3.15-21
3.15.6	Environmental Consequences	3.15-40
3.15.7	Mitigation Measures	3.15-80
3.15.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.15-82
3.15.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.15-89
3.16	Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.16-3
3.16.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws	3.16-21
3.16.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.16-22
3.16.5	Affected Environment	3.16-29
3.16.6	Environmental Consequences	3.16-52
3.16.7	Mitigation Measures	3.16-101
3.16.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.16-106
3.16.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.16-111
3.17	Cultural Resources	3.17-1
3.17.1	Introduction	3.17-1
3.17.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	3.17-5
3.17.3	Consistency with Local Plans and Laws	3.17-16
3.17.4	Coordination of Section 106 Process with NEPA and CEQA Compliance	3.17-17
3.17.5	Methods for Evaluating Impacts	3.17-49

3.17.6	Affected Environment	3.17-73
3.17.7	Environmental Consequences	3.17-116
3.17.8	Mitigation Measures.....	3.17-165
3.17.9	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.17-169
3.17.10	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.17-175
3.18	Regional Growth	3.18-1
3.18.1	Introduction.....	3.18-1
3.18.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.18-2
3.18.3	Consistency with Plans and Laws.....	3.18-8
3.18.4	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.18-8
3.18.5	Affected Environment	3.18-14
3.18.6	Environmental Consequences	3.18-21
3.18.7	Mitigation Measures.....	3.18-33
3.18.8	NEPA Impacts Summary	3.18-33
3.18.9	CEQA Significance Conclusions	3.18-36
3.19	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.19-1
3.19.1	Introduction.....	3.19-1
3.19.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	3.19-1
3.19.3	Methods for Evaluating Impacts.....	3.19-3
3.19.4	Cumulative Impacts Analysis	3.19-29
3.19.5	Mitigation Measures (for Any Newly Identified Significant Cumulative Impacts).....	3.19-103
3.19.6	Impacts Summary.....	3.19-104
4	DRAFT SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) EVALUATIONS	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-2
4.1.1	Laws, Regulations, and Orders.....	4-3
4.1.2	Resource Study Area.....	4-7
4.1.3	Section 4(f) Applicability.....	4-26
4.1.4	Section 4(f) Use Definitions	4-27
4.2	Coordination.....	4-28
4.2.1	Parks, Recreation Areas, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-29
4.2.2	Cultural Resources	4-33
4.3	Purpose and Need	4-33
4.4	Alternatives	4-33
4.4.1	No Project Alternative	4-33
4.4.2	Shared Passenger Track Alternative A	4-34
4.4.3	Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	4-35
4.4.4	High-Speed Rail Station Options	4-35
4.5	Section 4(f) Applicability Analysis.....	4-35
4.5.1	Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-36
4.5.2	Cultural Resources	4-57
4.6	Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Assessment.....	4-70
4.6.1	Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges	4-70
4.6.2	Cultural Resources	4-136
4.7	Avoidance Alternatives.....	4-200
4.7.1	Preliminary Individual Resource Avoidance Assessments	4-201
4.8	Measures to Minimize Harm.....	4-205

4.9	Section 4(f) Least Harm Analysis	4-208
4.9.1	Net Harm to Section 4(f) Property	4-215
4.9.2	Impacts on Environmental Resources Outside of Section 4(f) Uses	4-215
4.10	Section 6(f)	4-215
5	COMMUNITY ANALYSIS	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.1.1	Definition of Resources	5-3
5.2	Laws, Regulations, and Orders	5-3
5.2.1	Federal	5-3
5.2.2	State	5-7
5.2.3	Regional and Local	5-9
5.3	Methods for Evaluating Effects	5-15
5.3.1	Definition of Reference Community and Resource Study Area	5-15
5.3.2	Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features	5-34
5.3.3	Mitigation Measures	5-35
5.3.4	Methods for Impact Analysis	5-37
5.4	Affected Environment	5-42
5.4.1	Low-Income Populations	5-42
5.4.2	Minority Populations	5-46
5.4.3	Disadvantaged Communities	5-52
5.4.4	Station and Maintenance Facility Area Demographics	5-52
5.5	Inclusive Public Engagement	5-60
5.5.1	Affected Populations and Communities	5-60
5.5.2	Summary of Public Outreach Issues and Concerns	5-100
5.6	Assessment of Impacts	5-101
5.6.1	Overview	5-101
5.6.2	No Project Alternative	5-101
5.6.3	Project Impacts	5-104
5.7	Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects Prior to Consideration of Measures to Minimize Harm	5-171
5.7.1	Operational Air Quality	5-175
5.7.2	Operational Noise and Vibration	5-175
5.7.3	Hazardous Materials and Wastes	5-175
5.7.4	Business Displacements	5-176
5.7.5	Project Benefits	5-178
5.8	Community Analysis—Specific Measures to Minimize Harm	5-179
5.9	Preliminary Conclusion	5-181
6	PROJECT COSTS AND OPERATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Capital Costs	6-1
6.2.1	Standardized Capital Cost Categories	6-2
6.2.2	Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Build Alternatives	6-3
6.2.3	Maintenance Facilities	6-5
6.3	Operation and Maintenance Costs	6-5
6.3.1	Assumptions	6-6
6.3.2	Operating Speeds	6-6
6.3.3	Development of Operational and Maintenance Costs	6-7

7	OTHER NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA)/CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) CONSIDERATIONS	7-1
7.1	Unavoidable Adverse Effects and Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	7-1
7.1.1	Adverse Effects that Cannot Be Avoided Under NEPA	7-1
7.1.2	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts Under CEQA.....	7-7
7.2	Project Benefits	7-10
7.2.1	Transportation Benefits.....	7-11
7.2.2	Environmental Benefits	7-11
7.2.3	Economic and Employment Benefits.....	7-11
7.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	7-11
7.4	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	7-13
8	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Summary of Comments.....	8-3
8.2.1	California Legislators	8-6
8.2.2	Project Area Local Governments	8-7
8.2.3	Federal Agencies	8-8
8.2.4	Tribal Consultation	8-8
8.2.5	State Agencies	8-8
8.2.6	Regional and Other Public Agencies.....	8-8
8.2.7	Businesses	8-9
8.2.8	Organizations	8-9
8.2.9	Individuals.....	8-9
8.3	Alternatives Considered	8-10
8.4	Preferred Alternative	8-14
8.4.1	Alignment	8-27
8.4.2	Identification of the Preferred Alternative	8-32
8.5	Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	8-33
8.6	Environmentally Preferable Alternative.....	8-33
9	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	9-1
9.1	Inclusive Public Involvement	9-3
9.1.1	Inclusive Public Involvement for Current Alternatives (Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B)	9-5
9.1.2	Inclusive Public Outreach for Previous High-Speed Rail Project Alternative (2018)	9-6
9.2	Public and Agency Scoping.....	9-6
9.2.1	2007 Initial Public and Agency Scoping	9-7
9.2.2	2020 Public and Agency Scoping	9-10
9.3	Alternatives Analysis Process	9-14
9.3.1	Overview of Stakeholder and Technical Working Group Meetings During the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-15
9.3.2	Coordination with Other Railroad Corridor Owners/Operators....	9-16
9.3.3	Supplemental Alternatives Analyses: 2010, 2016, and 2023.....	9-16
9.3.4	Public Information Meetings and Materials.....	9-17
9.3.5	Environmental Resource Agency Coordination During the Alternatives Analysis Process	9-18
9.4	Development of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-19

9.4.1	Agreements and Memoranda of Understanding	9-19
9.4.2	Public Information Materials and Meetings	9-20
9.4.3	Tribal Coordination Meetings	9-23
9.4.4	Section 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and Assembly Bill 52 Consultation	9-28
9.4.5	Stakeholder and Technical Working Group Meetings	9-31
9.4.6	Agency Meetings and Consultation	9-31
9.5	Notification and Circulation of the Draft EIR/EIS	9-34
10	EIR/EIS DISTRIBUTION	10-1
10.1	Repository Locations	10-1
10.1.1	Anaheim	10-1
10.1.2	Buena Park	10-1
10.1.3	Commerce	10-1
10.1.4	Cudahy	10-2
10.1.5	Fullerton	10-2
10.1.6	La Mirada	10-2
10.1.7	Los Angeles	10-2
10.1.8	Montebello	10-2
10.1.9	Norwalk	10-2
10.1.10	Orange	10-2
10.1.11	Pico Rivera	10-2
10.1.12	Sacramento	10-2
10.1.13	Santa Fe Springs	10-3
10.1.14	Vernon	10-3
10.1.15	Whittier	10-3
10.2	Federal Agencies	10-3
10.3	State Agencies	10-4
10.4	Elected Officials	10-6
10.4.1	Federal Elected Officials	10-6
10.4.2	State Elected Officials	10-6
10.4.3	Regional County Board of Supervisors	10-7
10.4.4	Mayors	10-7
10.4.5	City Council Members	10-7
10.5	Regional/Local Agencies	10-9
10.6	Organizations and Businesses	10-15
10.7	Native American Contacts	10-19
10.8	Schools and Districts	10-20
11	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1
11.1	California High-Speed Rail Authority	11-1
11.2	List of Preparers	11-2
12	REFERENCES/SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION	12-1
12.1	General	12-1
12.2	References/Sources by Chapter	12-1
	Summary	12-1
	Chapter 1 Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives	12-2
	Chapter 2 Alternatives	12-8
	Chapter 3 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures	12-12
	Chapter 4 Draft Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluations	12-97

Chapter 5 Community Analysis	12-100
Chapter 6 Project Costs and Operations	12-102
Chapter 7 Other National Environmental Policy Act/California Environmental Quality Act Considerations	12-102
Chapter 8 Preferred Alternative	12-102
Chapter 9 Public and Agency Involvement	12-103
13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS	13-1
14 INDEX	14-1
15 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	15-1

Tables

Table S-1 Summary of Design Features of the Shared Passenger Track Alternative A and Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	S-13
Table S-2 Proposed Build Alternative Elements	S-13
Table S-3 Impact Avoidance and Minimization Features by Resource Topic	S-18
Table S-4 Reduction in Statewide Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled: Horizon Year 2040, with a Blend of 2023 Project Update Report and 2024 Business Plan Ridership Data	S-25
Table S-5 Premitigation and Post-Mitigation Comparison of Construction Impacts by Alternative and Intermediate Station Option	S-29
Table S-6 Premitigation and Post-Mitigation Comparison of Operational Impacts by Alternative and Intermediate Station Option	S-61
Table S-7 CEQA Summary of Resources with Significant Impacts and Applicable Mitigation Measures for the Shared Passenger Track Alternative	S-82
Table S-8 Standardized Capital Cost Categories for the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section (2023\$ in millions)	S-95
Table S-9 Annual Operational and Maintenance Costs for Phase 1 (2015 \$millions)	S-96
Table S-10 Annual Operational and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section (2015 \$millions)	S-96
Table S-11 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Milestone Schedule	S-100
Table 1-1 Population Growth in California, the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section, and Los Angeles and Orange Counties	1-17
Table 1-2 Unemployment and Income in California and in Los Angeles and Orange Counties	1-17
Table 1-3 Vehicle Miles Traveled in Project Section Counties, from 2019 to 2045	1-20
Table 1-4 Travel Growth for Select Highways between California Cities	1-21
Table 1-5 Total Average Annual Daily Traffic of Vehicle and Truck Volumes by Freeway Corridor	1-22
Table 1-6 Metrolink Line Boardings	1-24
Table 1-7 Amtrak Boardings	1-25
Table 1-8 Commercial Air Traffic at Airports in the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	1-26
Table 1-9 Estimated Total Travel Times (Door-to-Door in Hours and Minutes) between City Pairs by Auto, Air, Passenger Rail, Bus, and High-Speed Rail (Peak Conditions)	1-28
Table 1-10 Federal and State Attainment Status of the Air Quality Resource Study Area	1-33
Table 2-1 High-Speed Rail Performance Criteria for Blended System	2-5
Table 2-2 Summary of High-Speed Rail Project Alternative Design Options— 2009 Alternatives Analysis Report	2-31

Table 2-3 Summary of Dedicated High-Speed Rail Project Alternative Design Options—2010 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis Report	2-33
Table 2-4 Summary of Consolidated Shared-Track Alternative Design Options—2010 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis Report	2-33
Table 2-5 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alternatives and High-Speed Rail Station Options Considered (2009–2016)	2-38
Table 2-6 Projected Population and Employment in the Resource Study Area	2-42
Table 2-7 Planned Highways Project List	2-43
Table 2-8 Los Angeles International Airport Major Projects	2-46
Table 2-9 Summary of Design Features of Shared Passenger Track Alternative A and Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	2-57
Table 2-10 Freight and Passenger Rail Modification Summary Table	2-59
Table 2-11 Traction Power, Paralleling, and Switching Station Locations	2-88
Table 2-12 BNSF Railway Facility or Track to Be Removed or Modified	2-100
Table 2-13 Industry Spur Relocations	2-100
Table 2-14 Modifications to Roadway Crossings (from northwest to southeast)	2-119
Table 2-15 Waterways Crossed by or Potentially Affected by High-Speed Rail	2-132
Table 2-16 Maximum Amount of Land Permanently Converted to Transportation Use (acres)	2-134
Table 2-17 Business and Residential Displacements from Shared Passenger Track Alternative A	2-134
Table 2-18 Temporary Construction Easements and Right-of-Way Acquisitions (acres)	2-161
Table 2-19 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Construction Schedule	2-163
Table 2-20 Contractor Staging and Laydown Areas at Roadway Crossings by City	2-169
Table 2-21 Contractor Staging and Laydown Areas for Waterway Crossings by City	2-172
Table 2-22 Contractor Staging and Laydown Areas for Elevated Structures by City	2-174
Table 2-23 Cut/Fill Amounts and Haul Roads for Light Maintenance Facilities, Roadways, High-Speed Rail and Passenger Rail Stations, and Fullerton Braced Trench	2-176
Table 2-24 Anticipated Environmental Reviews, Permits/Approvals, and Coordination by Agency	2-182
Table 3.1-1 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Ridership Forecast	3.1-14
Table 3.2-1 California Statutory Bicycle Facility Definitions	3.2-7
Table 3.2-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.2-8
Table 3.2-3 Definition of Transportation Resource Study Areas	3.2-23
Table 3.2-4 Environmental Baselines for the Project	3.2-33
Table 3.2-5 Signalized Intersections Level of Service Thresholds and Definitions	3.2-36
Table 3.2-6 Signalized Intersection Control Delay and Level of Service Threshold Criteria	3.2-37

Table 3.2-7 Unsignalized Intersection Control Delay and Level of Service Threshold Criteria.....	3.2-37
Table 3.2-8 Level of Service and Volume-to-Capacity Ratio Thresholds for Roadway Segments	3.2-38
Table 3.2-9 Freeway Segment Peak-Hour Capacities.....	3.2-39
Table 3.2-10 Freeway Segment Volume-to-Capacity Ratios and Level of Service	3.2-39
Table 3.2-11 Average Daily Traffic Volume Along Regional Truck Routes	3.2-47
Table 3.2-12 Existing Transit: LAUS	3.2-50
Table 3.2-13 Existing Transit: ARTIC	3.2-51
Table 3.2-14 Existing Transit Service Along the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alignment	3.2-53
Table 3.2-15 Existing Passenger Rail Service.....	3.2-56
Table 3.2-16 Intersection Level of Service, Horizon Year 2040 No Project.....	3.2-59
Table 3.2-17 Roadway Segment Level of Service, Horizon Year 2040 No Project.....	3.2-62
Table 3.2-18 Future Conditions Project List	3.2-65
Table 3.2-19 Airport Projects.....	3.2-69
Table 3.2-20 Temporary Construction Roadway Closures	3.2-73
Table 3.2-21 Signalized Intersections: Existing Plus Project Impacts	3.2-80
Table 3.2-22 Unsignalized Intersections: Existing Plus Project Impacts	3.2-82
Table 3.2-23 Roadway Segment Impacts: Existing Year 2015 Impacts.....	3.2-83
Table 3.2-24 Reduction in Statewide Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled: Horizon Year 2040	3.2-94
Table 3.2-25 Reduction in Statewide Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (with Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option): Horizon Year 2040.....	3.2-95
Table 3.2-26 Reduction in Statewide Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (with Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option): Horizon Year 2040	3.2-95
Table 3.2-27 Signalized Intersections: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts for Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B	3.2-96
Table 3.2-28 Signalized Intersections: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts with Inclusion of Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.2-101
Table 3.2-29 Signalized Intersections: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts with Inclusion of Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.2-104
Table 3.2-30 Unsignalized Intersections: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts for Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B.....	3.2-106
Table 3.2-31 Unsignalized Intersections: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts with Inclusion of Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.2-106
Table 3.2-32 Unsignalized Intersections: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts with Inclusion of Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.2-107
Table 3.2-33 Major Roadway Segments: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts for Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B.....	3.2-108

Table 3.2-34 Major Roadway Segments: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts with Inclusion of Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.2-113
Table 3.2-35 Major Roadway Segments: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts with Inclusion of Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.2-115
Table 3.2-36 Freeway Service On-Ramp Queue Lengths: Horizon Year 2040 Impacts.....	3.2-119
Table 3.2-37 Impacts of Mitigation Measures	3.2-133
Table 3.2-38 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Transportation.....	3.2-149
Table 3.2-39 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Transportation	3.2-155
Table 3.3-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.3-30
Table 3.3-3 Definition of Resource Study Areas	3.3-48
Table 3.3-4 Construction Segments for Ambient Air Quality Analysis and Health Risk Assessment.....	3.3-60
Table 3.3-5 South Coast Air Quality Management District Thresholds.....	3.3-69
Table 3.3-6 Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District Emissions Thresholds.....	3.3-72
Table 3.3-7 San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Thresholds.....	3.3-73
Table 3.3-8 California Greenhouse Gas Inventory (2022).....	3.3-75
Table 3.3-9 Estimated 2017 Annual Average Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the South Coast Air Quality Management District (tons per day).....	3.3-77
Table 3.3-10 Estimated 2017 Annual Average Emissions for the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (tons per day)	3.3-78
Table 3.3-11 Estimated 2017 Annual Average Emissions for the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (tons per day).....	3.3-79
Table 3.3-12 Ambient Criteria Pollutant Concentrations Measured at Air Quality Monitoring Stations Along the Project Section	3.3-81
Table 3.3-13 Federal and State Attainment Status of the Air Quality Resource Study Area.....	3.3-84
Table 3.3-14 Sensitive Receptors within 1,000 Feet of the High-Speed Rail Stations and High-Speed Rail Station Options.....	3.3-85
Table 3.3-15 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A with No High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Annual Average Construction Emissions (tons per year).....	3.3-101
Table 3.3-16 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A with No High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (pounds per day)	3.3-104
Table 3.3-17 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A with Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Annual Average Construction Emissions (tons per year)	3.3-107
Table 3.3-18 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A with Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (pounds per day).....	3.3-110

Table 3.3-19 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A with Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Annual Average Construction Emissions (tons per year).....	3.3-113
Table 3.3-20 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A with Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (pounds per day)	3.3-116
Table 3.3-21 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B with No High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Annual Average Construction Emissions (tons per year).....	3.3-120
Table 3.3-22 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B with No High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (pounds per day)	3.3-123
Table 3.3-23 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B with Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Annual Average Construction Emissions (tons per year).....	3.3-126
Table 3.3-24 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B with Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (pounds per day)	3.3-129
Table 3.3-25 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B with Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Annual Average Construction Emissions (tons per year).....	3.3-132
Table 3.3-26 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B with Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (pounds per day)	3.3-135
Table 3.3-27 Project Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions within the South Coast Air Basin	3.3-143
Table 3.3-28 Project Annual Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions within the Mojave Desert Air Basin	3.3-144
Table 3.3-29 Project Daily Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions within the Mojave Desert Air Basin	3.3-145
Table 3.3-30 Project Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Construction Emissions within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.....	3.3-145
Table 3.3-31 2040 Estimated Regional Emissions Burden Changes from Passenger Vehicles versus No Project, Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B.....	3.3-148
Table 3.3-32 2040 Power Plant Emission Changes	3.3-150
Table 3.3-33 Summary of 2040 Regional Emissions Changes from Operation, Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B (tons per year or metric tons per year for CO ₂ e)	3.3-150
Table 3.3-34 Maximum Localized Construction Criteria Pollutant Concentrations: Shared Passenger Track Alternative A	3.3-154
Table 3.3-35 Estimated Incidence of Health Outcomes Based on Total Directly Emitted Nitrogen Oxides, Sulfur Oxides, and Fine Particulate Matter Emissions During Construction of the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives	3.3-156
Table 3.3-36 Maximum Localized Construction Criteria Pollutant Concentrations: Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	3.3-157

Table 3.3-37 Construction Excess Cancer and Noncancer Maximum Risk.....	3.3-160
Table 3.3-38 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections Near ARTIC	3.3-164
Table 3.3-39 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Proposed Parking Structures at ARTIC.....	3.3-164
Table 3.3-40 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections Near the Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.3-165
Table 3.3-41 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Intersections Near the Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.3-166
Table 3.3-42 Maximum Modeled Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Proposed Parking Structures at the Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.3-167
Table 3.3-43 Operational Emissions for Localized Effects Analysis	3.3-169
Table 3.3-44 Operational Emissions for Localized Effects Analysis Near the Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.3-169
Table 3.3-45 Operational Emissions for Localized Effects Analysis Near the Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.3-170
Table 3.3-46 Modeled Health Risk Near Hobart and Commerce Yards During Operation (Maximum Impact at Residential and Worker Receptors)	3.3-172
Table 3.3-47 Modeled Health Risk Near Hobart and Commerce Yards During Combined Construction and Operation (Maximum Impact at Residential and Worker Receptors)	3.3-173
Table 3.3-48 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects.....	3.3-178
Table 3.3-49 Comparison of Project Impacts on Air Quality and Global Climate Change.....	3.3-183
Table 3.3-50 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.3-191
Table 3.4-1 Federal Highway Administration Noise Abatement Criteria in A- Weighted Decibels.....	3.4-5
Table 3.4-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.4-8
Table 3.4-3 Regional and Local Plans and Policies Inconsistencies	3.4-22
Table 3.4-4 Definition of Noise and Vibration Resource Study Areas	3.4-24
Table 3.4-5 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Noise Assessment Criteria.....	3.4-28
Table 3.4-6 Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria	3.4-30
Table 3.4-7 Noise Impact Criteria: Effect on Cumulative Noise Exposure.....	3.4-32
Table 3.4-8 Federal Railroad Administration Construction Vibration Damage Criteria.....	3.4-33
Table 3.4-9 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria	3.4-34
Table 3.4-10 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings.....	3.4-34
Table 3.4-11 Interpretation of Vibration Criteria for Detailed Analysis	3.4-35
Table 3.4-12 Summary of Existing Noise Measurements in the Project Section	3.4-38

Table 3.4-13 Estimated Noise Impact Distances Based on Federal Railroad Administration Criteria for Construction Activities in Residential Areas Along the Project Section	3.4-47
Table 3.4-14 Estimated Impact Distances for Construction Vibration Damage	3.4-50
Table 3.4-15 Estimated Impact Distances for Construction Vibration Annoyance for the Project Section	3.4-51
Table 3.4-16 Residential Noise Impact Assessment for the Project Section	3.4-55
Table 3.4-17 Institutional Noise Impact Assessment for the Project Section	3.4-57
Table 3.4-18 Residential Vibration Impact Assessment for the Project Section	3.4-65
Table 3.4-19 Institutional Vibration Impact Assessment for the Project Section	3.4-67
Table 3.4-20 Residential Ground-Borne Noise Impact Assessment for the Project Section	3.4-69
Table 3.4-21 Institutional Ground-Borne Noise Impact Assessment for the Project Section	3.4-69
Table 3.4-22 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.4-82
Table 3.4-23 Comparison of Project Alternatives Impacts on Noise and Vibration	3.4-85
Table 3.4-24 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Noise and Vibration	3.4-87
Table 3.5-1 Relationship between Typical Frequencies and Their Wavelengths	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2 Typical Magnetic Field Strengths	3.5-4
Table 3.5-3 Typical EMF Levels for Transmission/Power Lines	3.5-5
Table 3.5-4 Institute of Electrical and Electronics C95.6 Magnetic Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public	3.5-7
Table 3.5-5 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers C95.6 Electric Field Maximum Permissible Exposure Levels for the General Public	3.5-7
Table 3.5-6 Radio Frequency Emissions Safety Levels Expressed as Maximum Permissible Exposure	3.5-9
Table 3.5-7 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.5-11
Table 3.5-8 Definition of EMF/EMI Resource Study Area	3.5-14
Table 3.5-9 Summary of CEQA Impact Thresholds	3.5-20
Table 3.5-10 Field Measurement Survey Location	3.5-21
Table 3.5-11 Comparison of Measured and Modeled Magnetic Fields	3.5-23
Table 3.5-12 List of Potentially Sensitive Receptors	3.5-24
Table 3.5-13 Summary of Predicted High-Speed Rail Exterior EMF Levels	3.5-32
Table 3.5-14 Traction Power, Paralleling, and Switching Station Locations within the Resource Study Area	3.5-33
Table 3.5-15 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts for EMF/EMI	3.5-47
Table 3.5-16 CEQA Significance Conclusions for EMF/EMI	3.5-51
Table 3.6-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.6-9
Table 3.6-2 Definition of Public Utilities and Energy Resource Study Areas	3.6-15
Table 3.6-3 Summary of Utility and Energy Providers within the Resource Study Area	3.6-22
Table 3.6-4 Regional Water Suppliers in the Project Section	3.6-29

Table 3.6-5 Wastewater Treatment Plant Existing Average Flow and Capacity Summary for Stations and Light Maintenance Facilities	3.6-29
Table 3.6-6 Landfill Facility Summary in Los Angeles and Orange Counties	3.6-31
Table 3.6-7 Electricity Consumption in Los Angeles and Orange Counties in 2022	3.6-34
Table 3.6-8 Fuel Sources for Electric Power in California in 2022.....	3.6-34
Table 3.6-9 Construction Water Use by Activity for Shared Passenger Track Alternative A	3.6-44
Table 3.6-10 Construction Water Use by Activity for Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	3.6-45
Table 3.6-11 Construction Water Use by Activity for Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.6-46
Table 3.6-12 Construction Water Use by Activity for Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.6-46
Table 3.6-13 Solid Nonhazardous Waste Capacity in the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section.....	3.6-50
Table 3.6-14 Hazardous Waste Capacity Available to the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section.....	3.6-50
Table 3.6-15 Solid Waste Capacity for Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.6-51
Table 3.6-16 High-Risk Utility Conflicts for Shared Passenger Track Alternative A Requiring Relocation	3.6-54
Table 3.6-17 Major Utility Conflicts for the Shared Passenger Track Alternative A Requiring Relocation	3.6-55
Table 3.6-18 Traction Power Station Locations in the Project Section	3.6-59
Table 3.6-19 Estimated Existing Water Use and Anticipated Project Water Demand at the Proposed Light Maintenance Facility and ARTIC for Shared Passenger Track Alternative A in 2040.....	3.6-62
Table 3.6-20 Estimated Existing Water Use and Anticipated Project Water Demand at the Proposed Light Maintenance Facility and ARTIC for Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	3.6-64
Table 3.6-21 Estimated Existing Water Use and Anticipated Project Water Demand at Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.6-64
Table 3.6-22 Estimated Existing Water Use and Anticipated Project Water Demand at Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.6-65
Table 3.6-23 Estimated Project Wastewater Generated for the 26th Street Light Maintenance Facility and ARTIC	3.6-67
Table 3.6-24 Estimated Project Wastewater Generated for the 15th Street Light Maintenance Facility and ARTIC	3.6-67
Table 3.6-25 Estimated Project Wastewater Generated for Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.6-68
Table 3.6-26 Estimated Project Wastewater Generated for Fullerton High- Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.6-68
Table 3.6-27 Construction Energy Consumption and Payback for the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives and High-Speed Rail Station Options	3.6-73

Table 3.6-28 2040 Estimated Change in Energy Consumption Caused by the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives	3.6-75
Table 3.6-29 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-81
Table 3.6-30 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Public Utilities and Energy	3.6-87
Table 3.7-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.7-12
Table 3.7-2 Definition of Biological and Aquatic Resources Study Areas	3.7-25
Table 3.7-3 Riparian Habitat, Vegetation Communities, Other Land Cover Types, and Special-Status Natural Communities in the Wildlife Resource Study Area	3.7-42
Table 3.7-4 Special-Status Plant Species with Low Potential to Occur in the Botanical Resource Study Area	3.7-45
Table 3.7-5 California Natural Diversity Database Special-Status Wildlife Element Occurrences within the Supplemental Resource Study Area	3.7-48
Table 3.7-6 Special-Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in the Wildlife Resource Study Area	3.7-51
Table 3.7-7 Aquatic Resources Considered Waters of the U.S., Waters of the State, or Subject to California Department of Fish and Wildlife Section 1600 et seq.	3.7-63
Table 3.7-8 Description of Nonwetland Aquatic Resources in the Aquatic Resource Study Area	3.7-65
Table 3.7-9 Riparian Areas in the Wildlife Resource Study Area	3.7-68
Table 3.7-10 Local Policies Regarding Protected Trees in the Shared Track Alternatives and Tree Protections	3.7-73
Table 3.7-11 Direct Effects on Riparian Habitat, Vegetation Communities, Land Cover, and Special-Status Natural Communities in the Project Section	3.7-77
Table 3.7-12 Estimated Potential Effects on Suitable Habitat for Special-Status Wildlife Species	3.7-87
Table 3.7-13 Temporary Direct Effects on Aquatic Resources Considered Waters of the U.S. and Waters of the State, and Subject to California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq.	3.7-101
Table 3.7-14 Permanent Direct Effects on Aquatic Resources Considered Waters of the U.S. and Waters of the State, and Subject to California Fish and Game Code Section 1600 et seq.	3.7-104
Table 3.7-15 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.7-139
Table 3.7-16 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Biological and Aquatic Resources	3.7-149
Table 3.7-17 CEQA Significance Conclusion for Biological and Aquatic Resources	3.7-155
Table 3.7-18 Determination of Effects for Federally Listed Species and Designated or Proposed Critical Habitat	3.7-157
Table 3.8-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.8-8
Table 3.8-2 Definition of Hydrology and Water Resources Resource Study Areas	3.8-18

Table 3.8-3 Historical Annual Precipitation	3.8-27
Table 3.8-4 Water Crossings: Drainage Features Information	3.8-29
Table 3.8-5 Beneficial Uses of Potentially Affected Waterbodies in the Project Section	3.8-42
Table 3.8-6 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters and Applicable Total Maximum Daily Loads Directly in the Project Section	3.8-43
Table 3.8-7 Groundwater Basins in the Project Section	3.8-45
Table 3.8-8 Federal Emergency Management Agency Floodplains within the Resource Study Area.....	3.8-47
Table 3.8-9 Proposed Impervious Surface Area Changes within the Shared Passenger Track Alternative A Footprint.....	3.8-53
Table 3.8-10 Proposed Drainage Basins/Facilities in the Project Section	3.8-54
Table 3.8-11 Proposed Impervious Surface Area Changes within the Shared Passenger Track Alternative B Footprint.....	3.8-56
Table 3.8-12 Comparison of Project Alternatives Impacts on Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-85
Table 3.8-13 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Hydrology and Water Resources	3.8-91
Table 3.9-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	3.9-8
Table 3.9-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies: Paleontological Resources	3.9-12
Table 3.9-3 Definition of Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area	3.9-16
Table 3.9-4 Paleontological Potential/Sensitivity Categories Used in this Analysis	3.9-22
Table 3.9-5 Summary of Soil Hazards by City in the Resource Study Area	3.9-31
Table 3.9-6 List of Historical Earthquakes in the Resource Study Area	3.9-39
Table 3.9-7 Major Named Faults Considered to Be Active in Southern California in the Resource Study Area	3.9-43
Table 3.9-8 Summary of Seismic and Secondary Seismic Hazards by City in the Resource Study Area	3.9-54
Table 3.9-9 Summary of Mineral, Oil and Gas, and Geothermal Resources by City in the Resource Study Area	3.9-55
Table 3.9-10 Paleontological Resources of Geologic Units in the Resource Study Area.....	3.9-59
Table 3.9-11 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Geology, Soils, and Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources	3.9-93
Table 3.9-12 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources.....	3.9-101
Table 3.10-1 Regional and Local Codes, Plans, and Policies	3.10-8
Table 3.10-2 Definition of Hazardous Materials Resource Study Areas	3.10-15
Table 3.10-3 Potential Environmental Concern Sites' Ranking Criteria.....	3.10-21
Table 3.10-4 Potential Environmental Concern Sites and Subset of these Sites on the Cortese List.....	3.10-25

Table 3.10-5 Educational Facilities within 0.25 Mile of the Project Footprint.....	3.10-30
Table 3.10-6 Oil and Water Wells in or Near the Project Alignment.....	3.10-33
Table 3.10-7 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.10-60
Table 3.10-8 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Hazardous Materials and Waste.....	3.10-65
Table 3.10-9 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Hazardous Materials and Wastes	3.10-71
Table 3.11-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies.....	3.11-11
Table 3.11-2 Definition of Safety and Security Resource Study Areas	3.11-30
Table 3.11-3 Source and Description of Potential Safety and Security Impacts.....	3.11-37
Table 3.11-4 Fire Departments and Equipment in the Project Section	3.11-44
Table 3.11-5 Police Services in the Project Section	3.11-48
Table 3.11-6 Comparison of Crime Rates in the Project Section, 2014	3.11-54
Table 3.11-7 Comparison of Crime Rates in the Project Section, 2019	3.11-54
Table 3.11-8 Fire, Law Enforcement, and Emergency Medical Services Locations for Fixed Facilities in the Project Section	3.11-57
Table 3.11-9 Number of Roadway-Railroad Crossing Incidents by City in the Project Section, 2018–2023	3.11-67
Table 3.11-10 Number of At-Grade Crossing Incidents with Casualties or Property Damage by City in the Project Section, 2018–2023	3.11-70
Table 3.11-11 Educational Facilities within 0.25 Mile of Shared Passenger Track Alternatives A and B and High-Speed Rail Station Option Footprints	3.11-71
Table 3.11-12 Solid Waste Disposal Facilities within 0.25 Mile of the Project Section	3.11-77
Table 3.11-13 Locations of Anaheim Fire Department Stations within One Mile of At-Grade Crossings.....	3.11-101
Table 3.11-14 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Safety and Security	3.11-125
Table 3.11-15 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Safety and Security	3.11-133
Table 3.12-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies.....	3.12-7
Table 3.12-2 Definition of Socioeconomics and Communities Resource Study Areas	3.12-24
Table 3.12-3 Regional Population Growth: 2010–2040	3.12-34
Table 3.12-4 Race and Ethnicity Characteristics by Percentage (2021)	3.12-35
Table 3.12-5 Population Age Characteristics (2021)	3.12-36
Table 3.12-6 Household Income and Family Poverty Characteristics (2021).....	3.12-38
Table 3.12-7 Household Characteristics (2021)	3.12-39
Table 3.12-8 Limited English Proficiency Households (2021).....	3.12-41
Table 3.12-9 Disability Status (2021)	3.12-42
Table 3.12-10 Community Cohesion Indicators by Community and Neighborhoods Resource Study Area (2021)	3.12-43
Table 3.12-11 Number of Community Facilities by Facility Type in the Communities and Neighborhoods Resource Study Area (2023).....	3.12-45

Table 3.12-12 Housing Unit Type Characteristics (2021).....	3.12-46
Table 3.12-13 County and City Housing Unit Occupancy Characteristics (2021).....	3.12-48
Table 3.12-14 Reference Community Housing Unit Tenure, Percentage of Owner-Occupied Housing Units (2021).....	3.12-49
Table 3.12-15 Resource Study Area Housing Unit Tenure, Percentage of Owner-Occupied Housing Units (2021).....	3.12-50
Table 3.12-16 Labor Force Characteristics for Los Angeles and Orange Counties	3.12-51
Table 3.12-17 Regional Employment by Industry for Los Angeles and Orange Counties 2010–2021.....	3.12-52
Table 3.12-18 General Property Tax Levies by County for Fiscal Year 2017/2018	3.12-53
Table 3.12-19 General Property Tax Levies by County for Fiscal Year 2021/2022	3.12-53
Table 3.12-20 Local Sales and Use Taxes Revenues Distributed to Counties and Cities (Fiscal Years 2017/2018 and 2021/2022).....	3.12-53
Table 3.12-21 School District Revenue in the Communities and Neighborhoods Resource Study Area (Fiscal Year 2021/2022)	3.12-54
Table 3.12-22 Single-Family Residential Displacements	3.12-69
Table 3.12-23 Gap Analysis of Single-Family Residential Displacements.....	3.12-69
Table 3.12-24 Commercial and Industrial Displacements for Shared Passenger Track Alternative A.....	3.12-70
Table 3.12-25 Commercial and Industrial Displacements by City and Category	3.12-71
Table 3.12-26 Inventory of Available Commercial and Industrial Properties for Lease and for Sale.....	3.12-72
Table 3.12-27 Gap Analysis of Commercial Displacements.....	3.12-72
Table 3.12-28 Gap Analysis of Industrial Displacements	3.12-73
Table 3.12-29 Commercial and Industrial Displacements for Shared Passenger Track Alternative B.....	3.12-75
Table 3.12-30 Commercial and Industrial Displacements by City and Category for Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	3.12-75
Table 3.12-31 Gap Analysis of Commercial Displacements for Shared Passenger Track Alternative B.....	3.12-76
Table 3.12-32 Gap Analysis of Industrial Displacements for Shared Passenger Track Alternative B	3.12-77
Table 3.12-33 Employment Impacts During Construction (in Annual Job-Years) of the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives.....	3.12-81
Table 3.12-34 Employment Impacts During Construction (in Annual Job-Years) of the Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	3.12-81
Table 3.12-35 Employment Effects During Construction (in Annual Job-Years) of the Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option.....	3.12-82
Table 3.12-36 Student Displacements by School District: Fiscal Year 2021/2022	3.12-82
Table 3.12-37 School District Revenue Losses: Fiscal Year 2021/2022	3.12-83

Table 3.12-38 Estimated Changes in Sales and Use Tax Revenue for Cities and Counties	3.12-86
Table 3.12-39 Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs in the Region by 2040	3.12-94
Table 3.12-40 Mitigation Measures Applicable to Construction and Operation of the High-Speed Rail Project Alternative Early Action Projects	3.12-101
Table 3.12-41 Comparison of Project Alternatives Impacts on Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-107
Table 3.12-42 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Socioeconomics and Communities	3.12-113
Table 3.13-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.13-5
Table 3.13-2 Definition of Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Areas	3.13-24
Table 3.13-3 Total Acres of Existing Land in the Direct Resource Study Area	3.13-35
Table 3.13-4 Temporary Use of Land Outside the Right-of-Way for Alternatives and High-Speed Rail Station Facility Options	3.13-51
Table 3.13-5 Maximum Amount of Land Permanently Converted by Alternative and High-Speed Rail Station Options (acres)	3.13-57
Table 3.13-6 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	3.13-69
Table 3.13-7 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resources	3.13-71
Table 3.14-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.14-4
Table 3.14-2 Definition of Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area	3.14-5
Table 3.15-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.15-4
Table 3.15-2 Definition of Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resource Study Area	3.15-16
Table 3.15-3 Federal Railroad Administration Noise Criteria Exceedance Estimated Impact Distances for Construction Activities in Residential Areas Along the Project Section	3.15-18
Table 3.15-4 Estimated Impact Distances for Construction Vibration Annoyance for the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	3.15-19
Table 3.15-5 Recreational Resources	3.15-22
Table 3.15-6 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects	3.15-82
Table 3.15-7 Comparison of Project Alternatives Impacts on Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources	3.15-85
Table 3.15-8 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Resources	3.15-89
Table 3.16-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.16-5
Table 3.16-2 Definition of Aesthetics and Visual Quality Resource Study Area	3.16-23
Table 3.16-3 Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit: Key Viewpoints' Viewer Groups, Viewer Preferences, and Visual Resources	3.16-34
Table 3.16-4 Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit: Existing Visual Quality	3.16-36
Table 3.16-5 Gateway Cities Landscape Unit: Key Viewpoints' Viewer Groups, Viewer Preferences, and Visual Resources	3.16-41

Table 3.16-6 Gateway Cities Landscape Unit: Existing Visual Quality	3.16-43
Table 3.16-7 Fullerton/Anaheim Landscape Unit: Key Viewpoints' Viewer Groups, Viewer Preferences, and Visual Resources.....	3.16-50
Table 3.16-8 Fullerton/Anaheim Landscape Unit: Existing Visual Quality	3.16-52
Table 3.16-9 Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit: Summary of Visual Effects	3.16-69
Table 3.16-10 Gateway Cities Landscape Unit: Summary of Visual Effects.....	3.16-81
Table 3.16-11 Fullerton/Anaheim Landscape Unit: Summary of Visual Effects.....	3.16-92
Table 3.16-12 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects.....	3.16-104
Table 3.16-13 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Quality.....	3.16-109
Table 3.16-14 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-111
Table 3.17-1 Summary of Historic Built and Archaeological Cultural Resource Effects/Impacts	3.17-4
Table 3.17-2 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	3.17-11
Table 3.17-3 Section 106 Technical Reports and Concurrence Dates	3.17-17
Table 3.17-4 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Agency and Other Interested Consulting Parties.....	3.17-21
Table 3.17-5 Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties	3.17-34
Table 3.17-6 Consulting Parties Participating in the Preparation of the Memorandum of Agreement	3.17-49
Table 3.17-7 Delineation of Area of Potential Effects Methodology.....	3.17-59
Table 3.17-8 Current Conditions of the Archaeological Resources within the Area of Potential Effects	3.17-65
Table 3.17-9 Archaeological Resources within the Area of Potential Effects	3.17-73
Table 3.17-10 Significant Built Resources	3.17-82
Table 3.17-11 Project Effect and Impact Summary for National Register of Historic Places and California Register of Historical Resources Eligible Archaeological Resources in the Area of Potential Effect.....	3.17-120
Table 3.17-12 Historic Built Resources in the Area of Potential Effect	3.17-122
Table 3.17-13 Mitigation Measures Required for Early Action Projects.....	3.17-168
Table 3.17-14 Summary of Effects Under the National Historic Preservation Act	3.17-170
Table 3.17-15 Comparison of Project Alternative Impacts on Cultural Resources	3.17-173
Table 3.17-16 CEQA Significance Conclusions for Cultural Resources for the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives.....	3.17-175
Table 3.18-1 Regional Plans and Policies	3.18-5
Table 3.18-2 Definition of Regional Growth Resource Study Area.....	3.18-9
Table 3.18-3 Labor Force Characteristics for Los Angeles and Orange Counties	3.18-14

Table 3.18-4 Regional Employment by Industry for Los Angeles and Orange Counties, 2010–2021	3.18-16
Table 3.18-5 Los Angeles County, Orange County, and Regional Employment by Industry, 2021–2030	3.18-17
Table 3.18-6 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections	3.18-18
Table 3.18-7 Population Change in the Resource Study Area, 2010–2021	3.18-18
Table 3.18-8 Population Projections in the Resource Study Area, 2021–2040	3.18-19
Table 3.18-9 Existing and Projected Housing Units, 2021 and 2040	3.18-20
Table 3.18-10 Regional Housing Unit Occupancy Characteristics, 2021	3.18-21
Table 3.18-11 Employment Impacts During Construction of Shared Passenger Track Alternative A (in Annual Job-Years)	3.18-23
Table 3.18-12 Employment Impacts During Construction of the Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option (in Annual Job-Years)	3.18-25
Table 3.18-13 Employment Impacts During Construction of the Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option (in Annual Job-Years)	3.18-25
Table 3.18-14 Employment Impacts from Operations and Maintenance of Shared Passenger Track Alternative A (in Annual Job-Years)	3.18-26
Table 3.18-15 Project Operations-Related Employment and Population Growth, Including Increased Accessibility Impacts	3.18-28
Table 3.18-16 Regional Projected and Induced Housing Growth	3.18-31
Table 3.18-17 Regional Growth Impacts	3.18-34
Table 3.18-18 Construction and Operational Employment and Population Effects Changes for the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives and Station Options	3.18-35
Table 3.18-19 Comparison of Project Alternatives Impacts on Regional Growth	3.18-37
Table 3.19-1 Resource Study Areas for Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.19-5
Table 3.19-2 Cumulative Land Development Project List	3.19-13
Table 3.19-3 Cumulative Transportation Projects List	3.19-19
Table 3.19-4 Projected Population Growth: Los Angeles and Orange Counties (2010–2040)	3.19-27
Table 3.19-5 Regional Long-Range Employment Projections	3.19-27
Table 3.19-6 Local Policies Regarding Protected Trees in the Shared Track Alternatives and Tree Protections	3.19-64
Table 3.19-7 Summary of Cumulative Construction Impacts	3.19-105
Table 3.19-8 Summary of Cumulative Operational Impacts	3.19-108
Table 4-1 Section 4(f) Evaluation Consultation Summary with Officials with Jurisdiction	4-30
Table 4-2 Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Resources Evaluated for Section 4(f) Use	4-37
Table 4-3 Cultural Resources Determined to Be Protected Under Section 4(f)	4-59
Table 4-4 Section 4(f) Use Analysis for Parks and Recreation Areas	4-73
Table 4-5 Potential Impacts on Historical Resources Evaluated for Potential Section 4(f) Use	4-137

Table 4-6 Project Features and Measures to Minimize Harm	4-205
Table 4-7 Preliminary Least Harm Analysis for the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alternatives	4-209
Table 5-1 Regional and Local Plans and Policies	5-9
Table 5-2 Reference Community Characteristics	5-16
Table 5-3 Reference Community Race and Ethnicity Characteristics by Percentage	5-16
Table 5-4 Definition of Community Analysis Resource Study Areas	5-18
Table 5-5 Census Block Groups in Community Analysis Resource Study Areas	5-19
Table 5-6 Community Analysis Resource Study Area Demographics	5-31
Table 5-7 Specific Outreach Efforts	5-41
Table 5-8 Project Section Characteristics	5-42
Table 5-9 Race and Ethnicity Characteristics by Percentage in the Resource Study Area	5-46
Table 5-10 Characteristics for Census Block Groups within Station and Maintenance Facility Areas	5-53
Table 5-11 Race and Ethnicity for Census Block Groups within Station and Maintenance Areas (Percent)	5-55
Table 5-12 Summary of Inclusive Public Engagement Outreach Events	5-62
Table 5-13 Summary of NEPA Effects on Communities Premitigation	5-105
Table 5-14 Intersections and Roadways with Level of Service Impacts after Construction of Permanent Roadway Modifications	5-116
Table 5-15 Operational Impacts on Traffic from Shared Passenger Track Alternative A	5-118
Table 5-16 Operational Impacts on Traffic from Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option	5-122
Table 5-17 Operational Impacts on Traffic from Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option	5-124
Table 5-18 Hobart Yard and Commerce Yard	5-127
Table 5-19 Early Action Project Heavy-Level Construction-Period Noise and Vibration Impact Locations	5-130
Table 5-20 Premitigation Operational Noise Impacts	5-132
Table 5-21 Post-Mitigation Operational Noise Impacts	5-133
Table 5-22 Premitigation Operational Vibration Impacts	5-133
Table 5-23 Superfund Sites within the Hazardous Materials and Wastes Resource Study Area	5-138
Table 5-24 Schools within 0.25 Mile of the Project Footprint	5-139
Table 5-25 Existing At-Grade Crossings	5-145
Table 5-26 Grade Separations	5-147
Table 5-27 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A Displacements within the Community Analysis Resource Study Area	5-148
Table 5-28 Business Displacements within the Community Analysis Resource Study Area	5-149

Table 5-29 Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option Business Displacements.....	5-153
Table 5-30 Diminished Access to or Use of Recreational Resources in the Resource Study Area	5-155
Table 5-31 Visual Impacts on Historic Bridges	5-159
Table 5-32 Summary of Visual Quality Changes and Effects at Key Viewpoints	5-161
Table 5-33 Historic Built Resources in Minority and Low-Income Communities.....	5-166
Table 5-34 Summary of Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects Prior to Community Analysis–Specific Measures	5-171
Table 5-35 Block Groups Affected by Preliminary Disproportionately High and Adverse Effect Findings	5-176
Table 6-1 Capital Cost of the Shared Passenger Track Alternatives (2023\$ in millions).....	6-4
Table 6-2 Annual Operational and Maintenance Costs for Phase 1 (2015\$ in millions).....	6-8
Table 6-3 Annual Operational and Maintenance Costs, Apportioned to the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section (2015\$ in millions)	6-9
Table 8-1 Common Comments Considered During Development of Alternatives and Draft EIR/EIS	8-5
Table 8-2 Community and Environmental Factors by Alternative and High- Speed Rail Station Options	8-17
Table 9-1 Scoping Notice Publication Information (2007).....	9-7
Table 9-2 Key Issues Identified During Initial Scoping (2007).....	9-9
Table 9-3 2020 Scoping Notice Publication Information	9-11
Table 9-4 Key Issues Identified During 2020 Scoping	9-13
Table 9-5 Community Open Houses, Workshops and Information Sessions During Development of the Draft EIR/EIS.....	9-21
Table 9-6 BNSF Railway Lenwood Component of the 2018 High-Speed Rail Project Alternative: Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties	9-24
Table 9-7 BNSF Railway Colton Component of the 2018 High-Speed Rail Project Alternative: Summary of Outreach Efforts to Identify Native American Consulting/Concurring Parties	9-26

Figures

Figure S-1 California High-Speed Rail Statewide System.....	S-3
Figure S-2 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section.....	S-4
Figure 1-1 Statewide High-Speed Rail System—Implementation Phases.....	1-2
Figure 1-2 Statewide High-Speed Rail System: Project Sections.....	1-8
Figure 1-3 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Corridor	1-10
Figure 1-4 Current and Future California Population (in Millions).....	1-16
Figure 1-5 Intercity Trips in California (in Millions)	1-18
Figure 1-6 Major Intercity Travel Routes and Airports.....	1-19
Figure 1-7 Regional Freight Network	1-23
Figure 1-8 Existing and Planned Passenger Rail Service in the Project Section.....	1-24
Figure 2-1 Examples of Japanese Shinkansen High-Speed Trains	2-6
Figure 2-2 Example of an At-Grade Profile Depicting the Overhead Contact System	2-7
Figure 2-3 Examples of Existing Stations	2-8
Figure 2-4 Simulated and Plan Views of a Functional Station and Its Various Components	2-9
Figure 2-5 Typical Track Cross Section	2-11
Figure 2-6 At-Grade Typical Cross Section	2-11
Figure 2-7 Retained-Fill Typical Cross Section	2-12
Figure 2-8 Retained-Cut Typical Cross Section	2-13
Figure 2-9 Elevated Structure Typical Cross Section.....	2-14
Figure 2-10 Straddle Bent Typical Cross Section	2-15
Figure 2-11 Overcrossings above High-Speed Rail Guideway and Existing Railroad Trackway	2-16
Figure 2-12 Typical Cross Section of Roadway Grade-Separated Beneath High-Speed Rail Guideway	2-16
Figure 2-13 Traction Power Substation.....	2-18
Figure 2-14 Traction Power Substation Overhead Contact System Gantry.....	2-18
Figure 2-15 Switching Station.....	2-19
Figure 2-16 Paralleling Station	2-19
Figure 2-17 Paralleling Station Overhead Contact System Gantry.....	2-19
Figure 2-18 Evolution of Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alternatives	2-26
Figure 2-19 Graphical Representation of Evolution of Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alternatives	2-27
Figure 2-20 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alignment	2-30
Figure 2-21 Typical Cross Sections, Dedicated and Shared-Track Alternatives (2009–2010)	2-32
Figure 2-22 Typical Cross Sections, Consolidated Shared-Track Alternative (2016).....	2-35
Figure 2-23 Potential Alternatives Considered During Alternatives Screening Process	2-37

Figure 2-24 No Project Alternative Planned Highway Improvements in Los Angeles and Orange Counties	2-44
Figure 2-25 Airports within the Project Area	2-45
Figure 2-26 No Project Alternative Planned Local and Regional Fixed Guideway Passenger Rail Improvements in Los Angeles and Orange Counties	2-47
Figure 2-27 High-Speed Rail and Link Union Station Project	2-56
Figure 2-28 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: Los Angeles to Vernon Overview	2-63
Figure 2-29 At-Grade Cross Section Between First Street and Fourth Street	2-64
Figure 2-30 At-Grade Cross Section East of Soto Street	2-65
Figure 2-31 Cross Section West of Downey Road	2-65
Figure 2-32 Cross Section Adjacent to Modified Hobart Yard	2-66
Figure 2-33 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: Commerce to Pico Rivera Overview	2-67
Figure 2-34 At-Grade Cross Section East of Atlantic Boulevard	2-68
Figure 2-35 Elevated Cross Section Between Atlantic Boulevard and Eastern Avenue (Commerce Flyover)	2-69
Figure 2-36 Elevated Cross-Section Between Eastern Avenue and Commerce Yard	2-69
Figure 2-37 Cross Section at the Relocated Commerce Metrolink Station	2-70
Figure 2-38 At-Grade Cross Section through Montebello	2-71
Figure 2-39 Cross Section Between Rio Hondo Channel and Pico Rivera Yard	2-72
Figure 2-40 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: Santa Fe Springs to Norwalk Overview	2-73
Figure 2-41 Cross Section from Slauson Avenue to Telegraph Road	2-74
Figure 2-42 Elevated Cross Section North of Lakeland Road	2-75
Figure 2-43 Elevated Cross Section South of Lakeland Road	2-76
Figure 2-44 Cross Section from Carmenita Road to Rosecrans Avenue/Marquardt Avenue	2-77
Figure 2-45 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: La Mirada to Buena Park Overview	2-78
Figure 2-46 Cross Section by La Mirada Yard	2-79
Figure 2-47 Cross Section at Relocated Buena Park Metrolink Station	2-80
Figure 2-48 Cross Section East of State Route 39 through Buena Park	2-80
Figure 2-49 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: Fullerton Overview	2-81
Figure 2-50 Braced Trench Cross Section Adjacent to Fullerton Airport	2-82
Figure 2-51 Braced Trench Cross Section East of Fullerton Municipal Airport	2-83
Figure 2-52 At-Grade Cross Section between Gilbert Street and Commonwealth Avenue	2-83
Figure 2-53 Cross Section of Fullerton Junction	2-84
Figure 2-54 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: Anaheim Overview	2-86

Figure 2-55 At-Grade Cross Section between La Palma Avenue and Ball Road.....	2-87
Figure 2-56 Traction Power, Paralleling, and Switching Station Locations.....	2-89
Figure 2-57 Los Angeles River West Bank Layover Tracks	2-92
Figure 2-58 Anaheim Layover Tracks.....	2-93
Figure 2-59 High-Speed Rail Platform and Facilities at ARTIC	2-95
Figure 2-60 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: 26th Street Light Maintenance Facility	2-98
Figure 2-61 BNSF Railway Infrastructure Affected by the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section.....	2-102
Figure 2-62 Hobart Yard Modifications (Shared Passenger Track Alternative A).....	2-104
Figure 2-63 Commerce Flyover and Commerce Yard Modifications	2-105
Figure 2-64 Modified Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Metrolink Station Site Plan	2-107
Figure 2-65 Modified Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station Site Plan	2-111
Figure 2-66 Proposed Relocated Commerce Metrolink Station	2-114
Figure 2-67 Proposed Relocated Buena Park Metrolink Station	2-116
Figure 2-68 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A: New and Modified Grade Separations	2-118
Figure 2-69 Pioneer Boulevard Grade Separation	2-125
Figure 2-70 Norwalk Boulevard and Los Nietos Road Grade Separations	2-126
Figure 2-71 Cerritos Avenue Grade Separation.....	2-127
Figure 2-72 State College Boulevard Grade Separation	2-128
Figure 2-73 Shared Passenger Track Alternative A Waterway Crossings.....	2-131
Figure 2-74 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B: Los Angeles to Vernon Overview	2-137
Figure 2-75 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B: 15th Street Light Maintenance Facility	2-139
Figure 2-76 Trenches for 15th Street Light Maintenance Facility Yard Lead Tracks Under Olympic Boulevard	2-140
Figure 2-77 Shared Passenger Track Alternative B: Hobart Yard.....	2-141
Figure 2-78 Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs High-Speed Rail Station Option Platform and Station Facilities	2-145
Figure 2-79 Cross Section of High-Speed Rail Station Option at Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Metrolink Station.....	2-147
Figure 2-80 Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option Platform and Station Facilities	2-149
Figure 2-81 Cross-Section of High-Speed Rail Station Option at Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station.....	2-151
Figure 2-82 Southern California Phase 1 Transit Connectivity Map	2-159
Figure 2-83 Right-of-Way Process.....	2-162
Figure 3.1-1 Typical Resource Study Area	3.1-7
Figure 3.1-2 Shifts of Roadways and Other Infrastructure	3.1-9

Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersections, Sheet 1 of 7	3.2-25
Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersections, Sheet 2 of 7	3.2-26
Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersections, Sheet 3 of 7	3.2-27
Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersections, Sheet 4 of 7	3.2-28
Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersection, Sheet 5 of 7	3.2-29
Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersections, Sheet 6 of 7	3.2-30
Figure 3.2-1 Resource Study Area Study Intersections, Sheet 7 of 7	3.2-31
Figure 3.2-2 Los Angeles County: Countywide Significant Arterial Network	3.2-45
Figure 3.2-3 Orange County: Master Plan of Arterial Highways	3.2-46
Figure 3.2-4 Existing and Planned Passenger Rail Service in the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	3.2-55
Figure 3.2-5 Existing Plus Project Impacts, Sheet 1 of 2	3.2-84
Figure 3.2-5 Existing Plus Project Impacts, Sheet 2 of 2	3.2-85
Figure 3.2-6 Horizon Year 2040 Intersection Impacts, Sheet 1 of 3.....	3.2-98
Figure 3.2-6 Horizon Year 2040 Intersection Impacts, Sheet 2 of 3.....	3.2-99
Figure 3.2-6 Horizon Year 2040 Intersection Impacts, Sheet 3 of 3.....	3.2-100
Figure 3.2-7 Horizon Year 2040 Roadway Segment Impacts, Sheet 1 of 3	3.2-110
Figure 3.2-7 Horizon Year 2040 Roadway Segment Impacts, Sheet 2 of 3	3.2-111
Figure 3.2-7 Horizon Year 2040 Roadway Segment Impacts, Sheet 3 of 3	3.2-112
Figure 3.3-1 South Coast, Mojave Desert, and San Joaquin Valley Air Basins	3.3-23
Figure 3.3-2 Locations of Construction for Ambient Air Quality Analysis and Health Risk Assessment	3.3-58
Figure 3.3-3 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alignment.....	3.3-74
Figure 3.3-4 Air Quality Monitoring Station Locations.....	3.3-83
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 1 of 12.....	3.3-87
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 2 of 12.....	3.3-88
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 3 of 12.....	3.3-89
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 4 of 12.....	3.3-90
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 5 of 12.....	3.3-91
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 6 of 12.....	3.3-92
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 7 of 12.....	3.3-93
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 8 of 12.....	3.3-94
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 9 of 12.....	3.3-95

Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 10 of 12	3.3-96
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 11 of 12	3.3-97
Figure 3.3-5 Sensitive Land Uses within 1,000 feet of the Project Footprint, Sheet 12 of 12	3.3-98
Figure 3.4-1 State of California Land Use Compatibility Guidelines	3.4-7
Figure 3.4-2 Noise Resource Study Area	3.4-25
Figure 3.4-3 Vibration Resource Study Areas	3.4-26
Figure 3.4-4 Noise Impact Criteria for Transit and High-Speed Rail Projects	3.4-31
Figure 3.4-5 Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels (Categories 1 and 2)	3.4-32
Figure 3.4-6 Federal Railroad Administration Detailed Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria	3.4-35
Figure 3.4-7 Existing Noise Measurement Locations in the Project Section, Sheet 1 of 3	3.4-40
Figure 3.4-7 Existing Noise Measurement Locations in the Project Section, Sheet 2 of 3	3.4-41
Figure 3.4-7 Existing Noise Measurement Locations in the Project Section, Sheet 3 of 3	3.4-42
Figure 3.4-8 Locations of Noise Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 1 of 3	3.4-60
Figure 3.4-8 Locations of Noise Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 2 of 3	3.4-61
Figure 3.4-8 Locations of Noise Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 3 of 3	3.4-62
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 1 of 4	3.4-71
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 2 of 4	3.4-72
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 3 of 4	3.4-73
Figure 3.4-9 Locations of Vibration Impacts Resulting from Operation, Sheet 4 of 4	3.4-74
Figure 3.4-10 Location of Proposed Sound Barrier	3.4-80
Figure 3.5-1 EMF/EMI Resource Study Area	3.5-15
Figure 3.5-2 EMF Measurement Site Locations	3.5-22
Figure 3.6-1 Public Utilities and Energy Direct Impacts Resource Study Area	3.6-16
Figure 3.6-2 Major and High-Risk Utilities in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 3	3.6-25
Figure 3.6-2 Major and High-Risk Utilities in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 3	3.6-26
Figure 3.6-2 Major and High-Risk Utilities in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 3 of 3	3.6-27
Figure 3.6-3 California Energy Consumption Estimates by Type, 2022	3.6-33

Figure 3.6-4 California Energy Consumption by Sector, 2022	3.6-33
Figure 3.6-5 Statewide Baseline Annual Electricity Consumption	3.6-37
Figure 3.6-6 California Natural Gas Demand by Sector, 2022	3.6-38
Figure 3.7-1 Biological and Aquatic Resources Study Areas	3.7-27
Figure 3.7-2 Special-Status Avian eBird Observations	3.7-50
Figure 3.7-3 United States Fish and Wildlife Service Designated Critical Habitat	3.7-71
Figure 3.8-1 Surface Water Direct and Indirect Resource Study Areas	3.8-19
Figure 3.8-2 Groundwater Direct and Indirect Resource Study Areas	3.8-20
Figure 3.8-3 Floodplain Direct and Indirect Resource Study Areas	3.8-21
Figure 3.8-4 Los Angeles River Floodplain	3.8-30
Figure 3.8-5 Rio Hondo Floodplain	3.8-31
Figure 3.8-6 San Gabriel River and Bond Issue 1214 Storm Drain Floodplain	3.8-32
Figure 3.8-7 Lakeland Road Floodplain	3.8-33
Figure 3.8-8 North Fork Coyote Creek Floodplain	3.8-34
Figure 3.8-9 La Mirada Creek Floodplain	3.8-35
Figure 3.8-10 Brea and Lakeside Drive Floodplain	3.8-36
Figure 3.8-11 Gilbert Street and Artesia Street Floodplain	3.8-37
Figure 3.8-12 Balcom Avenue Storm Drain Floodplain	3.8-38
Figure 3.8-13 Carbon Creek Floodplain	3.8-39
Figure 3.8-14 Southeast Anaheim Channel Floodplain	3.8-40
Figure 3.9-1 Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Paleontological Resources Resource Study Area	3.9-17
Figure 3.9-2 Geology of the Resource Study Area, Northern Portion, Sheet 1 of 3	3.9-27
Figure 3.9-2 Geology of the Resource Study Area, Central Portion, Sheet 2 of 3	3.9-28
Figure 3.9-2 Geology of the Resource Study Area, Southern Portion, Sheet 3 of 3	3.9-29
Figure 3.9-3 Soils Map, Los Angeles County (Northern Portion), Sheet 1 of 3	3.9-33
Figure 3.9-3 Soils Map, Los Angeles County (Central Portion), Sheet 2 of 3	3.9-34
Figure 3.9-3 Soils Map, Orange County (Southern Portion), Sheet 3 of 3	3.9-35
Figure 3.9-4 Regional Fault and Seismicity in the Resource Study Area	3.9-42
Figure 3.9-5 Seismic Hazards in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 3	3.9-48
Figure 3.9-5 Seismic Hazards in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 3	3.9-49
Figure 3.9-5 Seismic Hazards in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 3 of 3	3.9-50
Figure 3.9-6 Dams in the Resource Study Area	3.9-52
Figure 3.9-7 Oil Fields and Wells in the Resource Study Area	3.9-57
Figure 3.9-8 Paleontologically Sensitive Materials in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 3	3.9-60
Figure 3.9-8 Paleontologically Sensitive Materials in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 3	3.9-61

Figure 3.9-8 Paleontologically Sensitive Materials in the Resource Study Area, Sheet 3 of 3	3.9-62
Figure 3.10-1 Hazardous Materials and Wastes Resource Study Areas	3.10-16
Figure 3.10-2 Location of the Exide Technologies Parcel in Vernon, California	3.10-28
Figure 3.10-3 Location of the North Basin Project Area in Orange County, California	3.10-29
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 4	3.11-31
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 4	3.11-32
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Resource Study Area, Sheet 3 of 4	3.11-33
Figure 3.11-1 Safety and Security Resource Study Area, Sheet 4 of 4	3.11-34
Figure 3.11-2 Los Angeles and Orange County Police Department Service Areas	3.11-51
Figure 3.11-3 Los Angeles County and Orange County Sheriff's Department Patrol Areas	3.11-52
Figure 3.11-4 Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Metro Transit Service Areas	3.11-53
Figure 3.11-5 Emergency and Community Safety and Security Facilities (Sheet 1 of 6)	3.11-60
Figure 3.11-5 Emergency and Community Safety and Security Facilities (Sheet 2 of 6)	3.11-61
Figure 3.11-5 Emergency and Community Safety and Security Facilities (Sheet 3 of 6)	3.11-62
Figure 3.11-5 Emergency and Community Safety and Security Facilities (Sheet 4 of 6)	3.11-63
Figure 3.11-5 Emergency and Community Safety and Security Facilities (Sheet 5 of 6)	3.11-64
Figure 3.11-5 Emergency and Community Safety and Security Facilities (Sheet 6 of 6)	3.11-65
Figure 3.11-6 Fire Hazard Zones in State Responsibility Areas, Los Angeles County and Orange County	3.11-78
Figure 3.11-7 Fire Hazard Zones in Local Responsibility Areas, Los Angeles County and Orange County	3.11-79
Figure 3.11-8 Fire Stations Service Boundaries and At-Grade Crossings in Anaheim	3.11-102
Figure 3.12-1 Communities and Neighborhoods Resource Study Areas for Direct and Indirect Impacts	3.12-25
Figure 3.12-2 Economic Effects Resource Study Area	3.12-26
Figure 3.12-3 School District Boundaries, Sheet 1 of 2	3.12-56
Figure 3.12-3 School District Boundaries, Sheet 2 of 2	3.12-57
Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 1 of 7	3.13-25
Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 2 of 7	3.13-26
Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 3 of 7	3.13-27

Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 4 of 7.....	3.13-28
Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 5 of 7.....	3.13-29
Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 6 of 7.....	3.13-30
Figure 3.13-1 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development Resource Study Area for Direct Impacts, Sheet 7 of 7.....	3.13-31
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 7.....	3.14-7
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 7.....	3.14-8
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 3 of 7.....	3.14-8
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 4 of 7.....	3.14-9
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 5 of 7.....	3.14-9
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 6 of 7.....	3.14-10
Figure 3.14-1 Agricultural Farmland and Forest Land Resource Study Area, Sheet 7 of 7.....	3.14-11
Figure 3.14-2 Land Zoned for Agricultural Use within the Resource Study Area ...	3.14-13
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 1 of 7	3.15-33
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 2 of 7	3.15-34
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 3 of 7	3.15-35
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 4 of 7	3.15-36
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 5 of 7	3.15-37
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 6 of 7	3.15-38
Figure 3.15-1 Recreational Resources, Sheet 7 of 7	3.15-39
Figure 3.16-1 Resource Study Area for Aesthetics and Visual Quality	3.16-24
Figure 3.16-2 Visual Resources and Key Viewpoints in the Downtown Los Angeles Landscape Unit	3.16-30
Figure 3.16-3 Visual Resources and Key Viewpoints in the Gateway Cities Landscape Unit	3.16-37
Figure 3.16-4 Visual Resources and Key Viewpoints in the Fullerton/Anaheim Landscape Unit	3.16-45
Figure 3.16-5 Key Viewpoint 1: Existing View from E Cesar Chavez Avenue (Facing Southeast).....	3.16-63
Figure 3.16-6 Key Viewpoint 1: Visual Simulation from E Cesar Chavez Avenue (Facing Southeast).....	3.16-63
Figure 3.16-7 Key Viewpoint 2: Existing View from Mozaic at Union Station Apartments (Facing Southeast).....	3.16-64
Figure 3.16-8 Key Viewpoint 2: Visual Simulation from Mozaic at Union Station Apartments (Facing Southeast).....	3.16-64

Figure 3.16-9 Key Viewpoint 3: Existing View from First Street at the Los Angeles River (Facing Southwest).....	3.16-65
Figure 3.16-10 Key Viewpoint 3: Visual Simulation from First Street at the Los Angeles River (Facing Southwest).....	3.16-65
Figure 3.16-11 Key Viewpoint 4: Existing View from north of Fourth Street at the Los Angeles River (Facing South).....	3.16-66
Figure 3.16-12 Key Viewpoint 4: Visual Simulation from north of Fourth Street at the Los Angeles River (Facing South).....	3.16-66
Figure 3.16-13 Key Viewpoint 5: Existing View from Downey Road and E 26th Street (Facing Northwest).....	3.16-70
Figure 3.16-14 Key Viewpoint 5: Visual Simulation from Downey Road and E 26th Street (Facing Northwest).....	3.16-70
Figure 3.16-15 Key Viewpoint 6: Existing View from 6185 Bandini Boulevard (Facing North).....	3.16-71
Figure 3.16-16 Key Viewpoint 6: Visual Simulation from 6185 Bandini Boulevard (Facing North).....	3.16-71
Figure 3.16-17 Key Viewpoint 7: Existing View from Sycamore Street and Supply Avenue (Facing Southwest).....	3.16-72
Figure 3.16-18 Key Viewpoint 7: Visual Simulation from Sycamore Street and Supply Avenue (Facing Southwest).....	3.16-72
Figure 3.16-19 Key Viewpoint 8: Existing View from S Fourth Street and Sycamore Street (Facing Southeast).....	3.16-73
Figure 3.16-20 Key Viewpoint 8: Visual Simulation from S Fourth Street and Sycamore Street (Facing Southeast).....	3.16-73
Figure 3.16-21 Key Viewpoint 9: Existing View of the Rio Hondo Trail Near Maynard Road (Facing Northeast).....	3.16-74
Figure 3.16-22 Key Viewpoint 9: Visual Simulation of the Rio Hondo Trail Near Maynard Road (Facing Northeast).....	3.16-74
Figure 3.16-23 Key Viewpoint 10: Existing View of the San Gabriel River Bike Path and San Gabriel River Trail South of Slauson Avenue (Facing Northeast).....	3.16-75
Figure 3.16-24 Key Viewpoint 10: Visual Simulation of the San Gabriel River Bike Path and San Gabriel River Trail South of Slauson Avenue (Facing Northeast).....	3.16-75
Figure 3.16-25 Key Viewpoint 11: Existing View from Metropolitan State Hospital/Bloomfield Avenue (Facing East).....	3.16-76
Figure 3.16-26 Key Viewpoint 11: Visual Simulation from Metropolitan State Hospital/Bloomfield Avenue (Facing East).....	3.16-76
Figure 3.16-27 Key Viewpoint 12: Existing View from Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Metrolink Station Parking Lot (Facing East).....	3.16-77
Figure 3.16-28 Key Viewpoint 12: Visual Simulation from Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Metrolink Station Parking Lot (Facing East).....	3.16-77
Figure 3.16-29 Key Viewpoint 13: Existing View Facing Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Metrolink Station from John Zimmerman Park (Facing North/Northwest).....	3.16-78

Figure 3.16-30 Key Viewpoint 13: Visual Simulation Facing Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Metrolink Station from John Zimmerman Park (Facing North/Northwest)	3.16-78
Figure 3.16-31 Key Viewpoint 14: Existing View from Tulare Avenue and Fullerton Avenue (Facing Northwest)	3.16-79
Figure 3.16-32 Key Viewpoint 14: Visual Simulation from Tulare Avenue and Fullerton Avenue (Facing Northwest)	3.16-79
Figure 3.16-33 Key Viewpoint 15: Existing View from Raymer Avenue at Gilbert Street (Facing West)	3.16-83
Figure 3.16-34 Key Viewpoint 15: Visual Simulation from Raymer Avenue at Gilbert Street (Facing West)	3.16-83
Figure 3.16-35 Key Viewpoint 16: Existing View of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the Southwest Facing Northeast)	3.16-84
Figure 3.16-36 Key Viewpoint 16: Visual Simulation of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (No High-Speed Rail Station Option) (from the Southwest Facing Northeast)	3.16-84
Figure 3.16-37 Key Viewpoint 17: Existing View of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the West Facing East)	3.16-85
Figure 3.16-38 Key Viewpoint 17: Visual Simulation of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (No High-Speed Rail Station Option) (from the West Facing East)	3.16-85
Figure 3.16-39 Key Viewpoint 18: Existing View of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the East-Southeast Facing West-Northwest)	3.16-86
Figure 3.16-40 Key Viewpoint 18: Visual Simulation of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (No High-Speed Rail Station Option) (from the East-Southeast Facing West-Northwest)	3.16-86
Figure 3.16-41 Key Viewpoint 19: Existing View of the Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station from E Walnut Avenue (Facing East)	3.16-87
Figure 3.16-42 Key Viewpoint 19: Visual Simulation of the Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station from E Walnut Avenue (No High-Speed Rail Station Option) (Facing East)	3.16-87
Figure 3.16-43 Key Viewpoint 20: Existing View from State College Boulevard and E Katella Avenue (Facing East)	3.16-88
Figure 3.16-44 Key Viewpoint 20: Visual Simulation from State College Boulevard and E Katella Avenue (Facing East)	3.16-88
Figure 3.16-45 Key Viewpoint 21: Existing View from S State College Boulevard (Facing Northeast)	3.16-89
Figure 3.16-46 Key Viewpoint 21: Visual Simulation from S State College Boulevard (Facing Northeast)	3.16-89
Figure 3.16-47 Key Viewpoint 22: Existing View from Angel Stadium Parking Lot North (Facing North)	3.16-90
Figure 3.16-48 Key Viewpoint 22: Visual Simulation from Angel Stadium Parking Lot North (Facing North)	3.16-90
Figure 3.16-49 Key Viewpoint 16: Existing View of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the Southwest Facing Northeast)	3.16-95

Figure 3.16-50 Key Viewpoint 16: Visual Simulation of Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option and Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the Southwest Facing Northeast)	3.16-95
Figure 3.16-51 Key Viewpoint 17: Existing View of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the West Facing East)	3.16-96
Figure 3.16-52 Key Viewpoint 17: Visual Simulation of Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option and Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the West Facing East)	3.16-96
Figure 3.16-53 Key Viewpoint 18: Existing View of Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the East-Southeast Facing West-Northwest)	3.16-97
Figure 3.16-54 Key Viewpoint 18: Visual Simulation of Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option and Metrolink/Amtrak Station (from the East-Southeast Facing West-Northwest)	3.16-97
Figure 3.16-55 Key Viewpoint 19: Existing View of the Fullerton Metrolink/Amtrak Station from E Walnut Avenue (Facing East)	3.16-98
Figure 3.16-56 Key Viewpoint 19: Visual Simulation of the Fullerton High-Speed Rail Station Option from E Walnut Avenue (Facing East)	3.16-98
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 1 of 8	3.17-51
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 2 of 8	3.17-52
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 3 of 8	3.17-53
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 4 of 8	3.17-54
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 5 of 8	3.17-55
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 6 of 8	3.17-56
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 7 of 8	3.17-57
Figure 3.17-1 2024 Area of Potential Effects for Archaeological and Historic Built Resources, Sheet 8 of 8	3.17-58
Figure 3.17-2 First Street Bridge (camera facing northwest).....	3.17-87
Figure 3.17-3 Los Angeles River at Fourth Street Bridge (camera facing south)....	3.17-88
Figure 3.17-4 Fourth Street Bridge (camera facing southwest).....	3.17-89
Figure 3.17-5 Seventh Street Bridge (camera facing southwest)	3.17-90
Figure 3.17-6 Olympic Boulevard (Ninth Street) Bridge (camera facing northeast)	3.17-91
Figure 3.17-7 Southern California Gas Company Complex Shop building (camera facing northwest)	3.17-92
Figure 3.17-8 Southern California Gas Company Complex Administration Building (camera facing southeast).....	3.17-93
Figure 3.17-9 Washington Boulevard Bridge. Left—overview (camera facing southeast); right—pylon detail (camera facing upward, northwest)	3.17-94

Figure 3.17-10 Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Steam Locomotive No. 3751 (camera facing north).....	3.17-95
Figure 3.17-11 Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Redondo Junction Yard District: Office Building (camera facing east).....	3.17-95
Figure 3.17-12 Shrimpton Manufacturing and Supply Company (camera facing southwest).....	3.17-96
Figure 3.17-13 Western Waxed Paper Company (Veritiv) (camera facing southeast)	3.17-97
Figure 3.17-14 Rio Hondo at Slauson Avenue (camera facing northeast)	3.17-98
Figure 3.17-15 Boulder Dam–Los Angeles 287.5-Kilovolt Transmission Line: historic-era image of resource through Baldwin Park.....	3.17-99
Figure 3.17-16 Val-Vita Food Products Company Headquarters (camera facing northwest).....	3.17-100
Figure 3.17-17 The Hunt Foods and Industries Office (top, camera facing southeast) and Library (bottom, camera facing north)	3.17-101
Figure 3.17-18 St. Mary's Catholic Church (camera facing south).....	3.17-102
Figure 3.17-19 Amerige Brothers' Real Estate Office (camera facing southeast)	3.17-103
Figure 3.17-20 Elephant Packing House (camera facing northwest)	3.17-104
Figure 3.17-21 Fullerton Ice Company (camera facing southwest).....	3.17-104
Figure 3.17-22 Fullerton Union Pacific Railroad Depot (camera facing southwest).....	3.17-105
Figure 3.17-23 Fullerton Odd Fellows Temple (camera facing southeast).....	3.17-106
Figure 3.17-24 Fullerton Pacific Electric Railway Depot (camera facing southwest).....	3.17-106
Figure 3.17-25 Santa Fe Railway Passenger and Freight Depot (camera facing northeast)	3.17-107
Figure 3.17-26 Fullerton Post Office (camera facing southwest)	3.17-108
Figure 3.17-27 Anaheim Union Pacific Railroad Depot (Anaheim Union Station) (camera facing east)	3.17-108
Figure 3.17-28 515 East Broadway, Anaheim Kroeger-Melrose Historic District Contributing Resource (camera facing northwest).....	3.17-109
Figure 3.17-29 Fullerton Dye Works at 229 W Santa Fe Avenue (camera facing northeast)	3.17-110
Figure 3.17-30 Sanitary Laundry Building at 227 W Santa Fe Avenue (camera facing northwest).....	3.17-110
Figure 3.17-31 John Reeder Gardiner Building 125 W Santa Fe Avenue (camera facing northeast)	3.17-111
Figure 3.17-32 Ellingson Building at 119 W Santa Fe Avenue (camera facing north)	3.17-112
Figure 3.17-33 Wilson Building at 118 E Commonwealth Avenue, Fullerton (camera facing south).....	3.17-112
Figure 3.17-34 343 Miller Manufacturing Building at E Santa Fe Avenue (camera facing northwest).....	3.17-113
Figure 3.17-35 Historic Palm District: Site Diagram.....	3.17-114

Figure 3.17-36 Anaheim Colony Historic District: Site Diagram	3.17-116
Figure 3.18-1 Regional Growth Resource Study Area	3.18-10
Figure 3.19-1 Reasonably Foreseeable Land Development Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 1 of 3.....	3.19-16
Figure 3.19-1 Reasonably Foreseeable Land Development Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 2 of 3.....	3.19-17
Figure 3.19-1 Reasonably Foreseeable Land Development Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 3 of 3.....	3.19-18
Figure 3.19-2 Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 1 of 4	3.19-23
Figure 3.19-2 Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 2 of 4	3.19-24
Figure 3.19-2 Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 3 of 4	3.19-25
Figure 3.19-2 Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Projects Included in the Cumulative Project List, Sheet 4 of 4	3.19-26
Figure 4-1 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alignment	4-8
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 1 of 7	4-10
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 2 of 7	4-11
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 3 of 7	4-12
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 4 of 7	4-13
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 5 of 7	4-14
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 6 of 7	4-15
Figure 4-2 Section 4(f) Resources: Parks, Recreation, Open Space, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges, Sheet 7 of 7	4-16
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 1 of 7	4-19
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 2 of 7	4-20
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 3 of 7	4-21
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 4 of 7	4-22
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 5 of 7	4-23
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 6 of 7	4-24
Figure 4-3 Section 4(f) Resources: Cultural Resources, Sheet 7 of 7	4-25
Figure 4-4 Impacts on Rio Hondo River Trail	4-82
Figure 4-5 Impacts on Rio Hondo River Bike Path.....	4-86
Figure 4-6 Impacts on San Gabriel River Trail	4-90
Figure 4-7 Impacts on San Gabriel River Bike Path.....	4-93
Figure 4-8 Impacts on Coyote Creek North Fork Bikeway	4-97

Figure 4-9 Impacts on Coyote Creek Main Branch Bikeway Extension (Planned)	4-101
Figure 4-10 Impacts on Brea Creek Bastanchury Corridor (Planned)	4-105
Figure 4-11 Impacts on John Zimmerman Park	4-109
Figure 4-12 Impacts on John H. Glenn High School	4-111
Figure 4-13 Impacts on Fullerton Pooch Park	4-113
Figure 4-14 Impacts on Independence Park	4-116
Figure 4-15 Impacts on Janet Evans Swim Complex	4-118
Figure 4-16 Impacts on Amerige Park	4-120
Figure 4-17 Impacts on Union Pacific Trail Phase II (Under Construction)	4-122
Figure 4-18 Impacts on Union Pacific Railroad Right-of-Way Multipurpose Path	4-126
Figure 4-19 Impacts on Union Pacific Park	4-128
Figure 4-20 Impacts on Truslow Park	4-131
Figure 4-21 Impacts on Citrus Park	4-133
Figure 4-22 Impacts on Santa Ana River Trail and Parkway	4-135
Figure 4-23 Impacts on Los Angeles River	4-144
Figure 4-24 Impacts on First Street Bridge	4-146
Figure 4-25 Impacts on Fourth Street Bridge	4-148
Figure 4-26 Impacts on Seventh Street Bridge	4-150
Figure 4-27 Impacts on Olympic Boulevard (Ninth Street) Bridge	4-153
Figure 4-28 Impacts on Southern California Gas Company Administration Building	4-156
Figure 4-29 Impacts on Southern California Gas Company Complex	4-158
Figure 4-30 Impacts on Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Steam Locomotive No. 3751	4-160
Figure 4-31 Impacts on Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Redondo Junction Yard	4-162
Figure 4-32 Impacts on Washington Boulevard Bridge over Los Angeles River	4-165
Figure 4-33 Impacts on Shrimpton Manufacturing and Supply Company	4-167
Figure 4-34 Impacts on Western Waxed Paper Company	4-170
Figure 4-35 Impacts on Rio Hondo	4-172
Figure 4-36 Impacts on Boulder Dam–Los Angeles Transmission Line	4-174
Figure 4-37 Impacts on Val-Vita Food Products Company Headquarters	4-176
Figure 4-38 Impacts on Hunt Foods and Industries Office and Library	4-179
Figure 4-39 Impacts on Elephant Packing House	4-183
Figure 4-40 Impacts on Fullerton Union Pacific Railroad Depot	4-186
Figure 4-41 Impacts on Fullerton Ice Company	4-189
Figure 4-42 Impacts on Fullerton Odd Fellows Temple	4-192
Figure 4-43 Impacts on Pacific Electric Railway Depot	4-194
Figure 4-44 Impacts on Santa Fe Railway Passenger and Freight Depot	4-196
Figure 4-45 Impacts on Anaheim Union Pacific Railroad Depot	4-199

Figure 5-1 Community Analysis Reference Community	5-17
Figure 5-2 Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 2	5-32
Figure 5-2 Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 2	5-33
Figure 5-3 Low-Income Population in the Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 2.....	5-43
Figure 5-3 Low-Income Population in the Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 2.....	5-44
Figure 5-4 Minority Population in the Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 2.....	5-48
Figure 5-4 Minority Population in the Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 2.....	5-49
Figure 5-5 Race and Ethnicity in the Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 1 of 2.....	5-50
Figure 5-5 Race and Ethnicity in the Community Analysis Resource Study Area, Sheet 2 of 2.....	5-51
Figure 5-6 Proximity of Stations and Light Maintenance Facility to Minority and Low-Income Populations, Sheet 1 of 4.....	5-56
Figure 5-6 Proximity of Stations and Light Maintenance Facility to Minority and Low-Income Populations, Sheet 2 of 4.....	5-57
Figure 5-6 Proximity of Stations and Light Maintenance Facility to Minority and Low-Income Populations, Sheet 3 of 4.....	5-58
Figure 5-6 Proximity of Stations and Light Maintenance Facility to Minority and Low-Income Populations, Sheet 4 of 4.....	5-59
Figure 5-7 Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects Locations, Sheet 1 of 2	5-173
Figure 5-7 Disproportionately High and Adverse Effects Locations, Sheet 2 of 2	5-174
Figure 8-1 Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Preferred Alternative.....	8-2
Figure 8-2 Graphical Representation of the Evolution of Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section Alternatives	8-13
Figure 8-3 At-Grade Typical Cross Section	8-28
Figure 8-4 Elevated Structure Typical Cross Section.....	8-28
Figure 8-5 Below-Grade Cross Section in Fullerton	8-29
Figure 8-6 26th Street Light Maintenance Facility Footprint.....	8-31
Figure 9-1 Alternatives Development Process for the Los Angeles to Anaheim Project Section	9-2
Figure 9-2 Potential Alternatives Considered During Alternatives Screening Process	9-2